Pugnaire, F. and Valladares, F. (eds.) 2007. Functional plant ecology. Taylor and Francis. New York

2 Opportunistic Growth and Desiccation Tolerance: The Ecological Success of Poikilohydrous Autotrophs

Ludger Kappen and Fernando Valladares

CONTENTS

Poikilohydrous Way of Life	8
Poikilohydrous Constitution versus Poikilohydrous Performance:	
Toward a Definition of Poikilohydry	8
Ecology and Distribution of Poikilohydrous Autotrophs	13
Does Poikilohydry Rely on Specific Morphological Features?	15
Exploiting an Erratic Resource	16
Different Modes of Water Uptake and Transport	17
Problems of Resuming Water Transport	20
Retarding Water Loss	22
Preventing Damage and Tolerating Stresses	24
Desiccation Tolerance	24
Cellular and Physiological Changes during Desiccation	25
Synthesis of Proteins and Protective Substances	28
Photoprotection of the Photosynthetic Units	29
Desiccation Tolerance: An Old Heritage	32
Tolerance to Extreme Temperatures: A Property	
Linked to Poikilohydry	33
Limits and Success of Poikilohydry	34
Photosynthesis	34
Lichens and Bryophytes	34
Vascular Plants	39
Different Strategies	41
Opportunistic Metabolic Activity in situ	42
Place in Plant Communities	46
Primary Production of Poikilohydrous Autotrophs	47
Acknowledgments	48
References	48

POIKILOHYDROUS WAY OF LIFE

Poikilohydry, or the lack of control of water relations, has typically been a subject studied by lichenologists and bryologists. For many years, much was unknown about poikilohydrous vascular plants, and evidence for their abilities was mostly anecdotal. A small number of these plants were studied by a few physiologists and ecologists who were fascinated by the capability of these "resurrection plants" to quickly switch from an anabiotic to a biotic state and vice versa (Pessin 1924, Heil 1925, Walter 1931, Oppenheimer and Halevy 1962, Kappen 1966, Vieweg and Ziegler 1969). Recently, a practical demand has released an unprecedented interest in poikilohydrous plants. The increasing importance of developing and improving technologies for preserving living material in the dry state for breeding and medical purposes has induced tremendous research activity aimed at uncovering the molecular and biochemical basis of desiccation tolerance. Poikilohydrous plants have proven to be very suitable for exploring the basis of this tolerance with the target of genetic engineering (Stewart 1989, Oliver and Bewley 1997, Yang et al. 2003, Bernacchia and Furini 2004, Alpert 2006). Consequently, much of the current literature discusses poikilohydrous plants mainly as a means of explaining basic mechanisms of desiccation tolerance (Hartung et al. 1998, Scott 2000, Bartels and Salamini 2001, Rascio and Rocca 2005) instead of exploring their origin, life history, and ecology (Raven 1999, Porembski and Barthlott 2000, Belnap and Lange 2001, Ibisch et al. 2001, Proctor and Tuba 2002, Heilmeier et al. 2005).

Many new resurrection plants have been discovered during the last 25 years, especially in the Tropics and the Southern Hemisphere (Gaff 1989, Kubitzki 1998, Proctor and Tuba 2002). This has provided new insights into the biology of these organisms. In this chapter, structural and physiological features of poikilohydrous autotrophs and the different strategies in different ecological situations are discussed. As desiccation tolerance itself is the most—but not only—striking feature, our goal is to assess in addition the life style and the ecological success of poikilohydrous autotrophs. We give attention to the productivity of poikilohydrous autotrophs, how they manage to live in extreme environments, the advantage of their opportunistic growth, and what happens to structure and physiology during desiccation and resurrection.

POIKILOHYDROUS CONSTITUTION VERSUS POIKILOHYDROUS PERFORMANCE: TOWARD A DEFINITION OF POIKILOHYDRY

According to Walter (1931), poikilohydry in plants can be understood as analogous to poikilothermy in animals. The latter show variations of their body temperature as a function of ambient temperature, whereas poikilohydrous autotrophs (chlorophyll-containing organisms) exhibit variations of their hydration levels as a function of ambient water status (Walter and Kreeb 1970). The term autotroph is used here to comprise an extensive and heterogenous list of autotrophic unicellular and multicellular organisms (cyanobacteria, algae, bryophytes, and vascular plants), including the lichen symbiosis. Poikilohydrous performance (from the Greek words *poikilos*, changing or varying, and *hydor*, water) is applied to organisms that passively change their water content in response to water availability ("hydrolabil"; Stalfelt 1939), eventually reaching a hydric equilibrium with the environment. This fact does not necessarily imply that the organism tolerates complete desiccation (Table 2.1). There is no general consensus on the definition of poikilohydrous autotrophs. The Greek word poikilos also means malicious, which, figuratively speaking, may apply to the difficulty of comprising the outstanding structural and functional heterogeneity of this group of organisms.

It is difficult to be precise about the vast number of poikilohydrous nonvascular taxa, comprising 2000 Cyanophyta, *c*.23,000 Phycophyta, *c*.16,000 Lichenes, and *c*.25,000 Bryophyta. The number of poikilohydrous vascular plant species could be almost 1500 if the

SpeciesDegree of Desiccation SurvivedTime of Drout Until equil 3 solated chloroplasts (Beta)Isolated chloroplasts (Beta)Isolated chloroplasts (Beta)Time of Drout 3 sensesNosated chloroplasts (Beta)15% R WCNosated chloroplasts (Beta)15% R WCUntil equil 3 sensesLichens (50 species)2%-9% d.wt.38-78 weekLichens (50 species)2%-9% d.wt.38-78 weekPseudocyphellaria dissimilis45%-65% rh8-10 h unil 24-56 webkBryophytes2%-9% d.wt.38-10 h unil equilD. scopariumVarying between <10% rh and >60% rh40 hD. scoparium0%-30% rhVarying between <10% rh and >60% rh40 hD. scoparium0%-30% rh236 days339 daysD. scoparium25% rhNinine and >60% rh40 hMinim purcitation32% rh32% rh33 days HRiccia canexens (bulbis)Air-dryAir-dry7 parRiccia canexens (bulbis)Air-dry7 week33 days HAsplenium ruta-muraria5%-10% R WC1-3 days HAsplenium ruta-muraria7%-20% rh1-3 days HAsplenium ruta-muraria7%-20% rh1-3 days HAsplenium ruta-muraria2%-20% rh1-3 days HAsplenium ruta-muraria2% RWC1-3 days H <th>ived Time of Drought Survived Until equilibrium 73 years 38–78 week air-dry 24–56 week P₂O₅ 8–10 h until equilibrium 0% rh 40 h Until equilibrium 0% rh 40 h 239 days <5 days 8 months 7 year Until equilibrium</th> <th>Reference Santarius 1967 Shields and Durrell 1964 Lange 1953 Green et al. 1991 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964</th>	ived Time of Drought Survived Until equilibrium 73 years 38–78 week air-dry 24–56 week P ₂ O ₅ 8–10 h until equilibrium 0% rh 40 h Until equilibrium 0% rh 40 h 239 days <5 days 8 months 7 year Until equilibrium	Reference Santarius 1967 Shields and Durrell 1964 Lange 1953 Green et al. 1991 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964
Isolated chloroplasts (Beta)15% RWCUntil equilNostoc and ChlorococcumAir-dryAir-dry73 yearsLichensLichens $26^{\circ}-9\%$ d.wt. 38^{-78} weekLichens $26^{\circ}-9\%$ d.wt. 38^{-78} weekPseudocyphellaria dissimilis $2\%^{\circ}-65\%$ rh 38^{-78} weekBryophytes $2\%^{\circ}-9\%$ d.wt. 38^{-78} weekPseudocyphellaria dissimilis $45\%^{\circ}-65\%$ rh 38^{-78} weekBryophytes 210% rh in winter, $>50\%$ in summer 90 hD. scoparium 210% rh in winter, $>50\%$ rh 40 hD. scoparium 225% rh in winter and $>60\%$ rh in summer 40 hMnium punctatum 32% rh 225% rh in winter and $>60\%$ rh 40 hRiccia canescens 10% rh in summer 23 daysSplagnum sp.Air-dryAir-dry 56 daysStried 25% rh 32% rh 32% rh 32% rhStried 32% rh 32% rh 32% rh 32% rhStried 32% rh 32% rh 32% rh 32% rhStrind 32% rh 32% rh 32% rh 32% rhStrind 32% rh 32% rh 32% rh 32% rhRiccia canescens 10% RWC 13% rh 10% rhRiccia canescens 32% rh 32% rh 32% rhStrindophytes 32% rh 32% rh 32% rhStrindophytes 32% rh 32% rh 32% rhStrindophytes 32% rh 32% rh 32% rhStrindophyt	Until equilibrium 73 years 38–78 week air-dry 28–78 week air-dry 24–56 week P ₂ O ₅ 8–10 h until equilibrium 00% rh 40 h 00% rh 40 h 40 h 40 h 10 n summer 239 days <5 days 8 months 7 year Theil equilibrium	Santarius 1967 Shields and Durrell 1964 Lange 1953 Green et al. 1991 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1974 Dircksen 1974
Lichens (50 species) $2\%-9\%$ d.wt. $38-78$ weekPseudocyphellaria dissinilis $2\%-9\%$ d.wt. $38-78$ weekPseudocyphellaria dissinilis $45\%-65\%$ rh $8-10$ h untiBryophytes $27-56$ week 40 hCrenidium molluscum $<10\%$ rh in winter, $>50\%$ in summer 40 hCrenidium molluscum $<10\%$ rh in winter, $>50\%$ in summer 40 hEpiphytic moses (14 species) $0\%-30\%$ rh 0% rh 0% rhMnium punctatum 0% rh 0% rh 0% rhMnium punctatum $<25\%$ rh in winter and $>60\%$ rh 40 hAnium punctatum 2.2% rh 2.2% rhSplagrum sp. 2.2% rh 2.2% rhSplagrum sp. 2.2% rh 2.2% rhSplagrum sp. 2.2% rh 2.3% rhSplagrum sp. 2.5% rh 2.3% rhSplagrum sp. 2.5% rh 3.2% rhSplagrum sp. 2.5% rh 3.2% rhSplagrum sp. 3.2% rh 3.2% rhRiccia canescens (bulbils) 3.7% rhRiccia canescens (bulbils) 3.7% rhAsplerium ruta-muraria 2% rhSoletes uscrafts 2% rhSeletes uscrafts 2% rhSeletes uscrafts 2% rhSeletes uscrafts 3.7% rhAsplerium ruta-muraria 2% rhSplagrum ruta-muraria 2% rhSoletes uscrafts <td>38–78 week air-dry 24–56 week P₂O₅ 8–10 h until equilibrium 0% rh 40 h until equilibrium 0% rh 40 h a summer 239 days <5 days 8 months 7 year Theil equilibrium</td> <td>Lange 1953 Green et al. 1991 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Hosokawa and Kubota 1957 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1974</td>	38–78 week air-dry 24–56 week P ₂ O ₅ 8–10 h until equilibrium 0% rh 40 h until equilibrium 0% rh 40 h a summer 239 days <5 days 8 months 7 year Theil equilibrium	Lange 1953 Green et al. 1991 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Hosokawa and Kubota 1957 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1974
Pseudocyphellaria dissimilis $45\%-65\%$ rh $2-7-00$ weakBryophytes $8-10h$ untilBryophytes $Creindium molluscumCreindium molluscum40\% rhD. scoporium2.0\% rhD. scoporium0\%-30\% rhEpiphytic mosses (14 species)0\%-30\% rhMnium punctatum0\%-30\% rhMnium punctatum2.55\% rhMnium punctatum2.55\% rhMnium punctatum2.5\% rhShagum sp.2.5\% rhMnium punctatum2.5\% rhShagum sp.2.5\% rhRiccia canescens (bulbis)1.5\% rhRiccia canescens (bulbis)1.5\% rhRiccia canescens (bulbis)1.5\% rhAsplenium ruta-muraria7\% rearAsplenium ruta-muraria7\% rhS' lepidophylla0\% rhS' lepidophylla1.5\% RWCAsplenium ruta-muraria7\% rhS' lepidophylla2\% rhAsplenium ruta-muraria2\% rhAsplenium ruta-muraria7\% rhAsplenium ruta-muraria2\% rhAsplenium ruta-muraria7\% rhAsplenium ruta-muraria2\% rhAsplenium ruta-muraria2\% rhAsplenium ruta-muraria2\% rhAsplenium ruta-muraria2\% rh$	24-50 week r ₂ O ₅ 8-10 h until equilibrium ner 40 h Until equilibrium 60% rh 40 h 239 days <5 days 8 months 7 year Until equilibrium	Green et al. 1991 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Hosokawa and Kubota 1957 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dirks and Proctor 1974 Wagner and Titus 1984
BryophytesVarying between <10% rh and >60% rh40 hD. scoparium $Crenidium$ molluscum $(10\% \text{ ch}$ in winter, >50% in summer 40 h D. scoparium $(10\% \text{ ch}$ in winter, >50% in summer 40 h D. scoparium $(10\% \text{ ch}$ in winter, >50% rh 40 h D. scoparium $(10\% \text{ ch}$ in winter, >50% rh 40 h Tissidens cristatus $(14\% \text{ ch})$ $(14\% \text{ ch})$ 40 h Mnium punctatum $(25\% \text{ rh})$ $(14\% \text{ ch})$ 40 h Mnium punctatum $(25\% \text{ rh})$ $(10\% \text{ rh})$ 40 h Mnium punctatum $(25\% \text{ rh})$ $(25\% \text{ rh})$ 40 h Mnium punctatum $(25\% \text{ rh})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ 40 h Mnium punctatum $(25\% \text{ rh})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ 40 h Mnium punctatum $(25\% \text{ rh})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ Mnium punctatum $(25\% \text{ rh})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ Mnium punctatum $(20\% \text{ rh})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ Mnium punctatus $(25\% \text{ rh})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ Mnium punctatus $(20\% \text{ rh})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ Mnium punctatus $(20\% \text{ rh})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ Mnium punctatus $(20\% \text{ rh})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ Mnium punctatus $(20\% \text{ rh})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ $(27\% \text{ ch})$ Asplenium ruta-nuaria $(20\% \text{ rh})$ $(20\% \text{ rh})$ $(20\% \text{ rh})$ Mnium punctatum	0% rh ner 40 h 40 h Until equilibrium 0% rh 40 h 239 days <5 days 8 months 7 year Until equilibrium	Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Hosokawa and Kubota 1957 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dirks and Proctor 1974 Wagner and Titus 1984
D. scopariumD. scoparium $< 10\%$ th in winter, $>50\%$ in summer 40 hEpiphytic mosses (14 species) 0% - 30% th 0% - 30% th 0% - 30% th 0 hFissidens cristatus 0% - 30% th in winter and $>60\%$ th 40 h <i>Mnium punctatum</i> 2.55% rh in winter and $>80\%$ rh in summer 40 h <i>Mnium punctatum</i> 2.5% rh in winter and $>80\%$ rh in summer 40 h <i>Rhacomitrium lanuginosum</i> 3.2% rh 3.2% rh 3.2% rh <i>Sphagnum</i> sp. 3.2% rh 3.2% rh 3.9% rh <i>Rhacomitrium lanuginosum</i> 3.2% rh 3.2% rh 3.9% rh <i>Rhacomitrium lanuginosum</i> 3.2% rh 3.9% rh 3.9% rh <i>Rhacomitrium lanuginosum</i> 3.2% rh 3.9% rh 3.9% rh <i>Sphagnum</i> sp.Air-dry 3.1% rh 3.1% rh <i>Riccia canescens</i> (bulbils)Air-dry 3.1% rh 3.1% rh <i>Riccia canescens</i> (bulbils) 3.1% rh 3.1% rh 3.1% rh <i>Riccia canescens</i> (bulbils) 3.1% rh 3.1% rh 3.1% rh <i>Riccia canescens</i> (bulbils) 3.1% rh 3.1% rh 3.1% rh <i>Asplenium ruta-nuraria</i> 3.1% rh 3.1% rh 3.1% rh <i>Asplenium ruta-nuraria</i> 3.1% rh 3.1% rh 3.1% rh <i>Asplenium ruta-nuraria</i> 3.1%	ner 40 h Until equilibrium 0% rh 40 h 239 days <5 days 8 months 7 year Theil equilibrium	Dircksen 1964 Hosokawa and Kubota 1957 Dircksen 1964 Dircksen 1964 Dirks and Proctor 1974 Wagner and Titus 1984
Epiphytic mosses (14 species) $0\%-30\%$ rhUntil equilFissidens cristatus $(25\% rh in winter and >60\% rh in summer40 hMnum punctatum(25\% rh in winter and >80\% rh in summer40 hMnum punctatum(25\% rh in winter and >80\% rh in summer40 hRhacomitrium langinosum(25\% rh in winter and >80\% rh in summer40 hSphagnum sp.(25\% rh in winter and >80\% rh in summer40 hRhacomitrium langinosum(25\% rh in winter and >80\% rh in summer40 hSphagnum sp.(25\% rh in winter and >80\% rh in summer239 daysSphagnum sp.(27\% rh in winter and >80\% rh in summer239 daysRiccia canescens (bulbils)(17\% rh in summer)(10\% rh in summer)Riccia canescens (bulbils)(17\% rh in summer)(10\% rh in summer)S. lepidophytes(17\% rh in summer)(10\% rh in summer)S. lepidophytes(10\% rh in summer)(10\% rh in summer)Asplenium ruta-muraria(10\% rh in summer)(10\% rh in summer)Asplenium ruta-muraria$	Until equilibrium 0% rh 40 h a summer 40 h 239 days <5 days 8 months 7 year Theil equilibrium	Hosokawa and Kubota 1957 Direksen 1964 Direksen 1964 Dilks and Proctor 1974 Wagner and Titus 1984
TestatuasAnium punctatuasAnium punctatuasMnium punctatum $< 25\%$ rh in winter and >80% rh in summer40 hRhacomitrium lanaginosum 2.25% rh in winter and >80% rh in summer40 hSphagnum sp. 2.25% rh in winter and >80% rh in summer239 daysSphagnum sp. 2.25% rh in winter and >80% rh in summer239 daysE. holstii 3.2% rh 3.2% rh 3.2% rhE. holstii 3.2% rh 3.2% rh 3.2% rhE. holstii 3.2% rh 3.2% rh 3.2% rhPteridophytesAir-dry 3.2% rh 3.2% rhRiccia canescens (bulbils)Air-dry 3.2% rhS. lepidophyta 0% rh 7% rearS. lepidophyta 0% rh 1.3% rhAsplenium ruta-muraria 5% -10% RWC 1.3% rh/sAsplenium ruta-muraria 5% -10% RWC 1.3% rh/sAsplenium ruta-muraria 2% -20% rh 1.3% rh/sH. tunbridgense $2.\%$ -20% rh 1.3% rh/sH. tunbridgense $2.\%$ 3.0% rhH. tunbridgense $2.\%$ 3.0% rhH. tunbridgense 6% RWC 1.3% rh/sH. tunbridgense 0.0% rh 0.0% rhH. tunbridgense 0.0% rh 0.0% rhH. tunbridgense 0.0% rhH. tunbridgense 0.0% rhH. tunbridgense 0.0% rh<	n summer 40 h a summer 40 h <5 days 8 months 7 year About 1 year Until equilibrium	Dircksen 1904 Dircksen 1964 Dilks and Proctor 1974 Wagner and Titus 1984
Rhacomitrium lanuginosum 32% rh 239 daysSphagnum sp.Sphagnum sp. 239 daysSphagnum sp.Air-dryAir-dry < 5 daysSphagnum sp.Air-dryAir-dry < 5 daysRiccia canescens (bulbils)Air-dry < 7 yearPteridophytesAir-dry < 7 yearS lepidophytla 0% rh < 7 yearS lepidophytla 0% rh < 7 yearS lepidophytes $< 7\%$ ref $< 7\%$ yearS lepidophytes $< 7\% ref< 7\% yearS lepidophytes0\% rh< 7\% refS lepidophytes0\% rh< 10\% rhIsoetes australis0\% rh< 10\% rhIsoetes australia7\%-10\% RWC1-3 days HAsplenium ruta-nuraria7\%-20\% RWC1-3 days HAsplenium ruta-nuraria2\%-20\% rh1-3 days HIntrophythus (gametophyte)2\%-20\% rh1-3 days HI. tunbridgense20\%2\%Moholeen maranthae6\% RWC10\% rhNotholeen maranthae6\% RWC10\% rhNotholeen maranthae6\% RWC10\% rh$	239 days <5 days 8 months 7 year About 1 year Until equilibrium	Wagner and Titus 1974 Wagner and Titus 1984
Sphagnum sp.Sphagnum sp.E. holstiiE. holstiiE. holstiiAir-dryRiccia canescens (bulbils)Air-dryRiccia canescens (bulbils)Air-dryReidophytesAir-dryReidophytesAir-dryS. lepidophytesAir-dryS. lepidophytesAir-dryS. lepidophytesAir-dryS. lepidophytesO% chAsplenium ruta-murariaS%-10% RWCAsplenium septentrionale 7% -20% RWCAsplenium trichomanes 7% -10% RWCAsplenium trichomanes 7% -10% RWCAsplenium trichomanes 7% -10% RWCAsplenium trichomanes 7% -10% RWCAsplenium trichomanes 7% -20% chH. tunbridgense 43% H. tunbridgense 6% RWCNotholaena maranthae 6% RWC	<5 days 8 months 7 year About 1 year Until equilibrium	Wagner and Titus 1984
E. holstiiAir-dryAir-dry8 monthsRiccia canescens (bulbils)Air-dryAir-dry8 monthsPteridophytesAir-dryAir-dry7 yearS. lepidophyllaOn th9% rh1-3 days HS. lepidophylla 0% rh1-3 days HIsoetes australis $5\%-10\%$ RWC1-3 days HAsplenium ruta-muraria $5\%-10\%$ RWC1-3 days HAsplenium ruta-muraria $7\%-20\%$ RWC1-3 days HAsplenium rethomanes $7\%-20\%$ RWC1-3 days HAsplenium richomanes $7\%-15\%$ RWC1-3 days HAsplenium richomanes $2\%-20\%$ rh1-3 days HHumbridgense 43% 2% 0 daysNotholaena maranthae 6% RWC 6% RWC 1.44%	8 months 7 year About 1 year Until equilibrium	Contraction of the training of a loop and the second secon
PteridophytesAbout 1 yeS. lepidophyllaOwe thIsoeres australisO% thIsoeres australisO% thAsplenium ruta-murariaS%-10% RWCAsplenium septentionale $7\%-10\%$ RWCAsplenium ruta-murariaS%-10% RWCAsplenium septentionale $7\%-10\%$ RWCAsplenium trichomanes $2\%-20\%$ thH. tumbridgense 43% Hymenophyllum wilsonii 6% RWCNotholaena maranthae 6% RWCAsplenium trichomanes 6% RWC	About 1 year Thril contitient	Dinter 1921 (S. richwege et al. 1994) Jovet-Ast 1969
S. lepidophyllaAir-dryAbout 1 yeS. lepidophyllaAir-dryAbout 1 yeIsoetes australis 0% th 0% thUntil equiliAsplenium ruta-muraria 5% - 10% RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium septentrionale 7% - 20% RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium ruta-muraria 5% - 10% RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium septentrionale 7% - 20% RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trictomanes 7% - 10% RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trictomanes 7% - 10% RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trictomanes 7% - 10% RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trictomanes 2% - 20% rh $1-3$ days HH. tunbridgense 43% 2% - 20% rh $1-3$ days HH. tunbridgense 2% 2% 30 daysNotholaena maranthae 6% RWC 100 rh 100 rh	About 1 year Until contilibrium	
Isoetes australis 0% rhUntil equiliAsplenium ruta-muraria 5% -10% RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium ruta-muraria 5% -10% RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trichomale 7% -20% RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trichomale 7% -15% RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trichomale 7% -15% RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trichomanes 7% -15% RWC $1-3$ d H ₂ SOAsplenium trichomanes 7% -15% RWC $1-3$ d H ₂ SOAsplenium trichomanes 7% -15% RWC $1-3$ d H ₂ SOAsplenium trichomanes 2% -20% rh $1-3$ d H ₂ SOH. tunbridgense 43% 2% -20% rh 15 daysH. tunbridgense 20% 30 daysNotholearn maranthae 6% RWC 1.4 rh	I Intil equilibrium	Eickmeier 1979
Asplenium ruta-muraria $5\%-10\%$ RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium ruta-muraria $5\%-10\%$ RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trichomale $7\%-20\%$ RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trichomanes $7\%-15\%$ RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trichomanes $7\%-15\%$ RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trichomanes $7\%-20\%$ RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trichomanes $7\%-20\%$ RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trichomanes $2\%-20\%$ rh $1-3$ days HH. tunbridgense 43% 30 daysH. tunbridgense 6% RWC 30 daysNotholearn maranthae 6% RWC $1.1-3$ days		Gaff and Latz 1978
Asplenium septentrionale $7\%-20\%$ RWC $1-3$ days HAsplenium trichomanes $7\%-15\%$ RWC $1-3$ d H ₂ SCAsplenium trichomanes $7\%-15\%$ RWC $1-3$ d H ₂ SCC. rhizophyllus (gametophyte) $2\%-20\%$ rh $1-3$ d H ₂ SCH. tunbridgense 43% $2\%-20\%$ rh 15 daysH. tunbridgense 6% RWC 30 daysHymenophyllum wilsonii 20% 5% RWC	1–3 days H ₂ SO ₄ (winter)	Kappen 1964
Asplenium trichomanes $7\%-15\%$ RWC $1-3$ d H ₂ SCC. rhizophyllus (gametophyte) H_2SO_4 C. rhizophyllus (gametophyte) $2\%-20\%$ rhH. tunbridgense 43% H. tunbridgense 43% Hymenophyllum wilsonii 20% Notholaena maranthae 6% RWC	1–3 days H ₂ SO ₄ (winter)	Kappen 1964
C. $rhizophyllus$ (gametophyte) H_2SO_4 C $rhizophyllus$ (gametophyte) $2\%-20\%$ rh $Cheilanthes$ (8 species) $2\%-20\%$ rh H $tunbridgense43\%H runbridgense30\%H ymenophyllum wilsonii20\%Notholaena maranthae6\% RWC$	$1-3$ d H_2SO_4 (winter)	Kappen 1964
Cheilanthes (8 species) $2\% - 20\%$ rhUntil equil $H.$ tunbridgense 43% 15 days $H.$ nunbridgense 20% 30 days $H.$ nunbridgense 6% RWC 100%	H_2SO_4	Picket 1914
H. tunbradgense 45% D. J.5 days H.ymenophyllum wilsonii 20% 30 days Notholaena maranthae 6% RWC	Until equilibrium	Gaff and Latz 1978
Hymenopriyuum wusonu 20% Notholaena maranthae 6% RWC		Proctor 2003
	30 Gays	Proctor 2003
	I Taskil Accorditions	Lljin 1951 Coff and 1 at 1070
Laracererator sp. 12/0111 - United and sp. 20/03104 rhs. 12/0111 - United and sp. 20/03104 rhs. 12/04 rhs. 12/	Ontri equilibrium Until equilibrium	Galf and Latz 1970 Gaff and Latz 1078
1 enea (2 species) 2/0-20/0 III October 2 Octo	Until equilibrium	Gaff and Latz 1970
P. polypodioides 3% RWC 50 h	50 h	Stuart 1968
P. vulgare 3%-10% RWC 10 D P ₂ O ₅	$10 \text{ D P}_2O_5 \text{ (winter)}$	Kappen 1964
Polystichum lobatum 7%-10% RWC 24 h (air) w	24 h (air) winter	Kappen 1964
Gametophytes of ferns (5 species) 20–65 rh 36 h	36 h	Kappen 1965

TABLE 2.1 (continued) Desiccation Tolerance of Isolated Chlor	oplasts and of Poikilohydrous Autotr	ophs ^a		
Species	Degree of Desiccation Survived	Time of Drought Survived	Reference	10
Angiosperms Dicotyledons				
R. serbica	Air-dry	6–12 months	Markowska et al. 1994	
R. myconi	2% RWC	2 days	Kappen 1966	
Haberlea rhodopensis	Air dry	6–12 months	Markowska et al. 1994	
Boea hygroscopia	0% rh	Until equilibrium	Gaff and Latz 1978	
C. intrepidus	Dry desert soil	10 months	Heil 1925	
C. intrepidus, floating leaves	96% rh	Until equilibrium	Gaff 1971	
C. intrepidus, submersed leaves	5% rh	4.5 months	Gaff 1971	
Craterostigma (2 species)	0% - 15% rh	Until equilibrium	Gaff 1971	
Limosella grandiflora (corms)	5% rh	4.5 months (but both species decayed at 100% rh)	Gaff and Giess 1986	
M. flabellifolius	Air-dry, 0%	Leaves (several year)	Ziegler and Vieweg 1969, Gaff 1971	
B. liliputana	18% of initial weight	33 months	Barthlott and Porembski 1996	
Monocotyledons				
Poaceae from India (10 species)	0-2 (11)% rh	3 months	Gaff and Bole 1986	
Southern African Poaceae (11 species)	0%5% rh	2–7 months	Gaff and Ellis 1974	
Poaceae from Africa and Kenya (5 species)	0% - 15% rh	Until equilibrium	Gaff and Latz 1978	
Trilepis pilosa (African Inselberg)	8% RWC	Up to 1 year	Hambler 1961	
Coleochloa setifera	Air-dry	5 years	Gaff 1977	
Oropetium sp.	0% - 15% rh	Until equilibrium	Gaff 1971	
Australian Poaceae (6 species)	0% - 15% rh	Until equilibrium	Gaff and Latz 1978	
Australian Cyperaceae	0%-2% rh	Until equilibrium	Gaff and Latz 1978	
(4 species)				
Southern African	$0^{0/0} - 5^{0/0}$ rh	27 months	Gaff and Ellis 1975	
Cyperaceae (4 species)				
Cyperaceae from Africa	lissues: 0%-5% rh		Gatt 1986a	Fui
and Kenya (3 species)	00/ 5 0/	T [a fi] activities		nct
Ausualian Linaceae (\geq species)				ior
B. nitida		>4 year	Call and Churchill 19/0	nal
Xerophyta squarrosa	Air-dry	5 year	Gatt 19/1	Ρl
X. scabrida	Air-dry	5 year	Csintalan et al. 1996	an
Xerophyta (5 species)	0% - 15% rh	Until equilibrium	Gaff 1971	t E
Velloziaceae from Africa and Kenya (2 species)	Mature leaf tissues: 5%–30% rh		Gaff 1986a	colo
Abbreviation: RWC, relative water content; d.wt., c	dry weight; rh, relative humidity; until equilibriu	um, until equilibrium between moisture cont	ent and ambient air relative humidity.	gy

^a The list in this table is not exhaustive.

hydrophytes (c.940 spp.) are included. Among the land plants, we know nearly 90 species of pteridophytes and approximately 350 of the angiosperms (Gaff 1989, Proctor and Tuba 2002). Within the angiosperms only 10 families have to be taken into account, the Myrothamnaceae, Cactaceae, Acanthaceae, Gesneriaceae, Scrophulariaceae, and Lamiaceae (contributing in total only 35 dicotyledonous species), and the Cyperaceae, Boryaceae (*sensu* Lazerides 1992), Poaceae, and Velloziaceae (together 300 monocotyledonous species). Solely the latter, old and isolated family comprises 8 genera with nearly 260 species (Kubitzki 1998), all most likely desiccation tolerant, and more Velloziaceae species may be discovered in the future (Ibisch et al. 2001). Gaff (1989) suggests an early specialization of the poikilohydrous taxa within their small and often isolated genera.

Nonvascular autotrophs (cyanobacteria, algae, bryophytes, and lichens) are considered constitutively poikilohydrous because they lack the means of controlling water relations (Stocker and Holtheide 1938, Biebl 1962, Walter and Kreeb 1970). This is in contrast with vascular plants, which in general have constitutively homoiohydrous "sporophytes," and keep their hydration state within certain limits by such means of roots, conducting tissues, epidermis, cuticles, and stomata. The poikilohydrous performance of vascular plants is to be taken as an acquired ("secondary": Raven 1999) trait and is realized in phylogenetically unrelated plant species, genera, or families (Oliver et al. 2000). Because poikilohydry is constitutional in nonvascular autotrophs and rare among vascular plants, it is tempting to consider it a primitive property and to suggest that evolutionarily early terrestrial, photosynthetic organisms based their survival on tolerance (Raven 1999) instead of avoidance mechanisms. However, poikilohydry is not an indicator of an early evolutionary stage among vascular plants. Although several recent pteridophytes are poikilohydrous, there is no known poikilohydrous recent gymnosperm, and poikilohydry is frequent only in highly derived angiosperm families (Oliver and Bewley 1997, Oliver et al. 2000). Therefore, poikilohydrous performance by vascular plants can be interpreted evolutionarily as an adaptive response to climates and habitats with infrequent moist periods (see also Proctor and Tuba 2002).

The term *resurrection* has been commonly used for some species and, in general, matches the capability of poikilohydrous plants to quickly reactivate after falling into a period of anabiosis caused by dehydration. It is very appropriate for spikemosses (Selaginella) and certain bryophytes and lichens that curl strongly with water loss and unfold conspicuously on rehydration. Similar performance can be observed in the dead remnants of plants in deserts and steppes. In addition, in fact, the annual homoiohydrous species Anastatica hierochuntica was called a resurrection plant by some investigators (Wellburn and Wellburn 1976) because of the dramatic change between a curled and shriveled stage in the dry season and the spreading of the dead branches in the rainy season to release the seeds. Consequently, resurrection, in a broad, intuitive sense, could also be applied to certain homoiohydrous desert perennials (e.g., Aloe, Mesembryanthemaceae, and certain cacti). On the other hand, the shape and appearance of some constitutively poikilohydrous autotrophs, such as terrestrial unicellular algae and crustose lichens, do not visibly change. To add to the confusion, water loss can be dramatic in some homoiohydrous desert plants, whereas it can be minor in constitutively poikilohydrous plants such as Hymenophyllum tunbridgense or bryophytes and lichens from moist environments. Therefore, the resurrection phenomenon (visible changes in shape and aspect with hydration) is only part of the poikilohydrous performance and it is not exhibited to the same extent by all poikilohydrous autotrophs.

Ferns are dual because they produce constitutively poikilohydrous gametophytes and a cormophytic sporophyte with the full anatomy of a homoiohydrous plant. Knowledge about gametophytes is scant. They are usually found in humid, sheltered habitats where hygric and mesic bryophytes also grow. Previous literature reports on extremely desiccation-tolerant prothallia of the North American *Camptosorus rhizophyllus*, and of *Asplenium platyneuron* and *Ceterach officinarum* (= *Asplenium ceterach*) (Walter and Kreeb 1970). The desiccation

tolerance of prothallia of some European fern species varied with species and season (Kappen 1965). They usually overwinter, and their ability to survive low temperatures and freezing is based on increased desiccation tolerance. Prothallia of rock-colonizing species (*Asplenium* species, *Polypodium vulgare*) could withstand 36 h drying in 40% relative humidity; some species were partly damaged but could regenerate from surviving tissue. Prothallia of other ferns from European forests were more sensitive to desiccation (Table 2.1).

The poikilohydrous nature of a terrestrial vascular plant is frequently defined by the combination of a passive response to ambient water relations and a tolerance to desiccation (Gaff 1989), but the emphasis on the different functional aspects involved and the actual limits of poikilohydry are matters of debate. Some poikilohydric species cannot even tolerate a water loss greater than 80% of their maximal water content (Gaff and Loveys 1984), and others can be shown to gain their tolerance only by a preconditioning procedure. Boundaries between poikilohydrous and homoiohydrous plants can be rather blurry, especially if we include examples of xerophytes that can survive extremely low water potentials (Kappen et al. 1972). Surviving at very low relative humidities is not a useful indicator because a limit of 0%–10% relative humidity excludes many nonvascular plants that are undoubtedly poikilohydrous. Considering the photosynthetic performance and low tolerance to desiccation of certain forest lichens (Green et al. 1991) and the fact that, in particular, endohydric bryophytes depend on moist environments, Green and Lange (1994) concluded that the passive response to ambient moisture conditions of poikilohydrous autotrophs varies in a species- and environment-specific manner.

The conflict between ecologically based and physiologically or morphologically based criteria cannot be easily solved. However, a compromise can be reached by distinguishing between stenopoikilohydrous (narrow range of water contents) and eurypoikilohydrous (broad range of water content) autotrophs. This distinction is especially useful for nonvascular, that is, for constitutively poikilohydrous autotrophs. For instance, microfungi that spend all their active lifetime within a narrow range of air humidity are stenopoikilohydrous. As xeric species they grow in equilibrium with relative humidities as low as 60% (Pitt and Christian 1968, Zimmermann and Butin 1973). Aquatic algae and cyanobacteria are also typically stenopoikilohydrous. The so-called hygric and mesic bryophytes and filmy ferns that are not able to survive drying to less than 60% water content or less than 95% relative humidity also belong to the stenopoikilohydrous type. The same is the case with some wet forest lichens that have low desiccation tolerance (Green et al. 1991). A stenopoikilohydrous performance is also apparent in those ephemeral bryophytes that germinate after heavy rain and then quickly develop gametophytes and sporogons. Some examples with this drought evasion strategy are the genera *Riella*, *Riccia*, and species of Sphaerocarpales, Pottiaceae, and Bryobatramiaceae. These annual shuttle species are characteristic of seepage areas and pond margins where the soil remains wet for a few weeks (Volk 1984). The many vascular plant species growing permanently submersed in water have also a stenopoikilohydrous life style (see Raven 1999).

All nonvascular and vascular species that are extremely tolerant to desiccation and typically perform as resurrection plants (Gaff 1972, 1977, Proctor 1990) belong to the eurypoikilohydrous group. Because many of these species grow in dry or desert environments, poikilohydry was often associated with xerophytism (Hickel 1967, Patterson 1964, Gaff 1977). However, seasonal changes in the tolerance to desiccation can confound this distinction between stenopoikilohydrous and eurypoikilohydrous organisms. These changes have been found in bryophytes (Dilks and Proctor 1976b) and ferns (Kappen 1964) and are very likely to occur in angiosperms. As suggested by Kappen (1964), such plants may be considered as temporarily poikilohydrous. Hence, the number of eurypoikilohydrous bryophyte species cannot be fixed until temporal changes of desiccation tolerance are better studied in mesic species (Proctor 1990, Davey 1997, Proctor and Tuba 2002). Most of the available

information and, consequently, most of what follows, involves eurypoikilohydrous autotrophs. The different groups of poikilohydrous autotrophs that can be identified according to the range of water contents experienced in nature or tolerated are summarized later.

ECOLOGY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POIKILOHYDROUS AUTOTROPHS

Nowhere else in the world are poikilohydrous autotrophs more conspicuous than in arid and climatically extreme regions (e.g., Namib desert, Antarctica). It is somewhat paradoxical that precisely in habitats with extreme water deficits the dominant organisms are the least protected against water loss. Additionally, the poikilohydrous angiosperms show in general no typical features against water loss, but they can compete well with extremely specialized taxa of homoiohydrous plants. However, again the distinction between stenopoikilohydrous and eurypoikilohydrous plants becomes important, because stenopoikilohydrous autotrophs can be very abundant in moist habitats (e.g., cloud forests: Gradstein 2006). In the moist and misty climate of San Miguel, Azores, even *Sphagnum* species are able to grow as epiphytes on small trees. However, eurypoikilohydrous autotrophs, which are capable of enduring prolonged drought and extreme temperatures, represent the most interesting group because they have more specifically exploited the ecological advantages of their opportunistic strategy. The remainder of this chapter presents examples of poikilohydrous autotrophs living under very limiting ecological conditions in many different regions of the earth.

In temperate climates, poikilohydrous autotrophs are mainly represented by aerophytic algae, bryophytes, and lichens. Depending on their habitat, bryophytes can be eurypoikilohydrous or stenopoikilohydrous. Among the temperate vascular plants, poikilohydrous performance is realized in some mainly rock-colonizing fern genera such as *Asplenium*, *Ceterach, Cheilanthes, Hymenophyllum, Notholaena*, and *Polypodium* and the phanerogamous genera *Haberlea* and *Ramonda*.

From the arctic region, no poikilohydrous vascular plants are known, and most parts of Antarctica are inhabited solely by algae, bryophytes, lichens, and fungi, which are mainly eurypoikilohydrous. In the polar regions and in hot, extremely arid deserts, nonvascular autotrophs may be restricted to clefts and rock fissures or even grow inside the rock as endolithic organisms or hypolithic on the underside of more or less translucent rock particles and stones (Friedmann and Galun 1974, Scott 1982, Danin 1983, Kappen 1988, 1993b, Nienow and Friedmann 1993).

In subtropical regions bryophytes, algae, and lichens are well known as crust-forming elements on open soils (Belnap and Lange 2001). The coastal Namib desert, with extremely scattered rainfall, consists of wide areas where no vascular plants can be found, but a large cover of mainly lichens forms a prominent vegetation. In rocky places of the Near East, southern Africa, arid northwest North America, coastal southwest North America, and the South American westcoast, lichens and bryophytes coexist with xeromorphic or succulent plants. They also occupy rock surfaces and places where vascular plants do not find enough soil, or they grow as epiphytes on shrubs and cacti. Under such extreme conditions, lichens and bryophytes share the habitat with poikilohydrous vascular plants as for instance *Borya nitida* on temporarily wet granitic outcrops (Figure 2.1) with shallow soil cover in southern and western Australia (Gaff and Churchill 1976).

In Africa, subfruticose poikilohydrous plants such as *Lindernia crassifolia* and *Lindernia acicularis* grow in sheltered rock niches (Fischer 1992). The same is true for the fruticose poikilohydrous species, *Myrothamnus flabellifolius*, occurring in southern Africa and Madagascar from Namibia (Child 1960, Puff 1978, Sherwin et al. 1998), which is frequently associated with other resurrection plants (e.g., *Pellaea viridis, Pellaea calomelanos*). In the wet season, these plants benefit from run off water that floods the shallow ground (Child 1960). Particularly remarkable are poikilohydrous aquatic *Lindernia* species (*L. linearifolia*,



FIGURE 2.1 Two very different examples of poikilohydrous autotrophs co-occurring on a shallow depression of a granite outcrop near Armadale, western Australia: the monocotyledonous plant *B. nitida* (*left*), mosses, and the whitish fruticose lichen *Siphula* sp. (Photograph from Kappen, L., *Physiological Plants Ecology, Vol. I. Responses to the Physical Environment*, O.L. Lange, P.S. Nobel, C.B. Osmond, and H. Ziegler, eds, Sprigner-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1981.)

L. monrio, L. conferta) and Chamaegigas (Lindernia) intrepidus (Heil 1925, Hickel 1967, Gaff and Giess 1986, Heilmeier et al. 2005), which grow in small temporarily water-filled basins of granitic outcrops in Africa (Angola, Zaire, Zimbabwe, South Africa, Namibia). Many of the poikilohydrous grass species (less than 20 cm high) and sedges (30–50 cm high) are pioneering perennial plants colonizing shallow soil pans in southern Africa (Gaff and Ellis 1974). In Kenya and West Africa, the resurrection grasses, sedges, and Vellociaceae (in Africa 30 species, Ibisch et al. 2001) are confined to rocky areas, except Sporobolus fimbriatus and Sporobolus pellucidatus. Eragrostis invalida is the tallest poikilohydrous grass species known with a foliage up to 60 cm (Gaff 1986). Vellozia schnitzleinia is a primary mat former following algae and lichens on shallow soils of African inselbergs, persisting during the dry season with brown, purple-tinged rolled leaves that turn green in the wet season (Owoseye and Sandford 1972).

The resurrection flora of North America is represented mainly by pteridophytes. Most of the poikilohydrous fern species so far known are preferentially found in rock cervices, gullies, or sheltered in shady rocky habitats (Nobel 1978, Gildner and Larson 1992). By contrast, the most famous resurrection plant *Selaginella lepidophylla* colonizes open plains in Texas (Eickmeier 1979, 1983). In Middle and South America, 220 species of the Velloziaceae form the dominant part of the poikilohydrous flora. They grow in various habitats and even in alpine regions. The endemic *Vellozia andina* seems to be an opportunistic species as it takes benefit from degraded formerly forested sites (Ibisch et al. 2001). Fire resistance is typical of many Velloziaceae species (Kubitzki 1998). Gaff (1987) has enumerated 12 fern species for South America. *Pleopeltis mexicana* and *Trichomanes bucinatum* may also be candidates (Hietz and Briones 1998). One of the most remarkable poikilohydrous vascular plants could be *Blossfeldia liliputana*, a tiny cactus that grows in shaded rock crevices of the eastern Andean chain (Bolivia to northern Argentine) at altitudes between 1200 and 2000 m (Barthlott and Porembski 1996). This plant is unable to maintain growth and shape during periods of drought, and it persists in the dry state

(18% of initial weight) for 12–14 months, looking like a piece of paper. When water is again available, it can rehydrate and resume CO_2 assimilation within 2 weeks; it is the only known example of a succulent poikilohydrous plant.

From a plant-geographical perspective, inselberg regions in Africa, Madagascar, tropical South America, and Western Australia have the largest diversity of poikilohydrous vascular plants in the World. Porembski and Barthlott (2000) state that 90% of the known vascular poikilohydrous plant species occur on tropical inselbergs. The presence of almost all known genera with poikilohydrous plants could be recorded from such sites. Despite the existence of similar potential habitats for poikilohydrous vascular plants in Australia, species are less numerous there than in southern Africa. Lazarides (1992) suggested that this biogeographical difference between Australia and southern Africa is due to the fact that the Australian arid flora has been exposed to alternating arid and pluvial cycles for a shorter geological period of time than the arid flora of southern Africa. The former has experienced these alternations since the Tertiary, whereas the latter has been exposed to dry-wet cycles since the Cretaceous. Ferns, represented by a relatively large number of species [14], and most of the poikilohydrous grasses found in Australia [10] grow in xeric rocky sites (Lazarides 1992). We have very few records about poikilohydrous vascular plants from Asia, although such a type of plant must exist there as well. Gaff and Bole (1986) recorded 10 poikilohydrous Poaceae (genera Eragrostidella, Oropetium, Tripogon) for India. The Gesneriaceae Boea hygrometrica, closely related to the Australian Boea hygroscopica, is a poikilohydrous representative in China (see Yang et al. 2003).

Most of the resurrection plants are confined to lowland and up to 2000 m a. s. l. However, a few Velloziaceae species such as *Xerophyta splendens* reach altitudes of 2800 m in Malawi (Porembski 1996) and *Barbaceniopsis boliviensis* reach 2900 m in the Andes (Ibisch et al. 2001), the latter staying in anabiosis with reddish-brown leaves for half a year. In such high altitudes, they are exposed to frost periods.

DOES POIKILOHYDRY RELY ON SPECIFIC MORPHOLOGICAL FEATURES?

Poikilohydrous performance cannot be typified by any one given set of morphological and anatomical features because of the heterogeneity of this functional group of photosynthetic organisms. Poikilohydry can be found in autotrophs ranging from those with the most primitive unicellular or thallose organization to those with the most highly derived vascular anatomy. In angiosperms, desiccation tolerance is, in general, inversely related to anatomical complexity. It seems that plants can operate either by avoidance or tolerance mechanisms at all levels of organization if they are adapted to temporarily dry habitats. Gaff (1977) called resurrection plants "true xerophytic" just because they live in xeric environments. However, poikilohydrous angiosperms do not necessarily have xeromorphic traits. Xeromorphic features such as small and leathery leaves are typical for *Myrothamnus*; xeromorphic narrow or needle-like leaves for many Velloziacea, Cyperaceae, and the genus *Borya* (see Figure 2.1); and massive sclerenchymatic elements, for example, several Velloziaceae and Borya (Gaff and Churchill 1976, Lazarides 1992, Kubitzki 1998). Hairs on leaves (e.g., Velloziaceae, Gesneriaceae) are mostly small, and scales (e.g., Ceterach) or succulence (Blossfeldia) are the exception rather than the rule in poikilohydrous vascular plants. Xeromorphic structures would also counteract the potential of rehydration during the wet period. However, curling and uncurling of leaves, frequently enabled by contraction mechanism, is a widespread phenomenon in poikilohydrous vascular plants.

Poikilohydrous vascular plants are mainly perennials represented by various types of hemicryptophyte and chamaephyte life forms but no trees. Lignification of stems is not rare, and the two existing *Myrothamnus* species are true shrubs reaching approximately 1.5 m height. Within the monocotyledons, a tree-like habit is achieved either by an enhanced

primary growth of the main axis or by secondary thickening, and trunks may reach up to 4 m length. Such pseudostems are realized in the genus *Borya* (secondary growth) and by some Cyperaceae and Velloziaceae (Gaff 1997, Kubitzki 1998, Porembski and Barthlott 2000). For instance, a sample of *Vellozia kolbekii* was looking with its stem (covered by roots and leaf sheaths) like a tree fern, was 3 m tall, and was estimated to be 500 years old (Alves 1994).

As most of the phanerogamous resurrection plants do not show peculiar or uniform anatomical features, it is hard to decide whether a particular plant is poikilohydrous just from herbarium material or from short-term observations in the field (Gaff and Latz 1978). It is still uncertain, for instance, whether more members of the Lindernieae can be identified as poikilohydrous in studies such as that by Fischer (1992) and Proctor (2003). Many species that grow in shady habitats or that colonize temporarily inundated habitats exhibit a hygromorphic tendency (Volk 1984, Fischer 1992, Markowska et al. 1994). For instance, *C. intrepidus* has, like other aquatic plants, aerenchyma and two types of leaves, floating and submerged. *B. liliputana*, the only known poikilohydrous Cactaceae, combines a succulent habit with a typically hygromorphic anatomy: very thin cuticle, no thickened outer cell walls, absence of hypodermal layers, and extremely low stomatal density (Barthlott and Porembski 1996). Poikilohydrous vascular plants exhibit, in general, very low stomatal control of transpiration (Gebauer 1986, Sherwin et al. 1998, Proctor 2003). The leaves of *Satureja gilliesii* even have protruding stomata on the underside (Montenegro et al. 1979).

The secondarily poikilohydrous nature of aquatic vascular plants has rarely been acknowledged (Raven 1999). Most of them have reduced xylem structure and no sustaining function. Roots merely act to fix to the substratum, and nutrients are taken up by the leaves. Cuticles are thin and stomata are scattered and frequently nonfunctional (*Isoetes, Litorella, Elodea, Vallisneria*, Potamogetonaceae, etc). Living in streams and underwater rapids in the Tropics, the Podostemaceae are very remarkable examples with a drastic reduction of their homoiohydrous architecture. With their thallus-like shoots they resemble foliose liverworts.

Small size is recognized frequently as typical of the shape of the poikilohydrous autotrophs. Indeed, only a few vascular species are fruticose and reach more than 50 cm height. Alpert (2006) discusses whether there is a trade-off between low growth and desiccation tolerance in the sense of a disadvantage, because the plant has to invest in protection mechanisms instead of extension growth as most of the homoiohydrous plants do. Proctor and Tuba (2002) on the other hand, refer to poikilohydry as an advantage particularly for living in temporarily dry environments. High desiccation tolerance is the ultimate drought-evading mechanism. The resurrection strategy is ecologically as successful as that of homoiohydrous plants with CAM or the adaptation to live on heavy-metal soils or in raised bogs. In addition, the slow growth and small size of poikilohydrous plants is not only a function of changing water status but also of nutrient deficiency, which is obvious from most of their natural habitats. *C. intrepidus*, for instance, has to use urea as nitrogen source by means of free urease in the sediments of rock pools (Heilmeier et al. 2000) and free amino acids (Schiller 1998).

Living under water, the nonvascular autotrophs are able to develop a size (*Macrocystis* spp.: 60 m) comparable to that of tall trees, and the vascular plant species *Elodea canadensis* may produce up to 6 m long shoots (see Raven 1999). Endohydrous mosses such as the Dawsoniaceae and Polytrichaceae may reach a height of 1 m in the damp atmosphere of rain forests. This demonstrates that the small size of eurypoikilohydrous plants is an adaptive trait to respond flexibly to drought events rather than remaining principally handicapped with respect to growth and productivity.

EXPLOITING AN ERRATIC RESOURCE

Water is evasive in many terrestrial habitats, and plants in general have to deal with the changing availability of this crucial resource. This is especially true for poikilohydrous

autotrophs, which have successfully explored many different strategies within their general tolerance to water scarcity. However, some of the features that make tolerance to desiccation possible are irreconcilable with those that enhance water use. Poikilohydrous autotrophs, therefore, have had to trade-off between surviving desiccation against uptake, transport, and storage of water. Some adaptive conflicts appear, for instance, when a particular feature retards water loss. The important functional problems that arise when the plant has to resume water transport after desiccation might have limited the range of growth forms and plant sizes compatible with poikilohydry.

DIFFERENT MODES OF WATER UPTAKE AND TRANSPORT

Plants must be efficient in acquiring water, particularly in arid regions where rainfall is scarce and sometimes the only available water comes from dew, mist, or fog. Poikilohydrous plants can outcompete their homoiohydric counterparts in dry habitats if they can rehydrate efficiently. The following section describes the different possibilities for water capture exhibited by poikilohydrous autotrophs, with emphasis on the role of the growth form and of the morphology and anatomy of the structures involved.

Aerophytic algae and lichens with green-algal photobionts can take up enough water from humid atmospheres to become metabolically active (Lange 1969b, Blum 1973, Lange and Kilian 1985, Lange et al. 1990a, Bertsch 1996a,b). Rehydration in lichens from humid atmospheres may take 1-4 days until equilibrium, whereas mist and dewfall yield water saturation within hours (Kappen et al. 1979, Lange and Redon 1983, Lange et al. 1991). Even water vapor over ice and snow serves as an effective water source for the activation of lichens in polar regions (Kappen 2000, Pannewitz et al. 2006; see Chapter 14). As a consequence, lichens in deserts can survive well with sporadic or even no rainfall (Kappen 1988, Lange et al. 1990c, 1991). Anatomical structures such as long cilia, rhizines, branching, or a reticulate thallus structure are characteristic of lichens from fog deserts (e.g., Ramalina melanothrix, Teloschistes capensis, Ramalina menziesii), suggesting that these structures are means for increased water absorption (Rundel 1982a). In lichens, liquid water is absorbed by the entire body (thallus), usually within a few minutes (Blum 1973, Rundel 1982a, 1988). The thallus swells and can unfold lobes or branches. However, there is little evidence of a water transport system in these organisms (Green and Lange 1994). Nevertheless, not all lichens have the same capacity for exploiting the various forms of water from the environment. For example, lichens with cyanobacteria as photobiont cannot exist without liquid water (Lange et al. 1988). For the Australian erratic green-algal Chondropsis semiviridis, rainwater is necessary to allow photosynthetic production because the curled lobes must be unfolded (Rogers and Lange 1971, Lange et al. 1990a).

The kinetics of water uptake seems to be similar in lichens and mosses, and the larger the surface area to weight ratio, the more rapid the water uptake (Larson 1981). Rundel (1982a) suggested that thin cortical layers of coastal Roccellaceae in desert regions may be a morphological adaptation to increase rates of water uptake. However, textural features of the upper cortex seem to be more important for water uptake than just thickness (Larson 1984, Valladares 1994a). Valladares (1994a) found that species of Umbilicariaceae that possess the most porous and hygroscopic upper cortex (equal to filter paper) are adapted to live mainly from water vapor (aero-hygrophytic), whereas species that have an almost impervious cortex were more frequently exploiting liquid water from the substratum (substrate-hygrophytic; Sancho and Kappen 1989).

Most bryophytes need a humid environment or externally adhered water to keep a level of hydration high enough for metabolic functions. Many species form cushions, turfs, or mats that aid to keep capillary water around the single shoots (Gimingham and Smith 1971, Giordano et al. 1993). At full saturation, the water content of mosses (excluding external

water) can vary between 140% and 250% dry weight (d.wt.) (Dilks and Proctor 1979), which is similar to that of macrolichens. Thallose hygrophytic liverworts require higher levels of hydration, and their maximal water content can be more than 800% d.wt. In shaded or sheltered habitats, hygric and some mesic bryophytes are able to keep their water content relatively constant throughout the year, which is characteristic for a stenopoikilohydrous lifestyle (Green and Lange 1994). In more open and exposed sites, the fluctuations in water content are very large (Dilks and Proctor 1979).

The more complex and differentiated morphology and anatomy of bryophytes, in comparison with lichens, allow for more varied modes of water uptake (Proctor 1982, 1990, Rundel 1982b). Bryophytes can take up water vapor to limited extent and reach only low (less than 30% of maximum water content) relative values (Rundel and Lange 1980, Dhindsa 1985, Lange et al. 1986). Dew uptake was recorded for Tortula ruralis (Tuba et al. 1996a) and for 10 sand-dune mosses (Scott 1982). Leaves of certain desert mosses (e.g., Pottiaceae) act as focus for condensation of water vapor and mist by means of their recurved margins, papillose surfaces, and hair points (Scott 1982). However, the presence of lamellae, filaments, and other outcrops on the adaxial surface of the leaves, which is common in arid zone mosses, may act more as sun shelter rather than as means to enhance water uptake. The role of scales and hyaline structures on the midrib of desert liverworts (e.g., Riccia, Exormotheca, and Grimaldia), which is inverted and exposed to the open when the thallus is dry, is not clear, but they start absorbing rainwater and swelling to turn down rapidly and may help in storing water (Rundel and Lange 1980). Mosses of the family Polytrichaceae have so-called rhizomes or root-like structures, which are not very efficient for water uptake (Hebant 1977). In general, water uptake of mosses from the soil is poor and needs to be supplemented by external water absorption.

Two main groups of bryophytes have been described according to the mode of water transport. Ectohydrous species resemble lichens because they take up water over all or most of their thallus surface and have no internal water transport system, whereas endohydrous species have various water-proofed surfaces (cuticles), often well developed near to the gas exchange pores (stomata on the sporophytes), and have a significant water-transport pathway (Proctor 1984, Green and Lange 1994). These properties of the latter are similar to those of homoiohydrous plants (Hebant 1977). However, they differ from vascular plants in that their conductive structures are not lignified, and all these properties are functional only in moist environments. Therefore desert mosses are typically ectohydrous (Longton 1988a), and the water transport in eurypoikilohydrous bryophytes growing in dry environments is predominantly external. However, some eurypoikilohydrous mosses (Fabronianaceae, Orthotrichiaceae) have large masses of stereom tissue (usually a supporting tissue), that is considered to be an alternative route for the conduction of water (Zamski and Trachtenberg 1976).

Proctor (1982) summarized four different pathways or modes by which water moves in a bryophyte: (1) inside elongated conductive cells (hydroids), forming a central strand in the stems of mosses and some liverworts; (2) by the cell walls, which are frequently thickened (in fact, bryophyte cell walls have higher water conductivity than those of vascular plants); (3) through intervening walls and membranes; and (4) by extracellular capillary spaces. The highest internal conduction for water in Polytrichaceae at 70% relative humidity was 67% of the total conduction (Hebant 1977).

Water uptake in poikilohydrous vascular plants can be very complex because of interactions between different organs. For instance, in the fern *Cheilanthes fragrans*, water uptake through the leaf surface from a water vapor-saturated atmosphere allows it to reach 80% of its maximal water content within 50 h (Figure 2.2a). Petiolar water uptake was also efficient, but only if the leaves were in high air humidity (Figure 2.2b). Stuart (1968) found that the fern *Polypodium polypodioides* was not able to rehydrate by soil moistening if the air was dry, and the leaves reached only 50% of their maximal water content within 2–3 days, even in a water





FIGURE 2.2 (a) Water-vapor uptake of leaves of the fern *Cheilanthes fragrans* with sealed petioles in a moist chamber. The different symbols stand for four replicates (L. Kappen, unpublished results). (b) Water uptake of leaves of *C. fragrans* placed on filter paper in a moist chamber (open circles); with petiole in a vessel with water and standing in a moist chamber (closed circles), and (open and closed triangles) with petiole in water in a room (approximately 60% rh) (L. Kappen, unpublished results).

vapor-saturated atmosphere (Stuart 1968, confirming the results of Pessin 1924). Fronds of the highly desiccation-tolerant *Polypodium virginianum* were, however, not able to absorb water from air as was shown with Deuterium-labeled water (Matthes-Sears et al. 1993). Thus, the capacity of the leaves to take up water vapor varies significantly among species and seems not to be associated with the tolerance to desiccation. In contrast, liquid-water uptake by leaves has been shown to be a common feature in poikilohydrous vascular plants. Detached leaves of *P. polypodioides* regained full saturation within 20–30 min if submersed in liquid water (Stuart 1968). However, leaves attached to the rhizome needed 10 times longer for saturation than detached leaves. Stuart explained this by alluding to anaerobic conditions that impede rapid water uptake. Rapid water uptake by leaves was also shown in *S. lepidophylla* (Eickmeier 1979). It seems that, in pteridophytes, water uptake through leaves is an important mechanism for reestablishing water relations of the whole plant and for resuming xylem function. Similarly, rehydration of the whole plant solely by watering the soil in dry air is also incomplete in poikilohydrous angiosperms (Gaff 1977).

Water uptake from mist or from saturated atmospheres is insignificant in poikilohydrous angiosperms (Vieweg and Ziegler 1969), as has been shown for isolated leaves of Ramonda myconi (Gebauer et al. 1987). In addition, exposure to dewfall could only raise the relative water content to less than 13% in Craterostigma wilmsii (Gaff 1977). Foliar water uptake by desert plants has been investigated, particularly with respect to dew uptake (Barthlott and Capesius 1974), but it seems to be insignificant in homoiohydrous plants except in the genus Tillandsia (Rundel 1982b). In contrast, foliar water uptake from rain by poikilohydrous vascular plants may be important to resume functioning of the hydraulic system, as Gaff (1977) found that leaves of resurrection plants in contact with liquid water can rehydrate within 1-14 h, depending on the species. The quickest uptake was measured in C. intrepidus (Hickel 1967). The cuticle of vascular plants is generally considered an efficient protection against water loss. However, the cuticle of poikilohydrous vascular plants may also enhance water uptake by leaves (e.g., Borya; Gaff 1977). The permeability of the cuticle to water was assumed for C. interpidus (Hickel 1967). Barthlott and Capesius (1974) suggested that the cuticle of some of these plants seems to be more permeable to water from outside than from inside the leaf. However, this is not clear as some studies attribute permeability to the state of the cuticular layer rather than to the cuticle itself (Schönherr 1982). According to Kerstiens (1996), water uptake through the cuticle is most likely, but evidence needs to be shown.



FIGURE 2.3 Water uptake of leaves of *R. myconi* (Gesneriaceae) with sealed petioles. Leaves with hairs (open triangles) and after removing the hairs (closed triangles) soaking from sprayed water; and leaves with hairs (open circles) and without hairs (closed circles) in a moist chamber. (From Gebauer, R., Lösch, R., and Kappen, L., *Verh. Ges. Ökologie.*, XVI, 231, 1987.)

Hairs and scales can function as auxiliary structures for water uptake because they absorb water more easily than the leaf epidermis. The lower surface of the curled and folded leaflets of ferns like *Ceterach officinarum*, densely covered with scales and trichomes, should enhance water capture (Oppenheimer and Halevy 1962). The so-called hydathodes on the leaves of *Myrothamnus* may actually function as water-absorbing trichomes (Rundel 1982b). However, Sherwin and Farrant (1996) do not believe in any water uptake by leaves of this species. In addition, scales of *P. polypodioides* did not facilitate water uptake, but allowed the water to spread homogeneously on the leaf surface (Pessin 1924, Stuart 1968), and the scales on the leaves of several species of *Ceterach* and *Cheilanthes* retarded water uptake for several hours because of the air that was trapped between the scales (Oppenheimer and Halevy 1962, Gaff 1977, Gebauer 1986). The hairs of the leaves of *R. myconi* (and of other *Ramonda* species) had the same effect (Figure 2.3). Spraying of the detached hairy leaves resulted in less water uptake than immersion in water or spraying hairless leaves. The retarding effect of scales and hairs suggests that a very rapid water uptake after desiccation could be injurious to the leaf cells.

PROBLEMS OF RESUMING WATER TRANSPORT

Poikilohydrous plants that possess an internal system for water transport (endohydrous bryophytes and vascular plants) are exposed to cavitation (break down of a vessel by air bubbles) during desiccation, which compromise the functioning of the conducting tissues on rehydration. This was particularly investigated in trees (Sperry and Tyree 1988, Tyree and Sperry 1988, Hargrave et al. 1994, Lewis et al. 1994, Kolb et al. 1996, Tyree, Chapter 6, this volume). Emboli in a fraction of the conductive elements confines water transport into a diminished number of vessels, which requires an increased tension and further increased the risk of embolism. Embolized conduits can become functional again through bubble dissolution or expulsion, which requires a positive pressurization (Zimmermann and Milburn 1982). Poikilohydrous plants face the dilemma of restoring water transport through their old, embolized tissues or investing in new conducting tissues, which reduces the resources available to be allocated elsewhere in the plant. The fact that most of the poikilohydrous

vascular plants are herbaceous and smaller than 50 cm might be explained by the difficulties of restoration of conductivity of the xylem. The same mechanical difficulties of resuming water conductivity of embolized tissues might also be behind the remarkable lack of poikilohydrous species among the gymnosperms, which consist only of trees and shrubs. The low flexibility due to the xylem anatomy of gymnosperms was demonstrated by Ingrouille (1995).

Poikilohydrous vascular plants that would be able to resume water transport only if their shoot tissues have been hydrated by external water uptake perform like the endohydrous bryophytes, where water conduction in the hydroids is supported by lateral and apoplastic water transport. The imbibition of the cell walls of leaf and stem tissues generates the necessary pressure to induce dissolution of emboli in the tracheary tissues. Capillary forces in poikilohydrous plants at 40% relative humidity and under laboratory conditions could move water to a height of 2-12 cm (Galace 1974, cited in Gaff 1977). These forces are sufficient to rehydrate many of the small herbaceous poikilohydrous species. Other mechanisms to eliminate emboli are temperature-associated osmosis at the plant apex (Pickard 1989) and generation of a root pressure that is able to dissolve gas bubbles in the conduits of small herbs and grasses (Zimmermann and Milburn 1982). The latter was shown to be able to restore full liquid continuity and was assumed to be important in larger poikilohydrous species like Xerophyta eglandulosa (Gaff 1977). Reversal of almost complete embolism in stems of the homoiohydrous Salvia multiflora was related to the presence of narrow vessels and tracheids, which were better to refill than wider conduits (Hargrave et al. 1994). Very narrow vessels (approximately $14 \mu m$) are also true for *M. flabellifolius*. In addition, it has reticular perforation plates and knob-like protuberances on the outer walls of the vessels and tracheids, obviously to provide stability when the tissues swell and shrink. The hydraulic conductivity is, however, low and the shrub needs approximately 70 h to regain turgor (Sherwin et al. 1998). Water rise in the axes is substantially aided by root pressure (which develops 3-4 h after watering the plants and ceases after 4-5 days) and additionally, mechanisms that disintegrate lipid films on the lumen walls of the xylem elements, such as radial water flow along the xylem parenchyma, the phloem, and cortical cells (Schneider et al. 2000). This demonstrates that a woody poikilohydrous plant has to take great efforts for its rehydration. As soon as a leaf is reached by the waterfront, it is unfolded and hydrated to 65% RWC within 2 h and soon photosynthetically functional (Sherwin and Farrant 1996).

The slow recovery on rehydration of whole plants when compared with detached leaves (Stuart 1968, Gebauer 1986) might be because of the time required to form new roots. This topic has been better explored in homoiohydrous plants from arid environments. For instance, the hydraulic conductivity of roots of Agave deserti and Agave acanthodes, which decreased dramatically after several days of drought, rapidly recovered when water was again available, not by formation of new roots but by refilling the extant tissues, which were made up of flexible and unlignified vessels (North and Nobel 1991, Ewers et al. 1992). In fact, a flexible structure in the conductive system was identified in the poikilohydrous B. liliputana (Barthlott Porembski 1996). Contractive tracheids evidently enable the dry, contracted, and submersed leaves of C. intrepidus to swell by 800%-900% in water (Schiller et al. 1999). Another means of rapid water uptake is provided in this poikilohydrous species (Heilmeier et al. 2000) and in the genus Borya, Cyperaceae, and Xerophyta pinifolia (Porembski and Barthlott 1995, 2000) by a Velamen radicum, which is otherwise typical for epiphytic orchids. Earlier literature has recorded the formation of new adventitious roots in poikilohydrous plants subsequent to rehydration (Walter and Kreeb 1970). This was confirmed recently for Velloziaceae (e.g., Xerophyta scabrida), Borya sphaerocephala, and Craterostigma plantagineum, where such roots appeared after the regreening of the plant, replacing the drought-killed original roots (Tuba et al. 1993a, Porembski and Barthlott 2000, Norwood et al. 2003).

RETARDING WATER LOSS

Poikilohydrous autotrophs can maintain their water content at a constant level only to a limited extent, but they can extend hydration into the dry period by certain, mostly structural, mechanisms. By retarding water loss, such organisms can enhance their exploitation of the transient periods of water availability. Retarding water loss could, however, counteract some advantageous aspects of the poikilohydrous strategy. For instance, extending hydration sometimes reduces water capture. Poikilohydry also provides a remarkable tolerance for desiccated autotrophs to other stresses that usually occur with drought, such as heat and excessive light (see Section "Preventing Damage and Tolerating Stresses"). Thus, if metabolic activity is extended into these harmful periods, it could not only reduce overall productivity but also compromise survival.

One way of retarding water loss in lichens is by increasing the water that can be stored within the plectenchyma (Valladares 1994b, Valladares et al. 1998). Anatomical characteristics, such as porous and thick medulla layers and rhizinae, have been suggested as means of increasing water storage in lichens (Snelgar and Green 1981, Valladares et al. 1993, Valladares and Sancho 1995). However, because water and CO_2 share the pathway in lichens, enhanced water storage can hamper CO_2 diffusion and consequently reduce photosynthetic carbon uptake (Green et al. 1985, Lange et al. 1996, Maguas et al. 1997). Thus, again, these plants must reach a compromise between two opposing situations. Large foliose lichens can possibly separate photosynthesis and water storage in space somewhat, as young, growing zones of the thallus optimize gas diffusion, whereas old, thick regions act primarily as water reservoirs, sacrificing gas diffusion and carbon gain (Green et al. 1985, Valladares et al. 1994). This trade-off between gas diffusion and water storage seems to be flexible, and lichens have been shown to exhibit a remarkable phenotypic plasticity in their water storage and retention capacities in response to habitat conditions (Larson 1979, 1981, Pintado et al. 1997). Dry habitats induce increased water storage (Tretiach and Brown 1995), but there are complex interactions with light availability for photosynthesis. In shaded sites without access to liquid water, the Antarctic lichen Catillaria corymbosa enhanced both water storage and photosynthesis via increased light harvesting by chlorophylls (Sojo et al. 1997), whereas in exposed sites (dry and receiving high irradiance), the lichen *Ramalina capitata* enhanced photosynthetic utilization of brief periods of activity via improved gas diffusion at the expense of reducing water storage capacity (Pintado et al. 1997). These problems are not faced by bryophytes because most of them have rather complex photosynthetic tissues, where the CO_2 exchange surface is separated from water storage volumes (Green and Lange 1994). The capacity of bryophytes to keep high rates of photosynthesis at high water contents is a very likely explanation for the dominance of these organisms in wet habitats (Green and Lange 1994). Zotz et al. (2000) demonstrated experimentally with *Grimmia pulvinata* a positive relationship between cushion size and water retention capacity and also an increasing CO_2 gain up to an optimum water content. However, despite mechanistic differences between mosses and lichens, in certain cases overall performance can be similar.

Discussing the role of morphological properties for lichens, Rundel (1982a) concluded that evaporative water loss can be reduced by a decrease in surface/volume ratio, but such a decrease reduces uptake of water vapor similarly. This seems not to be the case for liquid water, since structures on the lower side of the thallus such as the rhizinomorphs of the lichen family Umbilicariaceae enhance capture and storage of water from run-offs without significantly increasing water loss by evaporation (Larson 1981, Valladares 1994b, Valladares et al. 1998). Reduction of evaporative water loss by structural traits such as a tomentum on the upper surface (Snelgar and Green 1981), a thick cortex (Larson 1979, Büdel 1990), or a decreased surface/volume ratio is very limited in open habitats, but can be significant in sheltered or humid places or in areas with frequently overcast skies (Kappen 1988) or under

the influence of drip water from trees or from antennae on roofs. Bryophytes can also retard water loss by an increased boundary layer resistance and due to growth forms of low surface-to-volume ratios (Gimingham and Smith 1971, Proctor 1982, Giordano et al. 1993).

Comparing water retention of a terricolous moss and a terricolous lichen, Klepper (1968) found that both remained hydrated for the same period of time after the rainfall although the moss stored initially more water. Then, regardless of the initial water content, they dried out quickly when the ambient water vanished and the atmosphere became dry. Measurements on desert lichens have clearly shown that the thalli start drying as soon as the sun is rising and their period of hydration depends solely on evaporative conditions (Kappen et al. 1979, Kappen 1988).

As has been repeatedly demonstrated, the water content of vascular resurrection plants varies with the soil moisture and, like their nonvascular counterparts, they dehydrate within a few hours or days after soil water supply has declined (Gaff and Churchill 1976, Gaff 1977). In their shaded habitats some resurrection plants, especially the ferns, take profit from less evaporative stress than in the open. Water-storing tissues of the succulent *B. liliputana* and those within the leaves of some Velloziaceae (e.g., *V. tubiflora, V. luteola, Barbacenia reflexa;* Kubitzki 1998) may retard water loss. Since stomatal conductance of resurrection vascular plants is, in general, rather high (Tuba et al. 1994), water loss must be retarded by structural features such as scales, as was shown for leaves of *C. officinarum* (Oppenheimer and Halevy 1962). However, scales were almost ineffective in *Cheilanthes maranthae* (Gebauer 1986, Schwab et al. 1989). A retarding effect of hairs in leaves of *Ramonda* (Figure 2.4a) has long been known (Bewley and Krochko 1982) and the efficiency of leaf pubescence in retarding water loss is increased when the leaves shrink (Gebauer et al. 1987, Figure 2.4b).



FIGURE 2.4 (a) Water loss from leaves of *R. myconi* in air (approximately 50% rh) with hairs and after hairs were removed before the experiment. (After Gebauer, R., Lösch, R., and Kappen, L., *Verh Ges Ökologie.*, XVI, 231, 1987.) (b) Transpiration rates of leaves of *R. myconi* with increasing water saturation deficit. Hair density on the upper (adaxial) and the lower (abaxial) axial leaf surface increases as the leaf shrinks with increasing water loss. At approximately 40% saturation deficit, stomatal closure becomes effective. (After Gebauer, R., *Wasserabgabe und Wasseraufnahme poikilohydrer höherer Pflanzen im Hinblick auf ihre physiologische Aktivität*. Diploma thesis, Universität zu Kiel., 97 pp., 1986.)

The effect of hair density in reducing the transpiration rate strongly increased when the leaf water-saturation deficit went beyond 40%. Reduction of the exposed surface is also a typical mechanism to reduce transpiration. This is the case with poikilohydrous ferns (Pessin 1924); with *S. lepidophylla*, which curls the whole shoot rosette (Lebkuecher und Eickmeier 1993); with *M. flabellifolius*, which regularly pleats its fan-like leaves (Vieweg and Ziegler 1969, Puff 1978); and with Velloziaceae, which fold or curl their leaves; and with other xeromorphous structures in *Sporobolus stapfianus* (Gaff 1977, Kubitzki 1998, Vecchia et al. 1998). Leaves of *C. plantagineum* can reduce surface area to 15% (Sherwin and Farrant 1998) and those of *X. scabrida* to 30% (Tuba et al. 1997b) of the original size. Leaf or shoot movements of most of these plants are due to differential imbibition of the tissues involved, rather than to osmotic phenomena, because they still operate in dead plants. Slow drying over periods of several days may typically occur in monocotyledonous plants such as *X. scabrida* (Tuba et al. 1997b). Its ecological significance for hardening and conditioning is discussed later.

PREVENTING DAMAGE AND TOLERATING STRESSES

DESICCATION TOLERANCE

Recent literature focuses on the phenomenal desiccation tolerance (although this is only relevant for the eurypoikilohydrous organisms) and wonders whether it is a primitive strategy (Oliver et al. 2005) or how it can be genetically traced down (Illing et al. 2005) or whether it constrains growth and competeivity (Alpert 2006). Today, 74 pteridophyte and 145 angio-sperm poikilohydrous species have been investigated with respect to desiccation tolerance (see Proctor and Pence 2002).

The first, but not the only, stress during desiccation is the lack of water itself, which imposes dramatic structural and physiological changes on the tissues of poikilohydrous organisms. Some poikilohydrous plants exhibit a remarkable tolerance to intense desiccation. The moss T. ruralis survived a water content as low as 0.008%, which is equivalent to -6000bars (Schonbeck and Bewley 1981a). Desiccation tolerance of eurypoikilohydrous autotrophs can be defined as the capacity to withstand equilibrium with a relative humidity less than 20%(Lange 1953, Biebl 1962, Bertsch 1966b, Gaff 1986). The lowest relative water contents tolerated by vascular plants were reported to be between 1.4% and 8.4%, which is equivalent to 4% and 15% of their dry weight (Kaiser et al. 1985) (see Table 2.1 for a detailed list). Detached leaves of resurrection plants proved to be much less tolerant to severe water loss (Gaff 1980). Table 2.1 also shows tolerance to extended periods of anabiosis. Nostoc may tolerate 5 years of desiccation, but other cyanobacteria do not survive desiccation at all (Biebl 1962, Scherer et al. 1986). Most lichens, bryophytes (Lange 1953, Biebl 1962, Proctor 1982), and poikilohydrous vascular plants (Hallam and Gaff 1978, Lazarides 1992) survive dry periods that last for a few months. Although Marchantiales were reported to be exposed to 6–8 months of drought in their habitat in Namibia (Volk 1979), such extremely long periods of desiccation are usually rare for this kind of bryophyte. Several vascular resurrection plants have been shown to tolerate air-dry periods of 2-5 years (Hickel 1967, Gaff and Ellis 1974, Gaff 1977), and some lichens can become photosynthetically active again after a period of 10 years frozen in the dry state (Larson 1988).

Bryophytes comprise taxa that have an intrinsic capacity of desiccation tolerance, as well as taxa that need acclimation (Proctor and Tuba 2002). Although the so-called xerophytic moss species such as *Syntrichia ruralis, Rhacomitrium canescens, Neckera crispa*, and others always immediately survive extreme desiccation, mesophytic and even hygrophytic species such as *Bryum caespititium, Plagiothecium platyphyllum, Pohlia elongata*, or *Mnium seligeri* become extremely desiccation tolerant only if they were pretreated at a relative humidity of

96% for 24 h (Abel 1956, Biebl 1962). Most remarkable is that the water moss Fontinalis squamosa is as tolerant as a xerophytic moss, whereas Fontinalis antipyretica is droughtsensitive even when pretreated at 96% relative humidity. The intrinsic desiccation tolerance of eurypoikilohydrous bryophytes can be modified by the rapidity of desiccation processes (Gaff 1980). Desiccation tolerance was observed to vary seasonally in many bryophytes (Dircksen 1964, Dilks and Proctor 1976a,b), in ferns (Kappen 1964), and also in B. nitida (Gaff 1980) as they can acclimate to frost desiccation in winter or to summer drought. Several bryophytes (Dicranum scoparium and Mnium punctatum) and ferns (Asplenium spp. and P. vulgare) gained an extremely high desiccation tolerance in winter (Dircksen 1964, Kappen 1964). Like seeds, air-dry lichens (Lange 1953), bryophytes (Hosokawa and Kubota 1957, Gaff 1980, Proctor 1990), and vascular resurrection plants can persist longer if stored at humidities lower than 30% relative humidity (Leopold 1990). This was explained by the fact that intermediate-tolow water contents allow some enzyme activity and lead to respiratory carbon loss, destructive processes, and infections (Gaff and Churchill 1976 [B. nitida], Proctor 1982). Most of our current knowledge of the effects of environmental conditions before and during desiccation on the tolerance to desiccation and related stresses comes from experiments under controlled conditions.

More important for eurypoikilohydrous autotrophs is their capacity to withstand repeated changes between dry and moist states. Lichens in deserts and the Mediterranean regions, for instance, oscillate regularly between periods of a few hours of activity and anabiosis for the rest of the day (Kappen et al. 1979, Redon and Lange 1983, Kappen 1988, Lange et al. 1991, Sancho et al. 1997). Similarly, it is typical of several poikilohydrous phanerogams such as C. intrepidus living in ephemeral rock pools (Woitke et al. 2004) and changing repeatedly between hydrated and dried state within one season. The capacity to tolerate several changes between dry and wet states was tested experimentally for different moss species by Dilks and Proctor (1976a, 1979). T. ruralis, as an eurypoikilohydrous species, performed well during up to 63 changes within a period of 18 months, whereas Rhytidiadelphus loreus was killed when continually dry for 18 months or when the oscillation phase was 1 day wet/1 day dry, but it retained 50% of its normal net photosynthetic rate if the wet periods were longer (6 or 7 days) or the dry period was shorter. Mosses such as T. ruralis and the angiosperm B. nitida (Schonbeck and Bewley 1981b) were actually able to increase their desiccation tolerance if drying and rehydration were repeated. However, cultivation under moist conditions for 2 weeks can decrease the desiccation tolerance of most eurypoikilohydrous autotrophs (algae and lichens: Kappen 1973, Farrar 1976b; bryophytes: Schonbeck and Bewley 1981b, Hellwege et al. 1994; vascular plants: Gaff 1977, 1980). In contrast, continuous hydration over several days decreased the tolerance to desiccation in T. ruralis (Schonbeck and Bewley 1981b), a result also found for some lichens (Ahmadjian 1973). Apparently, in the absence of contrasting oscillations of moisture content, the algal partner of lichens grows excessively, altering its symbiotic relation with the mycobiont (Farrar 1976b). Repeated drying seems to be essential for the internal metabolic balance of lichens (McFarlane and Kershaw 1982), and also in poikilohydrous vascular plants, as indicated by the fact that cultivation of *M. flabellifolius* is only successful if the plants dry occasionally (Puff 1978). For several desert cyanobacteria, hydration is a very rare event. Pleurococcoid green algae (e.g., Apatococcus lobatus) and many epilithic lichens are water-repellent (Bertsch 1966a). Species of the genera Chrysothrix, Lepraria, and Psilolechia growing under overhanging rocks never receive liquid water during their lifetime (Wirth 1987).

CELLULAR AND PHYSIOLOGICAL CHANGES DURING DESICCATION

Great attention has been given in the last 25 years to the investigation of the ultrastructural changes and the biochemical processes that take place during dehydration and rehydration of

poikilohydrous autotrophs. Rather than providing a detailed account here, we refer the interested reader to some reviews (Gaff 1980, 1989, Bewley and Krochko 1982, Stewart 1989, Leopold 1990, Proctor 1990, Bewley and Oliver 1992, Ingram and Bartels 1996, Hartung et al. 1998, Bartels 2005, Rascio and Rocca 2005). Two main mechanisms are involved, one that downregulates the processes and structures, leading to desiccation tolerance, and another that contributes to full metabolic and structural recovery (Bernacchia et al. 1996).

Nonvascular autotrophs are desiccation tolerant if they can retain cellular integrity and limit cellular damage during drying. To accomplish this with bryophytes we summarize some salient facts from reviews by Bewley and Oliver (1992), Oliver and Bewley (1997), and Oliver et al. (2000, 2005). The capability of xeric bryophytes like T. ruralis to recover quickly even from extremely rapid desiccation indicates that their desiccation tolerance is intrinsic. Membrane structure does not suffer from drying, however, protein synthesis ceases rapidly during drying (Bewley and Krochko 1982). Specific protective substances or mechanisms are not apparent (Bewley and Oliver 1992, Oliver and Bewley 1997), as sugar content does not change significantly, and no membrane protective mechanism is detectable. On rehydration a transient leakage indicates membrane phase transitions, and cells regain normal shape and structure within 24 h. During the first 2 h of rehydration an extensive alteration in gene expression indicates synthesis of proteins, the rehydrins. The rehydrins are late embryo abundant (LEA) or LEA-like proteins (see III.C), which may stabilize membranes lipids or help to enable quick lipid transport for reconstitution of eventually damaged membranes (Oliver 2005). They may be more important for the mesic, less-tolerant mosses and liverworts, where according to Bewley (1979) changes in membranes and metabolism during dehydration were observed. However, mesic bryopytes may increase tolerance by previous drying treatment or by addition of ABA. The presence of ABA was found in moss (e.g., Funaria hygrometrica: Werner et al. 1991) and in hepatic species (e.g., the europoikilohydric Exormotheca holstii: Hellwege et al. 1994, Hartung personal communication). Accumulation of ABA during drying and disappearance in wet culture and the capacity of inducing hardiness when applied to dehardened gametopytes reveal its role in the drought hardening process, except in T. ruralis where ABA was not detected (Reynolds and Bewley 1993a).

The mechanism of lichens for dealing with desiccation remains broadly unknown but is strongly related to their high content of polyols (Farrar 1976a). Membrane leakage in lichens as a consequence of repeated drying and wetting (Farrar 1976a) is harmless. The role of ABA, recently detected in lichens, is unclear, as this hormone is produced by the fungal biont and, as opposed to its activity in plants, increases as a response to water uptake (Dietz and Hartung 1998).

Pteridophytes have a performance, intermediate between bryophytes and angiosperms. For instance, as was shown with *P. virginianum* (Oliver et al. 2000), they carry out synthesis of proteins on dehydration (dydrins) as well as subsequent to rehydration (rehydrins). Interestingly, the proteins synthesized during drying disappear rapidly on rehydration, but later (after 24 h) some of these proteins increase again. In addition, immediately after rehydration novel polypeptides are synthesized, which are not exclusively related to the desiccation regime, and another set of new proteins is produced after 24 h. ABA is present in *Polypodium* but notably decreases during drying. Nonetheless, ABA application can induce synthesis of proteins similar to dehydrins and survival of otherwise letal-rapid desiccation (Reynolds and Bewley 1993a,b). From dehydrated microphylls of *S. lepidophylla*, an expressed gene sequence tag (EST) database was generated and compared with that of other, not poikilohydrous *Selaginella* species. The percentage of functional categories, which were disease/defense-related comprising induction of secondary metabolism, molecular chaperons, and LEA proteins, was significantly higher in the poikilohydrous *Selaginella* species (Iturriaga et al. 2006).

In *S. lepidophylla*, 74% of the activity of 9 enzymes of the carbohydrate metabolism was conserved during drying. The conservation of photosynthetic enzymes was lower than that for respiratory enzymes (Eickmeier 1986).

Based on the fact that most monocotyledonous poikilohydrous species show a dramatic color change when desiccated and most dicots do not, we can distinguish between poikilochlorophyllous and homoiochlorophyllous angiosperms. Comparative, mainly electronmicroscopical studies illustrate these differences (Sherwin and Farrant 1996, 1998, Farrant 2000).

In the poikilochlorophyllous species, structural changes are considerable (see Gaff 1980, Hetherington and Smillie 1982, Tuba et al. 1996b, 1997), except in the nucleus (Barthley and Hallam 1979), containing a dense mass of chromatin (Hethrington and Smillie 1982). Ultrastructural changes in poikilochlorophyllous plants may be even greater than in desiccation-sensitive homoiohydrous species at a comparable dehydration level (Gaff 1989). Investigations with Xerophyta villosa and X. scabrida show the following: The polysome content rises significantly with water loss (X. villosa; Gaff 1989). Virtually all thylacoids and most of the carotenoids content are lost. Obviously, the destruction of the chlorophyll is structured, as grana are retained (Bartley and Hallam 1979). However, as most of the chlorophyll can be preserved in X. scabrida, if it is desiccated in darkness, Tuba et al. (1997) suggest that under natural conditions chlorophyll loss is due to photooxidation rather than metabolic destruction. Although most of their cristae disappear, mitochondria remain functional (see Hallam and Capicchianano 1974). A continuation of respiration still measurable below -3.2 MPa (Tuba et al. 1996b) in desiccated plants of X. scabrida suggests that energy is required for dismantling the thylacoids and the formation of the so-called desiccoplasts. Thus, rather than being deleterious, these organelle changes involve an organized remobilization of cell resources in these resurrection plants.

Structural changes by desiccation are usually small in the homoiochlorophyllous species, and thylacoid membranes and associated chlorophyll complexes apparently remain widely preserved (Owoseye and Sandford 1972, Hallam and Cappicchiano 1974, Gaff and McGregor 1979, Gaff 1989). However, changes in the chloroplast structure and loss of chlorophyll by 20% in *M. flabellifolius* (Wellburn and Wellburn 1976, Farrant 2000) and by 40%-50% in C. wilmsii (Sherwin and Farrant 1998) were observed, which recovered within 24-45 h subsequent to rehydration. Kaiser and Heber (1981) and Schwab and Heber (1984) state that the lens shape of the chloroplasts permits dehydration without greater surface area reduction, and in vivo rupture of chloroplasts during desiccation is rarely observed. In dry Talbotia elegans, the mitochondria are reduced to membrane-bound sacks (Hallam and Gaff 1978). Transient membrane leakage has been reported for several species. Vacuoles, fragmented into numerous vesicles, become filled with a nonaqueous substance, obviously generating a backpressure for the desiccating cell (Farrant 2000) and lysosomes seem to be maintained intact (Gaff 1980, 1989, Hartung et al. 1998). As a consequence, repair processes may last for 2 days in small herbaceous species (Ramonda and Haberlea species: Gaff 1989, Markowska et al. 1995), and the extended time for recovery of the large fruticose M. flabellifolius is due to the restoration of its hydraulic system.

As a rule, the processes of desiccation and recovery take more time in the poikilochlorophyllous than in the homoiochlorophyllous species. Tuba et al. (1997) state that under field conditions *X. scabrida* may take more than 2 weeks until the plants are dry and inactive. Correspondingly, also repair processes are extended over periods of several days (*X. viscosa* 92–120 h: Sherwin and Farrant 1996, 1998). Poikilochlorophyllous performance is obviously a highly derived adaptation. It allows avoidance of stress from free radicals. In ecological terms, such species are adapted to sites where drought periods are extended over weeks or months, whereas homoiochorophyllous species easily manage in sites with frequent oscillations between dry and wet stages in shorter periods of time.

SYNTHESIS OF PROTEINS AND PROTECTIVE SUBSTANCES

Obviously, a network of genes with presumably different functions is activated by water stress. Hartung et al. (1998) estimated that 800-3000 genes could be involved in the response of plants to desiccation. Poikilohydrous plants exhibit a great variety of down- and upregulation of cellular processes, which can be retained at very low water potentials (Leopold 1990). Particularly, genes that code for enzymes relevant to photosynthesis, both in vascular plants and in mosses (Ingram and Bartels 1996, Bernacchia et al. 1996, Oliver and Bewley 1997) were downregulated. In general, the decline of total protein is smaller than in droughtsensitive plants. Loss of water-insoluble proteins is common in resurrection plants, especially in the poikilochlorophyllous species, probably because of degradation of the lipoproteins of the membrane (Gaff 1980). The preservation of polysomes and of RNA may enable protein synthesis after drought (Bewley 1973). Many novel proteins (dehydrins) are synthesized during desiccation, most of which were considered specific to extremely desiccation-tolerant plants (Hallam and Luff 1980, Eickmeier 1988, Bartels et al. 1990, Piatkowski et al. 1990, Bartels et al. 1993, Kuang et al. 1995). Nevertheless, certain polypeptides, such as those found in desiccated *P. virginianum*, are not exclusive to the desiccation regime (Reynolds and Bewley 1993b). The majority of the dehydrins belongs to LEA proteins, they are hydrophilic and resistant to denaturation, and typical of orthodox seeds. They are believed to protect desiccation-sensitive enzymes and to stabilize membranes during dehydration (Schneider et al. 1993, Bernacchia et al. 1996, Ingram and Bartels 1996, Bartels 2005). Proteins are necessary also during the rehydration phase. They can be gained by translation of already existing transcripts, as was shown for poikilohydrous species (Dace et al. 1998). Bartels and Salamini (2001) suggest that desiccation tolerance (of C. plantagineum) is in most cases not due to structural genes, unique to resurrection plants and could be present as well in desiccation-sensitive homoiohydrous plants. However, the latter may have less amounts of LEA proteins and the expression pattern may be different. Only one, a LEA-6 protein, was identified as typical exclusively for *Xerophyta humilis* and seeds (Illing et al. 2005).

In most resurrection plants, including the aquatic species *Chamaegigas intrepidus*, abscisic acid (ABA) is strongly accumulated and is involved in attaining desiccation tolerance and in stimulating the synthesis of dehydrins (Gaff 1980, 1989, Gaff and Loveys 1984, Reynolds and Bewley 1993a, Hellwege et al. 1994, Schiller et al. 1997). As was hypothesized by Bartels et al. (1990), Nelson et al. (1994), and Oliver and Bewley (1997), there is evidence now in vascular plants that ABA is necessary to induce the genes for desiccation tolerance. With experiments of mutants of *C. plantagineum*, the so-called CDT-1/2 gene family was shown to function by ABA signal transduction (Smith-Espinoza et al. 2005). Leaves of *M. flabellifolius* and *B. nitida* did not survive dehydration if they were dried so rapidly that ABA could not be accumulated (Gaff and Loveys 1984). Abscisic acid accumulation obviously can occur only in leaves attached to the whole plant (Hartung et al. 1998).

A common phenomenon in drought stress is the accumulation of organic compatible solutes because they stabilize proteins and membranes (Levitt 1980, Crowe and Crowe 1992). Lichens are permanently rich in sugar alcohols, which are assumed to be the basis of their remarkable desiccation tolerance (Kappen 1988). Cowan et al. (1979) have demonstrated that the synthesis of amino acids and sugar alcohols was active in lichens in equilibrium with humidities as low as 50%. In contrast, desiccation-tolerant bryophytes contain a low amount of sugars, mainly sucrose, and show no or very little increase in sugar content during drying (Bewley and Pacey 1978, Santarius 1994). Strong sugar accumulation, mainly sucrose, during desiccation has been demonstrated in seeds and many resurrection grasses, species of *Ramonda, Haberlea*, and *Boea*, and *X. villosa* (Kaiser et al. 1985, Scott 2000, Zirkovic et al. 2005). Other resurrection plants for example, of the genera *Ceterach* and *Craterostigma* already contain comparatively high amounts of sugar in the leaves when turgid

(Schwab and Gaff 1986). In these and other species (e.g., *M. flabellifolius*), sugar composition was observed to be changed during dehydration (Bianchi et al. 1991, Hartung et al. 1998). Unusual sugars such as stachyose that appear in the turgid leaves and roots are storage products, but they are converted into sucrose during the drying process (Bianchi et al. 1991, 1993, Albini et al. 1994, Heilmeier and Hartung 2001, Norwood et al. 2003). For instance, 2-octulose is typical for hydrated leaves of *C. plantagineum* and is converted into sucrose on dehydration (Bartels and Salamini 2001). Thus, sucrose accumulation during desiccation is generally recruited from metabolizing storage carbohydrates rather than directly from photosynthesis.

Inositol, present in *Xerophyta viscosa*, may be an effective osmoprotectant (Mayee et al. 2005). Proline concentration in many plant species associated with water stress was comparatively high in *Ceterach* and *Craterostigma*, but did not significantly increase during dehydration (Schwab and Heber 1984). Application of proline had no effect on detached leaves of *B. nitida* and *M. flabellifolius* (Gaff 1980). In the latter species, polyphenols have been identified (Moore et al. 2005) that might be relevant to desiccation tolerance, as provenances from Namibia subjected to greater drought stress were genetically different from those in South Africa and contained more and different polyphenols (e.g., 3,4,5-tri-O-galloquinic acid).

One of the internal hazards of desiccation is the increase in oxidative processes, which occurs in plants exposed to a wide range of environmental stresses (Smirnoff 1995). An increase in or a high level of defense enzymes of the ascorbate/glutathione cycle was associated with the protection of the membrane lipids in S. stapfianus during drying (Sgherri et al. 1994a). Oxidized glutathione was much lower in slowly dried (unimpaired) samples than in rapidly (injured) dried samples of Boea hygroscopica (Sgherri et al. 1994b), and glutathione was shown to play the primary role in maintaining the sulfhydryl groups of thylacoid proteins in reduced state during desiccation (Navarri-Izzo et al. 1997). The reversible decrease in phenolic acids far below the level in the hydrated state, which is joined by a decrease in the enzyme ascorbate peroxidase (AP) while antioxidants were accumulated, indicates that *Ramonda serbica* leaves are able to keep up an antioxidative status when subjected to desiccation (Sgherri et al. 2004). Kranner and Grill (1997) postulate that glutathione reductase (GR) and glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase are needed for the reduction of desiccation-induced oxidized glutathione. It is suggested that this pathway provides the NADPH during the critical rehydration phase when photosynthesis is still inactive. Accordingly, when photosynthesis is recovered a decrease in antioxidants and production of reactive oxygen species was observed in a lichen species (Weissman et al. 2005). Antioxidants such as AP, GR, and SOD (superoxide dismutase) were increased but to various extents in subsequent phases of water loss in C. wilmsii, M. flabellifolius (mainly GR), and X. viscosa (Sherwin and Farrant 1998) and went down to normal level when the tissues were rehydrated. Anthocyanins recognized as antioxidants (Smirnoff 1993) were observed to increase in drying leaves of poikilochlorophyllous species such as Eragrostis nindensis (Van der Willigen et al. 2001) and particularly in Xerophyta humilis (Farrant 2000). In C. plantagineum, lipoxygenase, which catalyzes lipid peroxidation at membranes, becomes increasingly inhibited during drying (Smirnoff 1993). Similar processes may also operate in desiccation-tolerant bryophytes (Dhindsa and Matowe 1981, Seel et al. 1992a) in which lipid peroxidation during drought is low. Oxidative processes can take place both in the presence and the absence of light, and light can exacerbate oxidation. This situation-oxidative stress caused or accentuated by light—is discussed in the following section.

PHOTOPROTECTION OF THE PHOTOSYNTHETIC UNITS

If plants absorb more light than required during photosynthesis, they are exposed to the risk of photooxidative destruction of their photosynthetic apparatus (Long et al. 1994).

AQ3

Photooxidative stress can therefore be an important limiting factor for poikilohydrous autotrophs. Bryophytes and algae that are restricted to shady habitats were shown to have limited photoprotective capacities (Öquist and Fork 1982b). Negative effects of strong light were observed in hydrated lichens in the tropical, temperate (Coxson 1987a,b), and Mediterranean region (Manrique et al. 1993, Valladares et al. 1995). Nevertheless, tolerance to strong light can be enhanced by acclimation. Cyanobacterial mats taken from exposed habitats proved to be highly tolerant to high irradiance, whereas cyanobacteria from shaded sites were very sensitive (Lüttge et al. 1995). Field studies have revealed, for instance, that the cyanobacterial lichen *Peltigera rufescens* was at least photoinhibited under certain conditions in winter (Leisner et al. 1996). On the other hand, cryptogam species in Antarctica such as *Umbilicaria aprina, Leptogium puberulum, Xanthoria mawsonii*, and *Hennediella heimii* were very resistant to the combination of low temperatures and high irradiance while the thallus was photosynthetically active (Schlensog et al. 1997, Kappen et al. 1998a, Pannewitz et al. 2003, 2006).

In hydrated autotrophs, photosynthetic productivity is maintained because only that part of the light energy that is in excess to that used for energy conservation is thermally dissipated by a mechanism that requires zeaxanthin, a carotenoid of the xanthophyll cycle, and the protonation of a special thylacoid protein (Niyogi 1999, Heber et al. 2006). Thermal energy dissipation should be in equilibrium in hydrated autotrophs with ongoing photosynthesis. This means that energy dissipation is in equilibrium with energy conservation based on charge separation, the production of a strong oxidant and a reductant in the reaction centers of PS II. If energy dissipation caused is faster (photostress), it would inhibit photosynthesis (Wiltens et al. 1978, Öquist and Fork 1982a, Demmig-Adams et al. 1990b). Downregulation of photosynthetic processes and the so-called dynamic or recoverable photoinhibition (i.e., inhibition of photosynthesis by light, but no damage) has been observed in a number of poikilohydrous plants, bryophytes, and lichens (e.g., Seel et al. 1992, Leisner et al. 1996, Ekmekci et al. 2005), and as a result, avoidance of photooxidation (Eickmeier et al. 1993, Valladares et al. 1995, Calatayud et al. 1997, Heber et al. 2000, 2001, Bukhov et al. 2001).

A prevention of photooxidative damage by drying may be apparent from the fact that isolated *Trebouxia* as well as green-algal lichens resisted photostress in the field by quick desiccation under high irradiances (Öquist and Fork 1982b, Leisner et al. 1996). This would resemble in effect the strategy of poikilochlorophyllous plants that radically destruct the photosynthetic apparatus during desiccation (Smirnoff 1993). It was hypothesized that the photosynthetic apparatus of homoiochlorophyllous autotrophs cannot be affected by strong irradiance because it undergoes a functional dissociation between light harvesting complexes and photosystem II during desiccation (Bilger et al. 1989, Smirnoff 1993). However, water content has been proved to influence both dynamic and chronic photoinhibition of lichens (Valladares et al. 1995, Calatayud et al. 1997). Some air-dried lichens typical of shady habitats exhibited even damage after exposure to high light (Valladares et al. 1995, Gauslaa and Solhaug 1996, 1999, 2000, Gauslaa et al. 2001). In addition, stenopoikilohydrous mosses were more damaged by drying at high irradiance than at low irradiance (Seel et al. 1992a).

According to recent findings since Shuvalov and Heber (2003), it has become apparent that reaction centers are capable of charge separation even in the absence of water (Heber et al. 2006a). Thus, functional reaction centers would cause damaging oxidative reactions. A revised and more comprehensive approach to understanding photoprotection in desiccated autotrophs has recently come from Heber and coauthors (Heber et al. 2000, 2001, Heber and Shuvalov 2005, Kopecky et al. 2005, Heber et al. 2006a,b) who have demonstrated that more than one photoprotective mechanism of energy dissipation is active in lichens and bryophytes. Available evidence suggests that zeaxanthin-dependent energy dissipation remains active on desiccation (Eickmeier et al. 1993, Kopecky et al. 2005, Georgieva et al. 2005), but it is not clear whether the zeaxanthin-dependent energy dissipation is fast enough to prevent charge separation in functional reaction centers particularly in lichens and xeric bryophytes.

A second protective mechanism was evident from the finding that functions of the reaction center of PS II can change on desiccation (Heber et al. 2006a,b). In desiccated samples of the moss *Rhytidiadelphus squarrosus*, energy dissipation has been shown to occur in PS II reaction centers. In this case, a photoreaction is responsible for the formation of a quencher of fluorescence in the reaction center (Heber et al. 2006b). A third protective mechanism was apparent from the observation that light was not even necessary for the formation of a quencher during desiccation. After lichen thalli were carefully predarkened to avoid light activation of the mechanism of energy dissipation, fluorescence was quenched after desiccation took place in darkness. This reveals the activation of the third mechanism of thermal energy dissipation (Heber et al. 2006b, Heber personal communication). The latter two protective mechanisms were operating only under desiccation and ceased by rehydration. Lichens with cyanobacteria as photobionts lack the zeaxanthin-dependent thermal energy dissipation mechanism; however, other carotenoids may play a role at least in the hydrated state (Demmig-Adams et al. 1990b, Leisner et al. 1994, Lange et al. 1999). In the desiccated state, the desiccation-induced thermal energy dissipation mechanism (mechanism 3) may be operating (Heber, personal communication). As soon as water becomes available to the chloroplasts of homoiochlorophyllous autotrophs, photosynthetic water oxidation is resumed (Kopecky et al. 2005, Heber et al. 2006a).

In vascular plants, only the zeaxanthin-dependent dissipation mechanism is known to be protective also in the desiccated state. It also operates in homoiohydrous xerophytic plants (e.g., *Nerium oleander*: Demmig et al. 1988; *Clusia* spp. with CAM: Winter and Königer 1989). In resurrection plants, it was shown with *S. lepidophylla* (Casper et al. 1993, Eickmeier et al. 1993). Accumulation of zeaxanthin on drying was reported for the poikilohydrous species *C. plantagineum* (Amalillo and Bartels 2001) and *B. hygrometric* (see Yang et al. 2003). In *R. serbica*, the photoprotection appeared to be achieved, when dry, by the zeaxanthin-dependent dissipation as well as by ascorbate and glutathione (Augusti et al. 2001). In the poikilochlorophyllous *X. scabrida*, 22% of the carotenoids were still preserved in the dry leaves when the photosynthetic apparatus was dismantled, but the carotenoids seemed to be protective or essential when the chloroplasts reorganized during rehydration (Tuba et al. 1993b).

Poikilohydrous autotrophs exhibit various photoprotective mechanisms in addition to the thermal energy dissipation via carotenoids. In the case of lichens, filtering or screening effects of the upper cortex formed by the mycobiont and of certain secondary compounds such as parietin have been shown to be potentially important in reducing the risk of photodamage of the photosynthetic units (Büdel 1987, Solhaug and Gauslaa 1996, Kappen et al. 1998a, Gauslaa and Ustvedt 2003, Gauslaa and McEvoy 2005). Anthocyanins, which reflect photosynthetically active light, may prevent excessive light-chlorophyll interaction. An accumulation of anthocyanins was observed in sun-exposed leaves of several resurrection plants, mainly on the abaxial leaf face, which is everted when the leaves are curled or folded (Farrant 2000). Leaf and shoot curling during drought, despite not effective in certain bryophytes (Seel et al. 1992a), can confer photoprotection simply by shadowing. For instance, leaf curling by everting the reflectant abaxial leaf surface was effective in *P. polypodioides*, a species sensitive to strong light under water stress (Muslin and Homann 1992, Helseth and Fischer 2005). Additionally, photorespiration and light-activated photosynthetic enzyme activity were not affected by intense radiation in S. lepidophylla due to leaf curling (Lebkuecher and Eickmeier 1991, 1993). The protective role of curling is important for plants growing in open and exposed habitats and, although not yet thoroughly explored, it may be relevant also for lichens such as Parmelia convoluta and C. semiviridis, or for thallose desert liverworts all everting a whitish underside when dry (Lange et al. 1990a).

Another radiative stressor is UV B, particularly in polar and alpine regions; however, experiments proved that it never harmed lichens and mosses in open habitats (Lud et al. 2003,

Nybakken et al. 2004). Moreover, UV B (280–320 nm) was shown to be an essential requisite for the synthesis of sun-screening pigments (parietin, melanin) in lichens (Solhaug et al. 2003).

DESICCATION TOLERANCE: AN OLD HERITAGE

Taking desiccation as a fundamental heritage, geneticists and molecular biologists were challenged to trace down this capability of plants to the beginning of plant evolution and to ask whether this is unique to poikilohydrous organisms and why it is absent in the vegetative parts of the majority of vascular plants and certain bryophytes, and, whether it is mono- or polyphyletically evolved, and, on which genes desiccation tolerance is located.

According to Oliver et al. (2000) recent synthetic phylogenetic studies confirm the idea that vegetative desiccation tolerance is primitively present in the bryophytes but was then lost in the evolution of vascular plants. It is hypothesized that desiccation tolerance was crucial for ancestral fresh-water autotrophs to live on land. The tolerance might have been lost on the way of a more complex (homoiohydrous) organization of the vascular plants, and independent evolution or re-evolution of desiccation tolerance has happened in the Selaginellales, leptosporangiate ferns, and the 10 families of angiosperms. Evolutionary progress may be evident from the fact that pteridophytes, in common with bryophytes, are able to synthesize rehydrins but can also synthesize dehydrins, the only capability of angiosperms. Tracing possible pathways by identifying possible gene orthologs of LEA-like proteins that are synthesized on dehydration provided a network of land plant phylogeny. Oliver et al. (2005) proved his earlier theory and extended it by discussing the fact that several genera of bryophytes (Haplomitrium, Sphagnum, Takakia, Tetraphis) lack the particular genes and are not desiccation tolerant either constitutively or due to loss of phenotype, which involves the possibility that precursors of these bryophytes also did not realize desiccation tolerance in the vegetative state. There was also negative evidence that ancestors of the hornworts and of all vascular plants were desiccation tolerant. Keeping up the idea that desiccation tolerance of spores may elucidate the problem, Illing et al. (2005) demonstrated by molecular responses a crucial role of orthodox seeds as the carriers of genes for desiccation tolerance, which may, or not, be expressed in the vegetative part of vascular plants. Analyzing sequences of genes of Arabidopsis seeds and a set of vegetative homoio- and poikilohydrous plant species with respect to certain LEA proteins, RNA transcripts for antioxidants that are expressed during desiccation, and in addition, sugar accumulation revealed the following results: Among the antioxidant activities only that with 1-cys peroxidase appeared to be a mechanism specific to desiccation tolerance of resurrection plants and seeds. Most of the antioxidants are activated also as a response to various other abiotic stresses. However, genes belonging to the LEA six superfamily are uniquely associated with dehydration stress in resurrection plants and in seeds. Moreover, sucrose accumulation on drying seems to be a desiccation-tolerance specific mechanism of resurrection plants and orthodox seeds, albeit it was also observed in some recalcitrant seeds (Kermode and Finch-Savage 2002). As a general result, desiccation tolerance is not considered the result of parallel origins, and the loss of desiccation tolerance can be interpreted as the result of suppression of latent genes in the vegetative state of the plant. However, single gene loss in certain instances (Dickie and Pritchard 2002) cannot be excluded.

Generally speaking of desiccation tolerance suggests that it relates to a fundamental uniform mechanism; however, a differentiated view is obvious now. On the one hand, it has to be realized that the most primitive autotrophs, such as Cyanobacteria, are extremely desiccation tolerant, whether they live in water or in the porous space of rock. This indicates that desiccation tolerance is a very early trait in evolution. Moreover, the fact that organelles such as chloroplasts of spinach (Table 2.1) are desiccation tolerant and that vegetative tissues can be lyophilized without harm suggests that it is the way of withdrawing water that can be damaging but not the dehydration itself. Thus, it can be

concluded that the capability of surviving dehydration must be basic and ubiquitous. On the other hand, phenotypic tolerance must have been acquired at various advanced stages of organismic complexity by evolving metabolic or structural protective mechanisms. These must be very specific, either because of a distinct combination or quantitatively selected ubiquitous "house-keeping" mechanisms (Illing et al. 2005), or because of inventing genetic structures that act species-specific, as most likely the CDT-1 gene in *C. plantagineum* (Furini et al. 1997, Bartels and Salamini 2001). So far it is reasonable to consider desiccation tolerance of vascular plants (and highly derived bryophytes) as modified (see Oliver and Bewley 1997) or secondary (Raven 1999).

TOLERANCE TO EXTREME TEMPERATURES: A PROPERTY LINKED TO POIKILOHYDRY

Plants capable of surviving desiccation may be tolerant also to other environmental stresses such as extreme temperatures. Some lichen species, for example, are extremely resistant to freezing both in hydrated and dehydrated states. The freezing tolerance of hydrated lichens can exceed by far the temperature stresses that occur in winter or in polar environments (Kappen 1973). Bryophytes are less freezing-tolerant, but many species are well adapted to live and persist in cold environments (Richardson 1981, Proctor 1982, Longton 1988b). Fern gametophytes and vascular resurrection plants from the temperate zone have a moderate $(-9^{\circ}C \text{ to } -18^{\circ}C)$ freezing tolerance in winter (Kappen 1964, 1965). Most poikilohydrous vascular plants come from subtropical climates and are sensitive to freezing. For example, B. nitida can only survive temperatures between $-1^{\circ}C$ and $-2^{\circ}C$ in winter (Gaff and Churchill 1976), and poikilohydrous grass species do not survive temperatures below 0°C (Lazarides 1992). However, desiccated leaves, fronds, or other vegetative parts become highly tolerant to cold depending on the remaining water content. For instance, experiments with R. myconi, P. vulgare, M. flabellifolius, and gametophytes of several fern species revealed that the tissues resisted -196°C if they were desiccated to a relative water content of, for example, 6% (Kappen 1966, Vieweg and Ziegler 1969, Pence 2000). Thus, the tolerance of these vascular poikilohydrous plants to low temperatures was not different from that of dry algae, lichens, and bryophytes (Levitt 1980), which also indicates water content as a crucial factor in the freezing tolerance of organisms in general.

Heat tolerance of plants can also be increased if they are desiccated (Kappen 1981). This fact becomes ecologically relevant if we consider that dry plants can easily heat up to over 50°C in their natural habitats. Thallus temperatures in dry moss turfs and dry lichens can reach 60°C-70°C under field conditions (Lange 1953, 1955, Richardson 1981, Proctor 1982, Kershaw 1985). A similarly high temperature was reported for desert soil around S. lepidophylla (Eickmeier 1986). The temperature in the rock pools where C. intrepidus lives can reach 41°C (Gaff and Giess 1986). Although some lichens such as Peltigera praetextata and Cladonia rangiferina did not survive temperatures higher than 35°C, even in the desiccated state (Tegler and Kershaw 1981, Gauslaa and Solhaug 1999, 2001), tolerance to 70°C and even to 115°C was recorded for many other dry lichen and bryophyte species (Lange 1953, 1955, Proctor 1982, Meyer and Santarius 1998). Heat tolerance of bryophytes and vascular plant species varies with season. The maximal temperature tolerated in the turgescent state by the temporarily poikilohydrous fern P. vulgare and by R. myconi was highest in winter (approximately 48°C), and by decreasing water content, the heat tolerance could be increased to approximately 55°C (Kappen 1966, Figure 2.5). C. intrepidus was able to thrive at 60°C temperatures (Heil 1925), and dry leaves of M. flabellifolius were reported to have resisted at 80°C (Vieweg and Ziegler 1969). Eickmeier (1986) could demonstrate an increase in photosynthetic repair capacity of S. lepidophylla if dry plants were subjected to 45°C and 65°C, but he found also that desiccation tolerance decreased with increasing temperatures (25°C, 45°C, 65°C). However, since the water content of these dry plants was not defined,



FIGURE 2.5 Shift of heat tolerance limit with increasing water saturation deficit in leaves of *R. myconi*. (After Kappen, L., Schroeter, B., Hestmark, G., and Winkler, J.B., *Flora*, 156, 427, 1966.)

it still remains unclear whether metabolic disturbance at the higher temperature was weakening the plants.

LIMITS AND SUCCESS OF POIKILOHYDRY

Poikilohydrous land plants are typically small and slow growing like many other ecological specialists, obviously as a response to environmental constraints. The carbon economy of poikilohydrous autotrophs is influenced by the frequency and duration of the periods of metabolic activity and by repair and other processes that delay maximal net photosynthesis once the plant enters the biotic state by rehydration. In fact, poikilohydrous species exhibit significant differences in their speed of recovery from dehydration and in their photosynthetic performance while they are active.

PHOTOSYNTHESIS

While cyanobacteria, algae, lichens, and bryophytes can reach maximal photosynthetic rates within 10–30 min after rehydration, poikilohydrous vascular plants usually require much longer (Table 2.2). Generally, the threshold for respiratory activity is lower than that for net photosynthesis (Lange and Redon 1983). The capacity of chloroplasts and mitochondria to function at low water potentials is remarkable in aerophytic green algae and green-algal lichens (-50 and -38 MPa, respectively; Nash et al. 1990, Bertsch 1996a) and also in homoiohydrous vascular plants (-19 MPa in the mesophyll of *Valerianella locusta*, Bertsch 1967; beet chloroplasts, Santarius 1967). However, each particular poikilohydrous organism has a suite of morphological and functional traits that exert specific influences on photosynthetic yield and overall performance during periods of water availability. These organisms are covered in the following sections.

Lichens and Bryophytes

Lichens can be photosynthetically active at water contents as low as 20% d.wt. (Lange 1969b, Lange et al. 1990b), under high saline stress (Nash et al. 1990), and at temperatures as low as -20° C (Kappen 1989, 1993a, Schroeter et al. 1994; see also Chapter 14). Schroeter and Scheidegger (1995) demonstrated that net photosynthesis was still positive when the algal

TABLE 2.2Time Required by Different Poikilohydrous Autotrophs to ResumePhotosynthetic Activity (Net Photosynthesis) after Rehydration withWater Vapor (>90% Relative Humidity) or with Liquid Water

Species	Water Source	Time	Reference
Parmelia hypoleucina	Water vapor	<1 h	Lange and Kilian 1985
Cladonia portentosa	Water vapor	6 h	Lange and Kilian 1985
Caloplaca regalis	Water vapor	24 h	Lange and Kilian 1985
L. pulmonaria	Liquid	$<30 \text{ s}^{a}$	Scheidegger et al. 1997
H. cupressiforme	Water vapor	12 h	Lange 1969
T. ruralis	Liquid	90 min	Scott and Oliver 1994
E. holstii	Liquid	2 h ^a	Hellwege et al. 1994
P. virginianum	Liquid	6 h ^b	Reynolds and Bewley 1993
C. plantagineum	Liquid	15 h ^b	Bernachia et al. 1969
S. lepidophylla	Liquid	24 h ^b	Eickmeier 1979
R. serbica	Liquid	24 h	Markowska et al. 1997
M. flabellifolius	Liquid	<i>c</i> . 40 h	Sherwin and Farrar 1996
X. scabrida	Liquid	72 h	Tuba et al. 1993b
^a Chlorophyll a fluoresc	ence positive.		
^b Chloroplasts reconstitu	ited.		

cells were shriveled and the fungal hyphae cavitated because of the low water content of the thallus. At a given low water potential, different lichen species exhibited different fractions of collapsed photobiont cells and different photosynthetic rates (Figure 2.6). It has therefore



FIGURE 2.6 Amount of photobionts (open bars, heavily collapsed; hatched bars, partially folded; solid bars, globular) and water content (solid circles, % dry weight) of thalli of the lichens *R. maciformis* (*top*) and *Pseudevernia furfuracea* (*bottom*) at an equilibrium with water potentials between -30 and -2.7 MPa. (After Scheidegger, C., Schroeter, B., and Frey, B., *Planta*, 197, 399, 1995.)



FIGURE 2.7 Relative net photosynthetic rates (rel. NP as % of the maximum rate) in the lichen *Lobaria* pulmonaria, changes of photobiont cell shape (PCS) given as percentage of globular (\triangle), slightly indented (\blacktriangle), and heavily collapsed cells (\blacksquare), and percentage of cavitated hyphae (CAV) in the upper cortex in relation to water content (% dry weight). (After Scheidegger, C., Schroeter, B., and Frey, B., *Planta*, 197, 399, 1995.)

been suggested that an increase in net photosynthesis is, in general, proportional to an increase in the amount of functional photobiont cells (Figure 2.7). However, the levels of hydration at which algal cells reach maximal and minimal photosynthetic rates are unknown. The fungal part of the lichens proved to be totally impotent in aiding the algal cells to absorb water vapor, as was shown by comparing lichens and isolated photobionts (Lange et al. 1990b, Kappen 1994). Most importantly, these lichens are able to start photosynthesis by water-vapor uptake at low water potentials, as was observed in the laboratory (Lange and Bertsch 1965, Lange and Kilian 1985, Scheidegger et al. 1995) as well as in the field (Kappen 1993a, Schroeter et al. 1994).

Lichens with cyanobacteria as photobionts need liquid water for activation of their photobionts (Figure 2.8). Their water content for starting photosynthesis (85%–100% d.wt.) is 3–6 times higher than that of green-algal lichens (15%–30% d.wt.; Lange et al. 1988). The deficiency of cyanobacteria to become photosynthetically activated by water vapor cannot be compensated by the symbiosis with the fungus (Kappen 1994) nor in photsymbiodeme lichens, where only the green-algae fraction of the thallus becomes activated (Green et al. 2002). The explanation for this inability to resume turgidity by water-vapor uptake remains unclear, but the possibility that diffusion resistance by the gelatinose sheath of cyanobacteria could impede water vapor absorption has been excluded (Büdel and Lange 1991).

Quick recovery of CO_2 exchange during the daily wetting and drying cycles has been demonstrated in lichens from various habitats (Kappen et al. 1979, Lange and Redon 1983, Lange et al. 1990c, 1991, Bruns-Strenge and Lange 1991). However, in some cases, CO_2 exchange of lichens and bryophytes revealed only respiratory CO_2 release, frequently at high rates (lichens 1–3 times of normal), during periods of 15 min up to 7 h (lichens) after soaking



FIGURE 2.8 Water content (open circles) and net photosynthetic rates (closed circles) following watervapor and then liquid-water uptake of the lichen species *Nephroma resupinatum* with cyanobacterial photobionts (*top*) and *Ramalina menziesii* with green-algal photobionts (*bottom*). In *N. resupinatum*, water-vapor uptake (open circles) resulted in maximum thallus water content of 85% d.wt. but induced only a weak respiratory response in light, whereas spraying activated photosynthetic CO_2 uptake. In *R. menziesii*, both dark respiration (closed triangles) and net photosynthesis (closed circles) were activated within the first 30 min of water-vapor uptake. (After Lange, O.L., Kilian, E., and Ziegler, H., *Oecologia*, 71, 104, 1986.)

with water. This resaturation respiration indicates recovery processes (Ried 1960, Hinshiri and Proctor 1971, Smith and Molesworth 1973, Dilks and Proctor 1974, 1976a, Farrar 1976b, Sundberg et al. 1999), which cause a significant carbon loss (Lechowicz 1992). Slow recovery was shown by species of moist habitats (stenopoikilohydrous species), whereas quick recovery was found in species subjected to frequent and severe drying (eurypoikilohydrous species).

Most bryophytes require liquid water for activation of photosynthesis, although some species (e.g., *Hypnum cupressiforme*) are able to activate photosynthesis by uptake of water vapor (Lange 1969a). Thalli of the desert moss *Barbula aurea* showed high respiration AQ4 rates in a water-saturated atmosphere, but could achieve an almost negligible net photosynthetic rate when irradiated (Rundel and Lange 1980). In bryophytes as well as in lichens, net photosynthetic rate can become maximal within 15–30 min subsequent to moistening with liquid water (see Proctor and Tuba 2002). Photosynthetic rates of mosses decreased with increasing length of the drought period before hydration. Repeated dry–wet cycles caused a greater decrease in net photosynthesis in mesic stenopoikilohydrous mosses than in xeric species (Davey 1997).

As mentioned in the Section "Exploiting an Erratic Resource," photosynthetic CO_2 uptake can be severely impeded by excessive water contents. This has been intensively studied in lichens (Lange 1980, Lange and Tenhunen 1981, Lange et al. 1993, Green et al. 1994), where the major resistance to CO_2 diffusion seems to result from the soaking of the cortex (Cowan et al. 1992), but the effect is specific to species and can also be absent. According to Lange et al. (1993), four different types of net photosynthetic response to water content can be discerned in lichens (Figure 2.9): (1) net photosynthesis follows a saturation curve and does not decrease at high water content; (2) photosynthesis is maximal over a wider range of water contents but deceases slightly at high water content; (3) similar to (2) but net photosynthesis becomes zero or even negative at high water contents; and (4) the range of water content for high rates of net photosynthesis is narrow, with a clear optimum followed by a sharp decrease at high water contents where a low but positive net photosynthesis occurs. Surprisingly, these different photosynthetic responses to hydration could not be related with the environmental conditions under which each species was found, but species from xeric habitats have their maximal photosynthetic rates at relatively low water contents (60%-120% d.wt.) (Lange 1980, Lange and Matthes 1981, Kappen 1983).

In bryophytes (mainly endohydrous species; Green and Lange 1994), a similar effect can be identified (Dilks and Proctor 1979, Rundel and Lange 1980, Tuba et al. 1996a). However, the effect of diffusion resistance is diminished in ectohydrous bryophyte species as their growth form and anatomy allow a separation of the water-storing sites from the photosynthetic tissues and as they have ventilated structures (Proctor 1990, Green and Lange 1994). It is remarkable that photosynthetic response curves of intertidal algae show also a depression at high water contents (Quadir et al. 1979, Bewley and Krochko 1982). This indicates that increased CO_2 resistance with hydration is not only due to free water blocking gaseous pathways within the thallus, but also due to tissue swelling, which seems to be a widespread phenomenon among nonvascular plants.



FIGURE 2.9 The four types (see text) of response of net photosynthesis (NP) to thallus water content (WC). Schematic curves based on measurements on 11 different lichen species from forests in New Zealand. (After Green, T.G.A., Lange, O.L., and Cowan, I.R., *Crypt. Bot.*, 4, 166, 1994.)

Maximal net photosynthetic rates of lichens are generally low, although there are rare exceptions (Table 2.3). The most productive lichens are epiphytes from shady forests (Green and Lange 1994). The photobionts of lichens from open habitats are frequently screened by a pigmented or thick upper cortex (Büdel 1987, Kappen et al. 1998a). Thus, although the chlorophyll content of lichens is usually enough to harvest more than 80% of the incident light (Valladares et al. 1996), the photosynthetic units of lichens experience light limitations even in high-light environments (Büdel and Lange 1994). This fact, together with some additional structural and physiological features (thylakoid structure, low-light compensation point, and large amounts of light-harvesting protein complexes), has led to the suggestion that lichens generally resemble shade plants (Green and Lange 1994).

Vascular Plants

Although C_3 photosynthesis is by far the most common photosynthetic pathway among poikilohydrous vascular plants, all of the other pathways have also been found in these plants. In many resurrection grasses of the genera *Eragrostidella*, *Sporobolus*, and *Tripogon* from Australia, Kranz-type anatomy indicated that they were C_4 plants (Lazarides 1992). Certain poikilohydrous vascular plants such as *R. serbica* and *Haberlea rhodopensis*, with slightly succulent leaves when soaked, exhibited a crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) under water stress (Kimenov et al. 1989, Markowska et al. 1994, 1997, Markowska 1999). This was confirmed by the diel CO_2 exchange pattern and by phosphoenol pyruvate (PEP) carboxylase activity. CAM activity was interpreted as a mechanism to delay periods of metabolic inactivity and to recycle CO_2 . As this enhances reductive capacity, photo- and drought-induced oxidative processes can be ameliorated. The only known truly succulent resurrection plant, *B. liliputana*, is a CAM plant according to its carbon isotopic and carbon discrimination values (Barthlott and Porembski 1996). The presence of CAM activity in poikilohydrous plants demonstrates that water conservation mechanisms can be combined with a desiccation–resurrection strategy.

Resurrection plants strictly depend on liquid water to recover their photosynthetic activity after desiccation, and they need longer periods of time than nonvascular autotrophs to regain full activity (Table 2.2). Ferns and allies require 4.5-48 h to gain full or reduced photosynthetic rates (Oppenheimer and Halevy 1962, Stuart 1968, Proctor and Tuba 2002), whereas in other cases, up to 4 days of hydration are necessary to recover net photosynthesis (Hoffmann 1968, Vieweg and Ziegler 1969). C. plantagineum recovered chlorophylla-fluorescence totally after 18 h of rehydration and M. flabellifolius after 60 h, and full photosynthetic capacity of C. wilmsii was even reached before the chlorophyll content was fully recovered (Sherwin and Farrant 1996). The compensation point of net photosynthesis can be surpassed at 40%-50% RWC (Farrant 2000). In contrast, dark respiration of most poikilohydrous plants is active still at lower RWC (>10%: C. wilmsii, M. flabellifolius: Farrant 2000), and therefore can be long lasting if desiccation and rehydration phases are extended. The deleterious role of extended respiration is most likely the reason why H. wilsonii dies when subjected to 74% rh over 15 days (Proctor 2003). Plants of S. lepidophylla that were dry during a whole year recovered full photosynthetic capacity within 20 h whether the plants were rehydrated in light or in darkness (Eickmeier 1979). During the first 6 h, the plants exhibited only respiration, and the photosynthetic recovery was linked to the regaining of ribulose bis-phosphate carboxylase activity, which was conserved by 60% during the long abiotic period (Eickmeier 1979). Selaginella species differed in the rapidity of recovering photosynthesis and the length of the initial period of respiration (Eickmeier 1980).

Long periods of drought, too-frequent cycles of drying and wetting, and too-rapid drying can decrease the productivity of poikilohydrous plants by affecting the maximal rates of net photosynthesis. In *Selaginella*, rates of net photosynthesis after hydration decreased with increasing length of the period of desiccation (Eickmeier 1979). In *R. myconi*,

	,
	,
<u> </u>	2
2	
۳.	
ĝ	
<	

Maximal Rate of Net Photosynthesis, Photosynthetic Light Compensation, and Saturation Points, and Minimum Water Content Allowing Photosynthetic Activity in Different Poikilohydrous Autotrophs

Facus districtus (in general)6.3 mg CO 2 g^{-1} h^{-1}80% desiccationQuadir et al. 1979Lichens (in general)0.2-5.0 mg CO 2 g d.wt. ⁻¹ h^{-1}80% desiccationQuadir et al. 1979Lichens (in general)0.2-5.0 mg CO 2 g d.wt. ⁻¹ h^{-1}975, Green et al. 198Lerystallina0.13 mg m ⁻² 1.9 mol CO m ⁻² s ⁻¹ 28 -43Lerystallina1.4 mg CO 2 g d.wt. ⁻¹ h^{-1}202.3% d.wt.Runca1.4 mg CO 2 g d.wt. ⁻¹ h^{-1}202.0% desiceationRundel and Lange 19831.9 mol CO m ⁻² s ⁻¹ 202.3% d.wt.Rundel and Lange 19833.5 mol CO m ⁻² s ⁻¹ 20-30100-200Consamme3.4 mg CO 2 g d.wt. ⁻¹ h^{-1}20-30100-200Consamthae3.4 mg CO 2 g d.wt. ⁻¹ h^{-1}20-30100-500Consamthae3.4 mg CO 2 g d.wt. ⁻¹ h^{-1}20-30100-500Consamthae3.4 mg CO 2 g d.wt. ⁻¹ h^{-1}5-50500-750Consamthae2.4 mg CO 2 g d.wt. ⁻¹ h^{-1}5-50500-750Consamthae2.4 mg CO 2 g d.wt. ⁻¹ h^{-1}5-25100-500S repidophylla2.3 mol CO 2 m ⁻² s^{-1}30400-500R materensis2.3 mol CO 2 m ⁻² s^{-1}30400-50020% RWCR materensis2.3 mol CO 2 m ⁻² s^{-1}30400-50020% RWCR moterensis2.3 mol CO 2 m ⁻² s^{-1}30400-50020% RWCR moterensis2.3 mol CO 2 m ⁻² s^{-1}30400-50020% RWCR motoin obydrous sup lants10-20 µmol CO	Organism	Maximum Rate of Net Photosynthesis (Different Units)	Light Compensation Point (μ mol Photons m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	Light Saturation Point (µmol Photons m ⁻² s ⁻)	Minimum Water Content for Net Photosynthesis	Source
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>Fucus distichus</i> (high interdial zone) Lichens (in general)	$6.3 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1} \\ 0.2\text{-}5.0 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$			80% desiccation	Quadir et al. 1979 Kallio and Kärenlampi
B. aurea 1.4 mg CO ₂ g d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 20 23% d.wt. Rundel and Lange 1983 T. ruralis 4.8 mg CO ₂ g d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 20 23% d.wt. Rundel and Lange 1983 P. virginianum 3.5 μ mol CO ₂ m d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 20-30 10% d.wt. Tuba 1987 P. virginianum 3.5 μ mol CO ₂ m d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 20-30 100-200 Gildner and Larson 199 C. maranthae 3.48 mg CO ₂ g d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 5-25 100-200 Gebauer 1986 C. maranthae 3.48 mg CO ₂ g d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 5-25 100-500 Gebauer 1986 N. parryi 5.5 μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ 5-25 100-500 Gebauer 1986 N. parryi 5.5 μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ 30 400-500 Tuba 1978 R. myconi 2.39 mg CO ₂ g d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 30 400-500 20% RWC Gebauer 1986 R. myconi 2.39 mg CO ₂ g d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 30 400-500 20% RWC Gebauer 1986 R. myconi 2.9.2.38 µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ 30 400-500 20% RWC Tuba et al. 1994 Homoiohydrous scler	L. crystallina	7.0 μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ 5.9 μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹	28-43		0.13 mg m^{-2}	1975, Orteen et al. 1984 Lange et al. 1998 Lange et al. 1994
T. ruralis10% d.wt.Tuba 1987P. virginianum $3.5 \mu m 0 CO_2 g d.wt.^{-1} h^{-1}$ $20-30$ $100-200$ $60\% d.wt.$ Tuba 1987P. virginianum $3.5 \mu m 0 CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1}$ $20-30$ $100-200$ $60hau en 1986$ $61hae r and Larson 1996$ C. maranthae $3.48 m CO_2 g d.wt.^{-1} h^{-1}$ $5-50$ $500-750$ $61hae r and Larson 1996$ C. maranthae $3.48 m CO_2 g d.wt.^{-1} h^{-1}$ $5-25$ $100-500$ $61e^{3}$ N. parryi $5.5 \mu m 0 CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1}$ $5-25$ $100-500$ $80-780$ S. lepidophylla $2.44 m CO_2 g d.wt.^{-1} h^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ $20\% RWC$ $6e^{bauer 1979}$ R. myconi $2.39 m CO_2 g d.wt.^{-1} h^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ $20\% RWC$ $79-2000$ R. myconi $2.39 m CO_2 g d.wt.^{-1} h^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ $20\% RWC$ $79-2000$ Monoinhydrous sclerophylls of dry regions $7.9-23.8 \mu m ol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1}$ $10-20 \mu m ol $	B. aurea	$1.4 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g}^- \text{d.wt.}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$	20	200	23% d.wt.	Rundel and Lange 1983
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T. ruralis	$4.8 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$			10% d.wt.	Tuba 1987
C. maranhae $3.48 \text{ m} \text{ CO}_2 \text{ g} \text{ d.wt}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ $5-50$ $500-750$ Gebauer 1986 C. madrensis $4.04 \text{ m} \text{ CO}_2 \text{ g} \text{ d.wt}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ $5-25$ $100-500$ Gebauer 1986 N. parryi $5.5 \mu \text{mol} \text{ CO}_2 \text{ g} \text{ d.wt}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ $5-25$ $100-500$ Gebauer 1986 N. parryi $5.5 \mu \text{mol} \text{ CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ $5-20$ $100-500$ Gebauer 1976 S. lepidophylla $2.44 \text{ mg} \text{ CO}_2 \text{ g} \text{ d.wt}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ $20\% \text{ RWC}$ Gebauer 1976 R. myconi $2.39 \text{ mg} \text{ CO}_2 \text{ g} \text{ d.wt}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ $20\% \text{ RWC}$ Gebauer 1986 K. myconi $2.39 \text{ mg} \text{ CO}_2 \text{ g} \text{ d.wt}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ $20\% \text{ RWC}$ Gebauer 1986 K. myconi $2.92.38 \mu \text{mol} \text{ CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ $20\% \text{ RWC}$ Tuba et al. 1994 Homoiohydrous sclerophylls of dry regions $7.9-23.8 \mu \text{ mol} \text{ CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ Larcher 1980 Larcher 1980 Homoiohydrous sun plants $10-20 \mu \text{ mol} \text{ CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ Larcher 1980 Larcher 1980	P. virginianum	$3.5 \ \mu mol \ CO_2 \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$	20 - 30	100 - 200		Gildner and Larson 1992
C. maderensis 4.04 mg CO ₂ g d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 5-25 100-500 Gebauer 1986 N. parryi 5.5 μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ 5-25 150 Nobel 1978 N. parryi 5.5 μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ 5-20 Eickmeier 1976 S. lepidophylla 2.44 mg CO ₂ g d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 30 400-500 Z0% RWC Gebauer 1986 R. myconi 2.39 mg CO ₂ g d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 30 400-500 20% RWC Gebauer 1986 K. myconi 2.39 mg CO ₂ g d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 30 400-500 20% RWC Gebauer 1986 K. myconi 2.39 mg CO ₂ g d.wt. ⁻¹ h ⁻¹ 30 400-500 20% RWC Gebauer 1986 K. myconi 2.38 µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ 30 400-500 20% RWC Tuba et al. 1994 Homoiohydrous sclerophylls of dry regions 7.9-23.8 µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ Larcher 1980 Larcher 1980 Homoiohydrous sun plants 10-20 µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ Larcher 1980 Larcher 1980	C. maranthae	$3.48 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$	5-50	500-750		Gebauer 1986
N. parryi 5.5 μ mol CO2 m ⁻² s ⁻¹ 150 Nobel 1978 S. lepidophylla 5.5μ mol CO2 m ⁻² s ⁻¹ $2.44 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ Eickmeier 1979 R. myconi $2.39 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ $20\% \text{ RWC}$ Gebauer 1986 R. myconi $2.39 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ $20\% \text{ RWC}$ Gebauer 1986 K. myconi $2.92.8 \mu$ mol CO2 m ⁻² s ⁻¹ 30 $400-500$ $20\% \text{ RWC}$ Grauer 1986 Homoiohydrous sclerophylls of dry regions $7.9-23.8 \mu$ mol CO2 m ⁻² s ⁻¹ Larcher 1980 Larcher 1980 Homoiohydrous sun plants $10-20 \mu$ mol CO2 m ⁻² s ⁻¹ $2.3 \text{ sclear tal. 1988}$ Littge et al. 1988	C. maderensis	$4.04 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$	5-25	100 - 500		Gebauer 1986
S. lepidophylla $2.44 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ >2000 Eickmeier 1979 R. myconi $2.39 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ $20\% \text{ RWC}$ Gebauer 1986 R. myconi $2.39 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ $20\% \text{ RWC}$ Gebauer 1986 X. scabrida $4.0 \text{ µmol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ $20\% \text{ RWC}$ Gebauer 1986 Homoiohydrous sclerophylls of dry regions $7.9-23.8 \text{ µmol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ Larcher 1980 Larcher 1980 Homoiohydrous sun plants $10-20 \text{ µmol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ $10-20 \text{ µmol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ Larcher 1980	N. parryi	5.5 μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹		150		Nobel 1978
R. myconi $2.39 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ 20% RWCGebauer 1986X. scabrida $4.0 \text{ µmol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 30 $400-500$ 20% RWCGebauer 1986X. scabrida $4.0 \text{ µmol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 1094 Tuba et al. 1994Homoiohydrous sclerophylls of dry regions $7.9-23.8 \text{ µmol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ Larcher 1980Homoiohydrous sun plants $10-20 \text{ µmol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ Littge et al. 1988	S. lepidophylla	$2.44 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$		>2000		Eickmeier 1979
X. scabrida $4.0 \mu\text{mol}$ CO2 m ⁻² s ⁻¹ Tuba et al. 1994Homoiohydrous sclerophylls of dry regions $7.9-23.8 \mu\text{mol}$ CO2 m ⁻² s ⁻¹ Larcher 1980Homoiohydrous sun plants $10-20 \mu\text{mol}$ CO2 m ⁻² s ⁻¹ Lüttge et al. 1988	R. myconi	$2.39 \text{ mg CO}_2 \text{ g d.wt.}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$	30	400 - 500	20% RWC	Gebauer 1986
Homoiohydrous sclerophylls of dry regions 7.9–23.8 μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ Homoiohydrous sun plants 10–20 μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹ Lüttge et al. 1988	X. scabrida	4.0 μ mol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹				Tuba et al. 1994
Homoiohydrous sun plants $10-20 \ \mu mol \ CO_2 \ m^{-2} \ s^1$ Lüttge et al. 1988	Homoiohydrous sclerophylls of dry regions	7.9-23.8 µmol CO ₂ m ⁻² s ⁻¹				Larcher 1980
	Homoiohydrous sun plants	$10-20 \ \mu mol \ CO_2 \ m^{-2} \ s^1$				Lüttge et al. 1988

Functional Plant Ecology

41

net photosynthesis decreased to one-third after the fifth cycle of drying and wetting (Gebauer 1986). In *S. lepidophylla*, intermediate drying speeds (52–94 h until complete curling of the plant was reached) led to maximal recovery, whereas either rapid (5.5 h) or very slow (175 h) drying was associated with significantly reduced photosynthetic rates (Eickmeier 1983). Rapid drying implied increased membrane dysfunction, whereas slow drying caused retarded de novo protein synthesis.

Poikilochlorophyllous plants typically undergo a long hiatus in photosynthetic activity during the periods of drying and rehydration because of the destruction of the photosynthetic apparatus and resynthesis of the desiccoplasts. Under natural conditions, the desiccation process may take several days or weeks (Hetherington and Smillie 1982, Tuba et al. 1997). In slowly drying leaves of X. scabrida, net photosynthesis became negative after 3 days when leaf RWC was 54%, and respiration ceased after 15 days at 8% leaf RWC. A similar value limiting respiratory activity (less than 10%) was reported for X. humilis and also the homoihydrous C. wilmsii and M. flabellifolius (Farrant 2000). Accordingly, respiration of X. scabrida was activated within 20 min of rehydration and reached full rates within 6 h, even before turgor was restored in the cells (Tuba et al. 1994). However, chlorophyll resynthesis started only after 12 h of rehydration and was not complete until 36 h had elapsed. The time required to fully restore photosynthetic capacity on rehydration was not different whether the plants were exposed to air with 350 or 700 ppm of CO_2 , but downregulation of photosynthetic rates was found at 700 ppm (Tuba et al. 1996b). During regreening on rehydration, photosystem I activity appeared to recover faster than that of photosystem II (Gaff and Hallam 1974, Hetherington and Smillie 1982).

Available studies on poikilohydrous plants have mainly focused on the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying inactivation and reactivation of photosynthesis and respiration. With a few exceptions, seemingly relevant aspects of the recovery of plant CO_2 exchange such as stomatal responses (Lichtenthaler and Rinderle 1988, Schwab et al. 1989, Tuba et al. 1994), leaf performance (Stuart 1968, Matthes-Sears et al. 1993), and whole plant behavior (Eickmeier et al. 1992) have been widely neglected. Field studies of the CO_2 exchange during the dehydration/rehydration cycles, such as those conducted with lichens (see Section "Opportunistic Metabolic Activity In Situ"), are necessary to reach a better $\overline{AQ5}$ ecological understanding of the carbon economy of poikilohydrous plants.

DIFFERENT STRATEGIES

Poikilohydrous life style does not obligatively mean that the organism is a perennial, as several of the constitutively poikilohydrous bryophytes and a few lichen taxa are ephemeral (Longton 1988a). Compared with the strategy of homoiohydrous plants, it can be characterized by the hydration range and rapidity with which the poikilohydrous plant enters into the anabiotic state during desiccation and with which it recovers normal activity during rehydration (Figure 2.10). According to the features discussed throughout this chapter, we can discern four kinds of strategies among the eurypoikilohydrous organisms.

The *Ready type* is exhibited by constitutively poikilohydrous nonvascular species (cyanobacteria, algae, lichens, and some bryophytes), which can easily lose and absorb water, switching their metabolism on and off very quickly. Their cellular structures are highly strengthened and do not need extensive, time-consuming repair processes, which allows them to oscillate frequently (daily or even within a few hours) between anabiosis and active state.

Certain bryophytes and vascular plants need variable periods of time (hours in the case of the former, days in the case of the latter) to recover completely from desiccation and therefore represent a *Repair type*. Preventing rapid water uptake may protect from deleterious effects during rehydration. The most drastic example of this strategy is polkilochlorophyllous plants. The partial destruction of organelles and photosynthetic pigments during desiccation seems

42

Functional Plant Ecology



FIGURE 2.10 Water status-related plant performance.

to be disadvantageous, since it reduces the period of activity and limits photosynthetic carbon gain. However, this response type prevents oxidative membrane deterioration, particularly in plants that grow in open-exposed habitats. Such plants need rather long and continuous periods of activity and oscillate between dry and wet states only once or a few times per year (Gaff and Gies 1986). Homoiochlorophyllous plants manage better with repeated changes in hydration.

Certain bryophytes and vascular plants are not capable of tolerating extreme desiccation without a previous acclimation or preconditioning and are therefore typical of the *Prepare type*. Tolerance to desiccation is increased if they are exposed to a slow water loss, or if water loss occurs under a low vapor pressure deficit (e.g., *Bryum caespititium* and *Pohlia elongata*). Such plants either make use of structural features that retard water loss or grow in sheltered habitats where the evaporative potential is low (rock colonizing ferns, *B. nitida*, some Velloziaceae).

The *Transient type* includes certain bryophytes and ferns that acquire a eurypoikilohydrous character only temporarily by hardening as their fronds become extremely desiccationtolerant during the winter or the dry season (mesic bryophytes, *P. vulgare, Asplenium* species).

A type with *mixed strategy* is realized with plants that act poikilohydrously only with a major part of the individual. By shifting between functioning with larger "dolichoblasts" in the rainy season and small, extremely desiccation-tolerant "brachyblasts" in the dry season, the small shrub *Satureja gillesii* can reduce its transpiring leaf surface (Montenegro et al. 1979). Brachyblasts have a mesophytic anatomy and are covered by filamentous trichomes. Among the plants that live in ephemeral rock pools, those species (*Aponogetum desertorum* and *Limosella* species) that are preserved by desiccation-tolerant rhizomes or corms (Gaff and Gies 1986) may also reveal a mixed strategy type. However, the heterophyllous *C. intrepidus* typically does. It survives with dry rhizome and contracted conic basal leaves most of the year. On flooding the pool the basal submersed leaves do expend (it is not clear whether they carry out significant photosynthesis). The floating leaves can be produced within a few days and are the productive part of the plant. They perform according to the repair-type, as they can pass periods of desiccation repeatedly (up to 20 times) during one season and are able to regain full photosynthetic capacity within 18 h (Woitke et al. 2004).

OPPORTUNISTIC METABOLIC ACTIVITY IN SITU

The photosynthetic activity of lichens has been monitored in situ in various climatic regions (Kappen 1988). Figure 2.11 depicts diurnal courses for lichens in two xeric habitats, the

43



FIGURE 2.11 Series of diurnal courses of lichen water content (WC) and CO₂ exchange of *Lecidella crystallina* (on an area basis) from southern Africa in spring (September 16–20, 1990) and of *Umbilicaria spodochroa* (on chlorophyll basis) from Norway in winter (January 5–9, 1994). (After Lange, O.L., Meyer, A., Zellner, H., and Heber, U., *Funct. Ecol.*, 8, 253, 1994; Kappen, L., Schroeter, B., Hestmark, G., and Winkler, J.B., *Botanica Acta*, 109, 292, 1996.)

Namib desert in spring (Lange et al. 1990c, 1994) and south-exposed rocks of southern Norway in winter (Kappen et al. 1996). It may be noted here that frozen water is able to maintain CO_2 exchange in winter if irradiance is sufficient.

Different types of diurnal courses of gas exchange of lichens result from the existence of several possible sources of moisture (dew, fog, and rainfall, each one alone or in combination). Lösch et al. (1997) have proposed a simplified scheme for the seasonal variation of the periods of photosynthetic activity of nonvascular autotrophs with regard to the climatic conditions. In subpolar and polar regions, the most productive and extended periods of activity occur in spring, summer, and fall. In temperate regions, the periods of activity are regular but rather short and occur during all seasons, although they are somewhat limited in



FIGURE 2.12 Schematic description of typical diurnal photosynthetic activity in the seasonal course for bryophytes and lichens in different climatic regions. NP, net photosynthesis; DR, dark respiration. (After Lösch, R., Pause, R., and Mies, B., *Bibl. Lichenol.*, 67, 145, 1997.)

summer. In hot arid regions, the periods of activity are brief but frequent, since they potentially occur throughout the year or every day during the wet season, depending on the regions (Figure 2.12). Examples of field studies in different environments are those by Hahn et al. (1989, 1993), Bruns-Strenge and Lange (1991), Sancho et al. (1997), and in the Antarctic (see Chapter 14 of this volume). The daily periods of moistening may last from midnight to up to 3 h in sunlight if dewfall is involved. In arid regions, the length of the period of lichen hydration is directly influenced by the exposure and compass direction of the site. In warm and temperate climates, shaded habitats allow the longest periods of hydration, but in frigid climates, hydration is combined with insolation of the habitat (Kappen et al. 1980, Kappen 1982, 1988,1998b, Nash and Moser 1982, Pintado et al. 1997).

A long-term investigation of the lichen *Ramalina maciformis* in the Negev desert revealed that thalli were active most days of the year: Dewfall caused 306 days of metabolic activity (of which carbon balance was positive on 218 days and negative on 88 days), whereas rainfall produced activity on only 29 days per year (Kappen et al. 1979). In high mountains and polar regions, melting snow can extend the productive period of lichens and bryophytes over several days or weeks (Kappen et al. 1995, 1998b). In Antarctica, the lichen *Usnea aurantiaco-atra* was active for a total of 3359 h within 268 days of 1 year in the wet maritime region (Schroeter et al. 1997), whereas the period of activity was reduced to one-fifth of this value within 120 days in the Antarctic dry valleys for cryptoendolithic microorganisms (see Table 2.4)

ABLE 2.4
Number of Days with Metabolic Activity within 1 Year in Various Regions

	Active	Positive CO ₂ Balance	Negative CO ₂ Balance	Source	
Negev 1971/1972, <i>R</i> maciformis	306	218	88	Kappen et al. 1979	
Maritime Antarctic 1992/1993, U. aurantiaco-atra	268	150	118	Schroeter et al. 1997	
Continental Antarctic 1985/1988, Cryptoendolithic lichen community	120	120(?)	0(?)	Friedmann et al. 1993	AQ6

(Friedmann et al. 1993, Nienow and Friedmann 1993). The latter agrees with the findings on lichens in Botany Bay, continental Antarctica, where the productive period of the lichens was restricted to only the melt period in spring and some moist summer days since it was too dark and too cold under the snow cover in the cold season and frequently too dry in the warm season (Pannewitz et al. 2003).

Diurnal cycles of field-measured photosynthetic activity of bryophytes have been recorded for temperate (Lösch et al. 1997), subarctic, and polar habitats (Hicklenton and Oechel 1977, Oechel and Sveinbjörnson 1978), demonstrating the dependency of the periods of activity on weather conditions and habitat factors, as shown in lichens. In polar regions and high mountains, the photosynthetic activity of typically shade-adapted bryophytes may be depressed by temporarily high insolation combined with snow melt (Kappen et al. 1989, Valanne 1994).

For poikilohydrous vascular plants, we can only refer to one diurnal course measured in *Notholaena parryi* of the western Colorado desert, showing full light-driven CO₂ exchange (Nobel 1978), and two studies on *C. intrepidus* (Gaff and Gies 1986, Woitke et al. 2004). In one case the authors found 11 events of activity, each lasting for 2–23 days over a period of 1.5 years (Figure 2.13), and in the other 20 events per year were counted.



FIGURE 2.13 Amount of rainfall (line) and periods of filling two rockpools (columns) in Fritz Gaerdes Reserve, Okahandja, Namibia, where *C. intrepidus* (Scrophulariaceae) grows. (After Gaff, D.F. and Giess, W., *Dinteria*, 18, 17, 1986.)

PLACE IN PLANT COMMUNITIES

Their remarkable tolerance to climatically extreme conditions, their capacity to colonize both the exterior and the interior of rocks, and their relative success as epiphytes allow many poikilohydrous nonvascular autotrophs to survive and grow in the absence of strong competition by homoiohydrous plants. This is evident with the numerous lichen and bryophyte communities formed in polar regions, high mountains, and on rock outcrops, everywhere where vascular plants fail to grow. In real desert lands (Shields et al. 1957, Scott 1982), and also the Mediterranean and steppe regions, deserted land in southern Australia (Rogers and Lange 1971) and on dunes, bryophytes, lichens, and soil algae cover the soil as crusts with characteristic community structure such as for instance of many others like the famous Fulgensietum fulgentis in Europe or the Crossidio crassinervis-Tortuletum obtusatae in the Irano-Turanian territory (Galun and Garty 2001). These types of vegetation, especially the crusts, are ecologically crucial because they reduce erosion and contribute to the preservation of hydric, chemical, and physical properties of the soil (Danin and Garty 1983, Belnap and Lange 2001).

A particular phenomenon in semiarid regions is the occurrence of erratic (vagrant) lichens (Kappen 1988, Rosentreter 1993, Perez 1997) and bryophytes (Scott 1982). With their curled lobes or shoots, they are blown by the wind to shallow depressions and await a flush of water or just a bit of rain to unfold their thalli and to become productive. Without roots and not dependent on any soil formation, lichens and bryophytes typically form pioneer communities on young volcanic material or areas around receding glaciers as long as these sites are prepared for the establishment of homoiohydrous plants (Kappen 1988). In many habitats, mosaics are formed between vascular plant and cryptogam communities like in the boreal region, or lichens and bryophytes together with poikilohydrous vascular plants form communities in temporarily moist habitats with shallow soil cover (Gaff 1977, Volk 1984, Müller 1985, Lazarides 1992, Belnap and Lange 2001).

Communities with dominant poikilohydrous angiosperms were recognized since Lebrun (1947) who described a Craterostigmetum nanolanceolati, comprising *C. lanceolatum*, *C. plantagineum*, *C. hirsutum*, *Lindernia philcoxii* together with *Riccia* and Cyperaceae on lateritic crusts in Zaire. Typical are communities between resurrection plants and bryophytes and elsewhere lichens that have exploited temporal ponds or run-offs on Inselbergs in subtropical and tropical regions, such as the Xerophytetum humilis in Namibia (Volk 1984) where *Xerophyta* (= *Barbacenia humilis*) is associated with *Riccia*, *Bryum*, and cyanobacteria and locally with *C. plantagineum*, some succulents, and grasses. Similar communities with dominating poikilohydrous Scrophulariaceae were described for the African savannah region (Fischer 1992). Volk (1984) observed that communities of the Nanocyperion teneriffae are typical of pioneering vegetation that combines the strategies of therophytes, as they are able to defend their place against invasion of seeds and seedlings. Forming stable herds, turfs, or mats, their dominant position on inselbergs was demonstrated by Porembski and Barthlott (1997).

A transition from dominance to exclusion of poikilohydrous vascular plants was found in southern Africa as a function of soil depth (Gaff 1977). Initial stages are characteristic with poikilohydrous water plants (*C. intrepidus* in Namibia or *Craterostigma monroi* in Zimbabwe) and lead to grass–herb communities over several steps to, finally, a pluvio-therophytic grass vegetation or associations between *Xerophyta* species and perennial succulent life forms. Although they grow slowly, they are also very successful in cracks and rock ledges with changing water supply once they are established. Species-rich communities of nonvascular autotrophs are frequently formed on tree trunks, branches, and even leaves in wet forests, particularly on mountain slopes.

47

Algae, lichens, and bryophytes grow potentially everywhere because of their small and easily transportable vegetative and generative propagules (Kappen 1995). The evolution of a great variety of asexual means of reproduction has made nonvascular plants extremely successful in the colonization of remote and difficult sites. We have only limited knowledge about the reproductive strategies and success of poikilohydrous vascular plants. M. flabellifolius forms perianthless inconspicuous monoecic flowers, which are most likely anemogamous (Puff 1978, Child 1960). According to Puff (1978), pollen tetrades may increase the fertility on a receptaculum (success of mating) in this species. The seeds are extremely small and can be dispersed over long distances by the wind, but we have no records about periods and conditions of flowering. The flowers of poikilohydrous Scrophulariaceae do not differ much from those of the homoiohydrous members of the family (Heil 1925, Hickel 1967, Smook 1969, Gaff 1977). However, the rapidity of producing flowers is remarkable in species such as C. intrepidus, as flower buds appeared simultaneously with the floating levels. As expected, the reproductive phase occurred predominantly during the wet season, and a general requirement was a period of photosynthetic activity before reproduction, as was shown for Vellozia schnitzleinia (Nigeria: Owoseye at Sandford 1972) and poikilohydrous grass species in Australia (Lazarides 1972). Nevertheless, a few grass species can reproduce during the dry period. C. intrepidus has a high genetic variability within and between populations on one site. Although gene flow over long distances is low, there is no evidence of genetic isolation of populations by distance (Heilmeier et al. 2005). Describing the reproductive biology and ecology of the Velloziaceae (Kubitzki 1998, Ibisch et al. 2001) helps to interpret the ecological strategy of poikilohydrous plants and to compare their relative success with that of homoiohydrous co-occurring species.

PRIMARY PRODUCTION OF POIKILOHYDROUS AUTOTROPHS

A measure of the success of a given plant is its rate of biomass production and its primary production integrated throughout a growth period. As previously discussed, photosynthetic and growth rates of poikilohydrous plants are low in comparison with homoiohydrous species (Table 2.3). Thus, the time period during which the plant is hydrated becomes a crucial factor for the primary production of poikilohydrous plants in relation to that of the potentially more productive homoiohydrous plants. To our knowledge, no data are available regarding the primary production of poikilohydrous vascular plants. Studies with lichens and bryophytes have revealed the existence of different diel cycles of activity depending on the season, the habitat, and the weather (Figure 2.12), each combination resulting in very different carbon balance. In the Namib desert, fog resulted in an annual carbon gain of 20% of the standing carbon mass for the lichens studied (Lange et al. 1990c). Annual carbon gain was 20%–30% in the maritime Antarctic and northern Europe (Schroeter et al. 1995, Kallio and Kärenlampi 1975) and went up to 79% in a coastal habitat with high precipitation in northern Germany (Bruns-Strenge and Lange 1992). In contrast, the estimated annual carbon gain was only 3.8% in the harsh conditions of the continental Antarctic (Kappen 1985).

The biomass of lichens can be surprisingly high in tundra ecosystems, especially when compared with that of vascular plants. For instance, in the Alaskan tundra (Atkasook), lichen biomass was 76 g dry matter m⁻², which represented 26% of the total aboveground biomass of the system, and it reached 1372 g m⁻² near Anatuvuk Pass in the Brooks Range (Lechowicz 1981). In Scotland, the annual increase in dry biomass of the lichen *Cladonia portentosa* alone was 47 g m⁻² (Prince, quoted by Bruns-Strenge and Lange 1992). Lichen primary production is also surprising in deserts, where they locally exhibit values similar to or higher than those of homoiohydrous vascular plants. A field study of the desert lichen *R. maciformis* found an annual carbon gain of 60–195 mg CO₂ g⁻¹ d.wt., which is equivalent to a net carbon gain of 4.5%–15.0% (Kappen et al. 1980). On the poor soils of the Namib desert, the lichen

Teloschistes capensis forms dense turfs of a biomass of 250 g m⁻² (Kappen 1988). Similar values were found in the Negev desert, where the lichen biomass was within the range of that of the vascular plant vegetation (145–323 g m⁻²; Kappen et al. 1980). The annual carbon production of soil crusts with dominating lichens can be comparatively high with 12–37 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ in Arizona and Utah and in the Namib fog desert approximately 32 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ (Evans and Lange 2001).

Bryophytes are frequent in deserts, but they are not very productive in these arid environments. They rarely surpass a 0.3%-8% cover and a biomass of 2 g m⁻² (Nash et al. 1977). However, in tundra ecosystems they can be as productive and abundant as lichens and vascular plants. For example, in the Alaskan Arctic, bryophytes exhibit an average cover of almost 60%, and they contribute 36% of the net aboveground production of the ecosystem (Webber 1978). In certain areas of the maritime Antarctic, where cryptogamic phytomass reaches values of 1900 g m⁻², bryophytes are dominant (Kappen 1993b). However, most of them are stenopoikilohydrous moss species that, in warmer and moist habitats, form up to 4750 g m⁻² measured in the uppermost 10 cm of the profile (Longton 1988b). *Sphagnum* species, for instance, may cover 1%, and lichens were estimated to dominate in total approximately 8% of the land surface of the Globe (Clymo 1970, Larson 1987, Ahmadjian 1995).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Professors Carmen Ascaso, T.G. Allan Green, Ulrich Heber, Wolfram Hartung, Otto. L. Lange, and Rainer Lösch for kindly providing information and discussion on poikilohydrous plants. Allan Green also helped to edit the manuscript. Stefan Pannewitz (Kiel, Germany) helped to acquire the literature and organize the reference list.

REFERENCES

- Abel, W.O., 1956. Die Austrocknungsresistenz der Laubmoose. Sitzungsberichte der Wiener Akademie der Wissenschaften. Math. Nat. Kl. Abt. I. 165: 619–707.
- Ahmadjian, V., 1973. Resynthesis of lichens. In: V. Ahmadjian and M.E. Hale, eds. The Lichens. Academic Press, New York, pp. 565–580.
- Ahmadjian, V., 1995. Lichens are more important than you think. BioScience 45: 124.
- Alamillo, J.M. and D. Bartels, 2001. Effects of desiccation on photosynthesis pigments and the ELIPlike dsp-22 protein complexes in the resurrection plant *Craterostigma plantagineum*. Plant Science 160: 1161–1170.
- Albini, F.M., C. Murelli, G. Patritti, M. Rovati, P. Zienna, and P.V. Finzi, 1994. Low-molecular weight substances from the resurrection plant *Sporobolus stapfianus*. Phytochemistry 37: 137–142.
- Alpert, P., 2006. Constraints of tolerance: why are desiccation-tolerant organisms so small and rare. Journal of Experimental Biology 209: 1575–1584.
- Alves, R.J.V., 1994. Morphological age determination and longevity in some *Vellozia* populations in Brazil. Folia Geobotanica and Phytotaxonomica 29: 55–59.
- Augusti, A., A. Scartazza, F. Navarri-Izzo, C.L.M. Sgherri, B. Stefanovic, and E. Brugnoli, 2001. Photosystem II photochemical efficiency, zeaxanthin and antioxidant contents in the poikilohydric *Ramonda serbica* during dehydration and rehydration. Photosynthesis Research 67: 79–88.
- Balaguer, L., F.I. Pugnaire, E. Martinez-Ferri, C. Armas, C., F. Valladares, and E. Manrique, 2000. Ecophysiological significance of chlorophyll loss and reduced photochemical efficiency under extreme aridity in *Stipa tenacissima* L. Plant and Soil 240: 343–352.
- Bartels, D., 2005. Desiccation tolerance studied in the resurrection plant *Craterostigma plantagineum*. Integrative and Comparative Biology 45: 696–701.

48

AQ7

Bartels, D. and F. Salamini, 2001. Desiccation tolerance in the resurrection plant *Craterostigma plantagineum*. A contribution to the study of drought tolerance at the molecular level. Plant Physiology 127: 1346–1353.

- Bartels, D., K. Schneider, G. Terstappen, D. Piatkowski, and F. Salamini, 1990. Molecular cloning of abscisic acid-modulated genes which are induced during desiccation of the resurrection plant *Craterostigma plantagineum*. Planta 181: 27–34.
- Bartels, D., R. Alexander, K. Schneider, R. Elster, R. Velasco, J. Alamillo, G. Bianchi, D. Nelson, and F. Salamini, 1993. Dessication-related gene products analysed in a resurrection plant and barley embryos. In: T.J. Close and E.A. Bray, eds. Plant Responses to Cellular Dehydration During Environmental Stress. Current Topics in Plant Physiology, Vol. 10. American Society of Plant Physiology, New York, pp. 199–127.
- Barthlott, W. and I. Capesius, 1974. Wasserabsorption durch Blatt- und Sproorgane einiger Xerophyten. Z. Pflanzenphysiol 72: 443–455.

AQ8

- Barthlott, W. and S. Porembski, 1996. Ecology and morphology of *Blossfeldia liliputana* (Cactaceae): A poikilohydric and almost astomate succulent. Botanica Acta 109: 161–166.
- Bartley, M. and N.D. Hallam, 1979. Changes in the fine structure of the desiccation tolerant sedge *Coleochloa setifera* (Ridley) Gilly under water stress. Australian Journal of Botany 27: 531–545.
- Beckett, R.P., 1995. Some aspects of the water relations of lichens from habitats of contrasting water status studied using thermocouple psychrometry. Annals of Botany 76: 211–217.
- Belnap, J. and O.L. Lange, 2001. Biological Soil Crusts: Structure, Function, and Management. Ecological Studies 150, Springer, Heidelberg, New York.
- Bernacchia, G. and A. Furini, 2004. Biochemical and molecular responses to water stress in resurrection plants. Physiologia Plantarum 121: 175–181.
- Bernacchia, G.S., F. Salamini, and D. Bartels, 1996. Molecular characterization of the rehydration process in the resurrection plant *Craterostigma plantagineum*. Plant Physiology 111: 1043–1050.
- Bertsch, A., 1966a. Über den CO₂-Gaswechsel einiger Flechten nach Wasserdampfaufnahme. Planta 68: 157–166.
- Bertsch, A., 1966b. CO₂-Gaswechsel und Wasserhaushalt der aerophilen Grünalge Apatococcus lobatus. Planta 70: 46–72.
- Bertsch, A., 1967. CO₂-Gaswechsel, Wasserpotential und Sättigungsdefizit bei der Austrocknung epidermisfreier Blattscheiben von *Valerianella*. Naturwissenschaften 8: 204.
- Bewley, J.D., 1973. Polyribosomes conserved during desiccation of the moss *Tortula ruralis* are active. Plant Physiology 51: 285–288.
- Bewley, J.D., 1979. Physiological aspects of desiccation tolerance. Annual Review of Plant Physiology 30: 195–238.
- Bewley, J.D., 1995. Physiological aspects of desiccation tolerance—a retrospect. International Journal of Plant Science 156: 393–403.
- Bewley, J.D. and J.E. Krochko, 1982. Desiccation tolerance. In: A. Pirson and M.H. Zimmermann, eds. Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology. New Series Vol. 12b. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 325–378.
- Bewley, J.D. and M.J. Oliver, 1992. Desiccation-tolerance in vegetative plant tissues and seeds: protein synthesis in relation to desiccation and a potential role for protection and repair mechanisms. In: C.B. Osmond and G. Somero, eds. Water and Life: A Comparative Analysis of Water Relationship at the Organismic, Cellular and Molecular Levels. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 141–60.
- Bewley, J.D. and J. Pacey, 1978. Desiccation-induced ultrastructural changes in droughtsensitive and drought-tolerant plants. In: J.W. Crowe and J.S. Clegg, eds. Academic Press, New York, pp. 53–73.
- Bianchi, G., A. Gamba, C. Murelli, F. Salamini, and D. Bartels, 1991. Novel carbohydrate metabolism in the resurrection plant *Craterostigma plantagineum*. Plant Journal 1: 355–359.
- Bianchi, G., A. Gamba, C.R. Limiroli, N. Pozzi, R. Elster, F. Salamini, and D. Bartels, 1993. The unusual sugar composition in leaves of the resurrection plant *Myrothamnus flabellifolia*. Physiologia Plantarum 87: 223–226.

- Biebl, R. 1962. Protoplasmatische Ökologie der Pflanzen, Wasser und Temperature. Protoplasmatologia XII, 1, Springer-Verlag, Wien, 344 pp.
- Bilger, W., S. Rimke, U. Schreiber, and O.L. Lange, 1989. Inhibition of energy-transfer to photosystem II in lichens by dehydration: different properties of reversibility with green and blue-green phycobionts. Journal of Plant Physiology 134: 261–268.
- Blum, O.B., 1973. Water relations. In: V. Ahamadjian and M.E. Hale, eds. The Lichens. Academic Press, New York, pp. 381–400.
- Bruns-Strenge, S. and O.L. Lange, 1991. Photosynthetische Primärproduktion der Flechte Cladonia portentosa an einem D
 ünenstandort auf der Nordseeinsel Baltrum I. Freilandmessungen von Mikroklima, Wassergehalt und CO₂-Gaswechsel. Flora 185: 73–97.
- Bruns-Strenge, S. and O.L. Lange, 1992. Photosynthetische Primärproduktion der Flechte *Cladonia portentosa* an einem Dünenstandort auf der Nordseeinsel Baltrum III. Anwendung des Photosynthesemodells zur simulation von Tagesabläufen des CO₂-Gaswechsel und zur Abschätzung der Jahresproduktion. Flora 186: 127–140.
- Büdel, B., 1987. Zur Biologie und Systematik der Flechtengattung *Heppia* und *Peltula* im südlichen Afrika. Bibliotheca Lichenologica 23: 1–105.
- Büdel, B., 1990. Anatomical adaptions to the semiarid/arid environment in the lichen genus *Peltula*. Bibliotheca Lichenologica 38: 47–61.
- Büdel, B. and O.L. Lange, 1991. Water status of green and blue-green phycobionts in lichen thalli after hydration by water vapor uptake: Do they become turgid? Botanica Acta 104: 345–404.
- Büdel, B. and O.L. Lange, 1994. The role of the cortical and epineral layers in the lichen genus *Peltula*. Cryptogamic Botany 4: 262–269.
- Büdel, B. and D.C.J. Wessels, 1991. Rock-inhabiting blue-green algae (cyanobacteria) from hot arid regions. Algological Studies 64: 385–398.
- Bukhov, N.G., J. Kopecky, E.E. Pfündel, C. Klughammer, and U. Heber, 2001. A few molecules of zexanthin per reaction centre of photosystem II permit effective thermal dissipation of light energy in photosystem II of a poikilohydric moss. Planta 212: 739–748.
- Calatayud, A., V.I. Deltoro, E. Barreno, and S. del Valle-Tascón, 1997. Changes in *in vivo* chlorophyll fluorescence quenching in lichen thalli as a function of water content and suggestion of zeaxanthin-associated photoprotection. Physiologia Plantaurm 101: 93–102.
- Casper, C., W.G. Eickmeier, and C.B. Osmond, 1993. Changes of fluorescence and xanthophylls pigments during dyhydration in the resurrection plant *Selaginella lepidophylla* in low and medium light intensities. Oecologia 94: 528–533.
- Child, G.F., 1960. Brief notes on the ecology of the resurrection plant *Myrothamnus flabellifolia* with mention of its water-absorbing abilities. Journal of South African Botany 26: 1–8.
- Clymo, R.S., 1970. The growth of Sphagnum: Methods and measurements. Journal of Ecology 58: 13–49.
- Cowan, D.A., T.G.A. Green, and A.T. Wilson, 1979. Lichen metabolism I. The use of tritium-labeled water in studies of anhydrobiotic metabolism in *Ramalina celestri* and *Peltigera polydactyla*. New Phytologist 82: 489–503.
- Cowan, I.R., O.L. Lange, and T.G.A. Green, 1992. Carbon-dioxide exchange in lichens: determination of transport and carboxylation characteristics. Planta 187: 282–294.
- Coxson, D.S., 1987a. Photoinhibition of net photosynthesis in Stereocaulon virgatum and S. tomentosum, a tropical-temperate comparison. Canadian Journal of Botany 65: 1707–1715.
- Coxson, D.S., 1987b. The temperature dependence of photoinhibition in the tropical basidiomycete lichen *Cora pavonia* E. Fries. Oecologia 73: 447–453.
- Crowe, J.H. and L.M. Crowe, 1992. Membrane integrity in anhydrobiotic organisms: towards a mechanism for stabilizing dry cells. In: G.N. Somero, C.B. Osmond, and C.L. Bolin, eds. Water and Life. A Comparative Analysis of Water Relationships at the Organismic, Cellular and Molecular levels. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 87–113.
- Csintalan, Z., Z. Tuba, H. Lichtenthaler, and J. Grace, 1996. Reconstitution of photosynthesis upon rehydration in the desiccated leaves of the poikilochlorophyllus shrub *Xerophyta scabrida* at elevated CO₂. Journal of Plant Physiology 148: 345–350.
- Dace, H., H.W. Sherwin, N. Illing, and J.M. Farrant, 1998. Use of metabolic inhibitors to elucidate mechanisms of recovery from desiccation stress in the resurrection plant *Xerophyta humilis*. Plant Growth Regulation 24: 159–166.

- 51
- Danin, A. and J. Garty, 1983. Distribution of Cyanobacteria and lichens on hillsides of the Negev Highlands and their impact on biogenic weathering. Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie N.F. 27: 423-444.
- Davey, M.C., 1997. Effects of continuous and repeated dehydration on carbon fixation by bryophytes from the maritime Antarctic. Oecologia 110: 25–31.
- Demmig, B., K. Winter, K. Krüger, and F.-C. Czygan, 1988. Photoinhibition and the heat dissipation of excess light energy in *Nerium oleander* exposed to a combination of high light and water stress. Plant Physiology 87: 17–24.
- Demmig-Adams, B. and W.W. Adams, 1992. Photoprotection and other responses of plants to high light stress. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 43: 599–626.
- Demmig-Adams, B., C. Máguas, W.W.I. Adams, A. Meyer, E. Kilian, and O.L. Lange, 1990a. Effect of light on the efficiency of photochemical energy conversion in a variety of lichen species with green and blue-green phycobionts. Planta 180: 400–409.
- Demmig-Adams, B., W.W. Adams III, F.-C. Czygan, U. Schreiber, and O.L. Lange, 1990b. Differences in the capacity for radiationless energy dissipation in the photochemical apparatus of green and blue-green algal lichens associated with differences in carotenoid composition. Planta 180: 582–589.
- Dickie, J.B. and H.W. Pritchard, 2002. Systematic and evolutionary aspects of desiccation tolerance in seeds. In: M. Black and H.W. Pritchard, eds. Desiccation and Survival of Plants—Drying without Dying. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp. 239–259.
- Dhindsa, R.S., 1985. Non-autotrophic CO₂ fixation and drought tolerance in mosses. Journal of experimental Botany 36: 980–988.
- Dhindsa, R.S., 1991. Drought stress, enzymes of glutathion metabolism, oxidation injury, and protein synthesis in *Tortula ruralis*. Plant Physiology 95: 648–651.
- Dhindsa, R.S. and W. Matowe, 1981. Drought tolerance in two mosses: Correlated with enzymatic defence against lipid peroxidation. Journal of Experimental Botany 32: 79–91.
- Dietz, S. and W. Hartung, 1998. Abscisic acid in lichens: Variation, water relations and metabolism. New Phytologist 138: 99–106.
- Dilks, T.J.K. and M.C.F. Proctor, 1974. The pattern of recovery of bryophytes after desiccation. Journal of Bryology 8: 97–115.
- Dilks, T.J.K. and M.C.F. Proctor, 1976a. Effects of intermittent desiccation on bryophytes. Journal of Bryology 9: 249–264.
- Dilks, T.J.K. and M.C.F. Proctor, 1976b. Seasonal variation in desiccation tolerance in some British bryophytes. Journal of Bryology 9: 239–247.
- Dilks, T.J.K. and M.C.F. Proctor, 1979. Photosynthesis, respiration and water content in bryophytes. New Phytologist 82: 97–114.
- Dircksen, A., 1964. Vergleichende Untersuchungen zur Frost-, Hitze- und Austrocknungsresistenz einheimischer Laub- und Lebermoose unter besonderer Berücksichtigung jahreszeitlicher Veränderungen. Doctor Thesis, Universität Göttingen.
- Drazig, G., N. Mihailovic, and B. Stefanovic, 1999. Chlorophyll metabolism in leaves of higher poikilohydric plants *Ramonda serbica* Panc. and *Ramonda nathaliae* Panc. et Petrov. during dehydration and rehydration. Journal of Plant Physiology 154: 379–384.
- Eickmeier, W.G., 1979. Photosynthetic recovery in the resurrection plant *Selaginella lepidophylla* after wetting. Oecologia 39: 93–106.
- Eickmeier, W.G., 1980. Photosynthetic recovery of resurrection spike mosses from different hydration regimes. Oecologia 46: 380–385.
- Eickmeier, W.G., 1982. Protein synthesis and photosynthetic recovery in the resurrection plant *Selaginella lepidophylla*. Plant Physiology 69: 135–138.
- Eickmeier, W.G., 1983. Photosynthetic recovery of the resurrection plant *Selaginella lepidophylla*: Effects of prior desiccation rate and mechanisms of desiccation damage. Oecologia 58: 115–120.
- Eickmeier, W.G., 1986. The correlation between high-temperature and desiccation tolerances in a poikilohydric desert plant. Canadian Journal of Botany 64: 611–617.
- Eickmeier, W.G., 1988. The effects of desiccation rate on enzyme and protein—synthesis dynamics in the desiccation-tolerant pteridophyte *Selaginella lepidophylla*. Canadian Journal of Botany 66: 2574–2580.

- Eickmeier, W.G., J.G. Lebkuecher, and C.B. Osmond, 1992. Photosynthetic water oxidation and water stress in plants. In: G.N. Somero, G.N. Osmond, and C.L. Bolis, eds. Water and Life: Comparitive Analysis of Water Relationships at the Organismic, Cellular, and Molecular Levels. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, pp. 223–239.
- Eickmeier, W.G., C. Casper, and C.B. Osmond, 1993. Chlorophyll fluorescence in the resurrection plant *Selaginella lepidophylla* (Hook & Grev) spring during high-light and desiccation stress, and evidence for zeaxanthin-associated photoprotection. Planta 189: 30–38.
- Ekmekci, Y., A. Bohms, J.A. Thomson, and S.G. Mundree, 2005. Photochemical and antioxidant responses in the leaves of *Xerophyta viscosa* Baker and *Digitaria sanguinalis* L. under water deficit. Zeitschrift für Naturforschung/Jounal of Biosciences 60: 435–443.
- Evans, R.D. and O.L. Lange, 2001. Biological soil crusts and ecosystem nitrogen and carbon dynamics. In: J. Belnap and O.L. Lange, eds. Biological Soil Crusts: Structure, Function, and Management. Ecological Studies 150. Spinger, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, pp. 263–279.
- Ewers, F.W., G.B. North, and P.S. Nobel, 1992. Root-stem junctions of a desert monocotyledon and a dicotyledon: Hydraulic consequences under wet conditions and during drought. New Phytologist 121: 377–385.
- Farrant, J.M., 2000. A comparation of mechanisms of desiccation tolerance among three angiosperm resurrection plant species. Plant Ecology 151: 29–39.
- Farrar, J.F., 1976a. The lichen as an ecosystem: Observation and experiment. In: D.H. Brown, D.L. Hawksworth, and R.H. Bailey, eds. Lichenology: Progress and Problems. Academic Press, New York, pp. 385–406.
- Farrar, J.F., 1976b. Ecological physiology of the lichen *Hypogymnia physodes* I. Some effects of constant water saturation. New Phytologist 77: 93–103.
- Fischer, E., 1992. Systematik der afrikanischen Lindernieae (Scrophulariaceae). Franz Steiner Verlag, Stuttgart.
- Friedmann, E.I. and M. Galun, 1974. Desert algae, lichens and fungi. In: G.W.J. Brown, ed. Desert Biology. Academic Press, New York, pp. 166–212.
- Friedmann, E.I., L. Kappen, M.A. Meyer, and J.A. Nienow, 1993. Long-term productivity in the cryptoendolithic microbial community of the Ross desert, Antarctica. Microbial Ecology 25: 51–69.
- Furini, A., C. Konez, F. Salamini, and D. Bartels, 1997. High level transcription of a member of a repeated gene family confers dehydration tolerance to callus tissue of *Craterostigma planta*gineum. EMBO Journal 16: 3599–3608.
- Gaff, D.F., 1971. Desiccation-tolerant flowering plants in southern Africa. Science 174: 1033–1034.
- Gaff, D.F., 1972. Drought resistance in Welwitschia mirabilis Hook. fil. Dinteria 7: 3-19.
- Gaff, D.F., 1977. Desiccation tolerant vascular plants of southern Africa. Oecologia 31: 95–109.
- Gaff, D.F., 1980. Protoplasmic tolerance of extreme water stress. In: N.C. Turner and P.J. Kramer, eds. Adaptation of Plants to Water and High Temperature Stress. Wiley, New York, pp. 207–230.
- Gaff, D.F., 1986. Desiccation tolerant "resurrection" grasses from Kenya and west Africa. Oecologia 70: 118–120.
- Gaff, D.F., 1987. Desiccation tolerant plants in South America. Oecologia 74: 133-136.
- Gaff, D.F., 1989. Responses of Desiccation-Tolerant "Resurrection" Plants to Water Stress. SPB Academic Publishing, The Hague, The Netherlands.
- Gaff, D.F. and P.V. Bole, 1986. Resurrection grasses in India. Oecologia 71: 159-160.
- Gaff, D.F. and D.M. Churchill, 1976. *Borya nitida* Labill.—an Australian species in the Liliaceae with desiccation-tolerant leaves. Australian Journal of Botany 24: 209–224.
- Gaff, D.F. and R.P. Ellis, 1974. Southern African grasses with foliage that revives after dehydration. Bothalia 11: 305–308.
- Gaff, D.F. and W. Giess, 1986. Drought resistance in water plants in rock pools of southern Africa. Dinteria 18: 17–36.
- Gaff, D.F. and N.D. Hallam, 1974. Resurrecting desiccated plants. In: R.L. Bieleski, A.R. Ferguson, and M.M. Cresswell, eds. The Royal Society of New Zealand, Wellington, pp. 389–393.
- Gaff, D.F. and P.K. Latz, 1978. The occurrence of resurrection plants in the Australian flora. Australian Journal of Botany 26: 485–492.

- Gaff, D.F. and B.R. Loveys, 1984. Abscisic-acid content and effects during dehydration of detached leaves of desiccation tolerant plants. Journal of Experimental Botany 35: 1350–1358.
- Gaff, D.F. and G.R. McGregor, 1979. The effect of dehydration and rehydration on the nitrogen content of various fractions from resurrection plants. Biologia Plantarum (Praha) 21: 92–99.
- Gaff, D.F., S.-Y. Zee, and T.P. O'Brien, 1976. The fine structure of dehydrated and reviving leaves of *Borya nitida* Labill.—a desiccation-tolerant plant. Australian Journal of Botany 24: 225–236.
- Galun, M. and J. Garty, 2001. Biological soil crusts of the Middle East. In: J. Belnap and O.L. Lange, eds. Biological Soil Crusts: Stucture, Function and Management. Ecological Studies 150. Springer, Heidelberg, pp. 95–106.
- Gauslaa, Y. and E. McEvoy, 2005. Seasonal changes in solar radiation drive acclimation of the sun-screening compound parietin in the lichen *Xanthoria parietina*. Basic and Applied Ecology 6: 75–82.
- Gauslaa, Y. and K.A. Solhaug, 1996. Differences in the susceptibility to light stress between epiphytic lichens of ancient and young boreal forest stands. Functional Ecology 10: 344–354.
- Gauslaa, Y. and K.A. Solhaug, 1999. High-light damage in air-dry thalli of the old forest lichen *Lobaria pulmonaria*—interactions of irradiance, exposure duration and high temperature. Journal of Experimental Botany 50: 697–705.
- Gauslaa, Y. and K.A. Solhaug, 2000. High-light-intensity damage to the foliose lichen Lobaria pulmonaria within a natural forest: The applicability of chlorophyll fluorescence methods. Lichenologist 32: 271–289.
- Gauslaa, Y. and K.A. Solhaug, 2001. Fungal melanins as a sun screen for symbiotic green algae in the lichen *Lobaria pulmonaria*. Oecologia 126: 462–471.
- Gauslaa, Y. and E.M. Ustvedt, 2003. Is parietin a UV-B or a blue–light screening pigment in the lichen *Xanthoria parietina*? Photochemical and Photobiological Sciences 2: 424–432.
- Gauslaa, Y., M. Ohlson, K.A. Solhaug, W. Bilger, and L. Nybakken, 2001. Aspect-dependent highirradiance damage in two transplanted foliose forest lichens, *Lobaria pulmonaria* and *Parmelia* sulcata. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 31: 1639–1649.
- Gebauer, R., 1986. Wasserabgabe und Wasseraufnahme poikilohydrer höherer Pflanzen im Hinblick auf ihre physiologische Aktivität. Diploma thesis, Universität zu Kiel, 97 pp.
- Gebauer, R., R. Lösch, and L. Kappen, 1987. Wassergehalt und CO₂-Gaswechsel des poikilohydren Kormophyten *Ramonda myconi* (L.) Schltz. Während der Austrocknung und Wiederaufsättigung. Verhandlungen Gesellschaft für Ökologie XVI: 231–236.
- Georgieva, K., L. Maslenkova, V. Peeva, Y. Markovska, D. Stefanov, and Z. Tuba. 2005. Comparative study on the changes in photosynthetic activity of the homoiochlorophyllous desiccationtolerant *Haberlea rhodopensis* and desiccation-sensitive spinach leaves during desiccation and rehydration. Photosynthesis Research 85: 191–203.
- Gilnder, B.S. and D.W. Larson, 1992. Seasonal changes in photosynthesis in the desiccation-tolerant fern *Polypodium virginianum*. Oecologia 89: 383–389.
- Gimingham, C.H. and R.I.L. Smith, 1971. Growth form and water relations of mosses in the maritime Antarctic. British Antarctic Survey Bulletin 25: 1–21.
- Giordano, S., C. Colacino, V. Spagnuolo, A. Basile, A. Esposito, and R. Castaldo-Cobianchi, 1993. Morphological adaptation to water uptake and transport in the poikilohydric moss *Tortula ruralis*. Giornale Botanico Italiano 127: 1123–1132.
- Gradstein, S.R., 2006. The lowland cloud forest of French Guayana—a liverwort hotspot. Cryptogamie Bryologie 27: 141–152.
- Green, T.G.A. and O.L. Lange, 1994. Photosynthesis in poikilohydric plants: a comparison of lichens and bryophytes. In: E.-D. Schulze and M.M. Caldwell, eds. Ecophysiology of Photosynthesis. Ecological Studies 100. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, pp. 320–341.
- Green, T.G.A., W.P. Snelgar, and A.L. Wilkins, 1985. Photosynthesis, water relations and thallus structure of Stictaceae lichens. In: D.H. Brown, ed. Lichen Physiology and Cell Biology. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 57–75.
- Green, T.G.A., E. Kilian, and O.L. Lange, 1991. *Pseudocyphellaria dissimilis:* a desiccation-sensitive, highly shade-adapted lichen from New Zealand. Oecologia 85: 498–503.
- Green, T.G.A., O.L. Lange, and I.R. Cowan, 1994. Ecophysiology of lichen photosynthesis: the role of water status and thallus diffusion resistances. Cryptogamic Botany 4: 166–178.

AQ9

AQ10

- Green, T.G.A., M. Schlensog, L.G. Sancho, J.B. Winkler, F.D. Broom, and B. Schroeter, 2002. The photobiont determines the pattern of photosynthetic activity within a single lichen thallus containing cyanobacterial and green-algal sectors (photosymbiodeme). Oecologia 130: 191–198.
- Hahn, S., D. Speer, A. Meyer, and O.L. Lange, 1989. Photosynthetic primary production of epigean lichens growing in local xerothermic steppe formations in Franconia. I. Diurnal time course of microclimate, water content and CO₂ exchange at different seasons. Flora 182: 313–339.
- Hahn, S., D. Tenhunen, P.W. Popp, A. Meyer, and O.L. Lange, 1993. Upland tundra in the foothills of the Brooks Range, Alaska: Diurnal CO₂ exchange patterns of characteristic lichen species. Flora 188: 125–143.
- Hallam, N.D. and P. Cappicchiano, 1974. Studies on Dessication Tolerant Plants Using Nonaqueous Fixation Methods. Proceeding Eighth International Congress on Electronic Microscopy, Canberra, Vol. 2, pp. 612–613.
- Hallam, N.D. and D.F. Gaff, 1978. Regeneration of chloroplast structure in *Talbotia elegans*: a desiccation-tolerant plant. New Phytologist 81: 657–662.
- Hallam, N.D. and S.E. Luff, 1980. Fine structure changes in the leaves of the desiccation-tolerant plant *Talbotia elegans* during extreme water stress. Botanical Gazzette 141: 180–187.
- Hambler, D.J., 1961. A poikilohydrous, poikilochlorophyllous angiosperm from Africa. Nature 191: 1415–1416.
- Hargrave, K.R., K.J. Kolb, F.W. Ewers, and S.D. Davis, 1994. Conduit diameter and drought-induced embolism in *Salvia mellifera* Green (Labiatae). New Phytologist 126: 695–705.
- Hartung, W., P. Schiller, and K.-J. Dietz, 1998. The physiology of poikilohydric plants. Progress in Botany 59: 299–327.
- Hébant, C., 1977. The Conducting Tissues of Bryophytes. Gantner Verlag, Vaduz.
- Heber, U. and V.A. Shuvalov, 2005. Photochemical reactions of chlorophyll in dehydrated photosystem II: Two chlorophyll forms (680 and 700 nm). Photosynthesis Reserch 84: 85–91.
- Heber, U., W. Bilger, R. Bligny, and O.L. Lange, 2000. Phototolerance of lichens, mosses and higher plants in an alpine environment: Analysis of photoreactions. Planta 211: 770–780.
- Heber, U., N.G. Bukhov, V.A., Shuvalov, Y. Kobayashi, and O.L. Lange, 2001. Protection of the photosynthetic apparatus against damage by excessive illumination in homoiohydric leaves and poikilohydric mosses and lichens. Journal of Experimental Botany 52: 1999–2006.
- Heber, U., O.L. Lange, and V.A. Shuvalov, 2006a. Conservation and dissipation of light energy as complementary process: Homoiohydric and poikilohydric autotrophs. Journal of Experimental Botany 57: 1211–1223.
- Heber, U., W. Bilger, V.A. Shuvalov, 2006b. Thermal energy dissipation in reaction centres and in the antenna of Photosystem II protects desiccated Poikilohydric mosses against photo-oxidation. Journal of Experimental Botany, 13 pp. in press, but online.
- Heil, H., 1925. *Chamaegigas intrepidus* Dtr., eine neue Auferstehungspflanze. Beiträge zum Botanischen Zentralblatt 41: 41–50.
- Heilmeier, H. and W. Hartung, 2001. Survival strategies under extreme and complex environmental conditions: the aquatic resurrection plant *Chamaegigas intrepidus*. Flora 196: 245–260.
- Heilmeier, H., E.R.G. Ratcliffe, and W. Hartung, 2000. Urea: a nitrogen source for the aquatic resurrection plant *Chamaegigas intrepidus* Dinter. Oecologia 123: 9–14.
- Heilmeier, H., W. Durka, M. Woitke, W. Hartung, 2005. Ephemeral pools as stressful and isolated habitats for the endemic aquatic resurrection plant *Chameagigas intrepidus*. Phytocoenologia 35: 449–468.
- Hellwege, E.M., K.J. Dietz, O.H. Volk, and W. Hartung, 1994. Abscisic acid and the induction of desiccation tolerance in the extremely xerophilic liverwort *Exormotheca holstii*. Planta 194: 525–531.
- Helseth, L.E. and T.M. Fischer, 2005. Physical mechanisms of rehydration in *Polypodium polypodioides*, a resurrection plant. Physical Review E 71.
- Hetherington, S.E. and R.M. Smillie, 1982. Humidity-sensitive degreening and regreening of leaves of *Borya nitida* Labill. as followed by changes in chlorophyll fluorescence. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 9: 587–599.
- Hickel, B., 1967. Zur Kenntnis einer xerophilen Wasserpflanze: *Chamaegigas intrepidus* Dtr. aus Südwestafrika. Hydrobiologie 52: 361–400.

Hicklenton, P.R. and W.C. Oechel, 1977. The influence of light intensity and temperature on the field carbon dioxide exchange of *Dicranum fuscescens* in the subarctic. Arctic and Alpine Research 9: 407–419.

- Hietz, P. and Briones, O., 1998. Correlation between water relations and within—canopy distribution of epiphytic ferns in a Mexican cloud forest. Oecologia 114: 305–316.
- Hinshiri, H.M. and M.C.F. Proctor, 1971. The effect of desiccation on subsequent assimilation and respiration of the bryophytes *Anomodon viticulosus* and *Porella platyphylla*. New Phytologist 70: 527–538.
- Hoffmann, P., 1968. Pigmentgehalt und Gaswechsel von *Myrothamnus*-Blättern nach Austrocknung und Wiederaufsättigung. Photosynthetica 2: 245–252.
- Hosokawa, T. and H. Kubota, 1957. On the osmotic pressure and resistance to desiccation of epiphytic mosses from a beech forest, South-West Japan. Journal of Ecology 45: 579–591.
- Hsiao, T.C., 1973. Plant responses to water stress. Annual review of Plant Physiology 24: 519–570.
- Ibisch, P.L., C. Nowicki, R. Vasques, and K. Koch, 2001. taxonomy and biology of Andean Velloziaceae: Vellozia andina sp nov. and notes on Barbaceniopsis (including Barbaceniopsis cartillonii comb. nov.) Systematic Botany 26: 5–16.
- Iljin, W.S., 1931. Austrocknungsresistenz des Farnes Notholaena maranthae R.Br. Protoplasma 10: 379-414.
- Illing, N., K.J. Denby, H. Collett, A. Shen, and J.M. Farrant, 2005. The signature of seeds in resurrection plants: A molecular and physiological comparison of desiccation tolerance in seeds and vegetative tissues. Integrative and Comparative Biology 45: 771–789.
- Ingram, J. and D. Bartels, 1996. The molecular basis of dehydration tolerance in plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 47: 377–403.
- Ingrouille, M., 1995. Diversity and Evolution of Land Plants. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Iturriaga, G., M.A.F. Cushman, and J.C. Cushman, 2006. An EST catalogue from the resurrection plant *Selaginella lepidophylla* reveals abiotic stress-adaptive genes. Plant Science 170: 1173–1184.
- Jovet-Ast, S., 1969. Le caryotype des Ricciaceae. Revue Bryologic Lichenologique 36: 573-689.
- Kaiser, W.M., 1987. Effect of water deficit on photosynthetic activity. Physiologia Plantarum 71: 142–149.
- Kaiser, W.M. and U. Heber, 1981. Photosynthesis under osmotic stress. Effect of high solute concentrations on the permeability properities of the chloroplast envelope and the activity of stoma enzymes. Planta 153: 429–432.
- Kaiser, K., D.F. Gaff, and W.H. Outlaw Jr., 1985. Sugar contents of leaves of desiccation-tolerant plants. Naturwissenschaften 72: 608–609.
- Kallio, P. and L. Kärenlampi, 1975. Photosynthesis in mosses and lichens. In: J.P. Cooper, ed. Photosynthesis and Productivity in Different Environments. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 393–423.
- Kappen, L., 1964. Untersuchungen über den Jahreslauf der Frost-, Hitze- und Austrocknungsresistenz von Sporophyten einheimischer Polypodiaceen (Filicinae). Flora 155: 123–166.
- Kappen, L., 1965. Untersuchungen über die Widerstandsfähigkeit der Gametophyten einheimischer Polypodiaceen gegenüber Frost, Hitze and Trockenheit. Flora 156: 101–115.
- Kappen, L., 1966. Der Einfluss des Wassergehaltes auf die Widerstandsfähigkeit von Pflanzen gegenüber hohen und tiefen Temperaturen, untersucht an Blättern einiger Farne und von Ramonda myconi. Flora 156: 427–445.
- Kappen, L., 1973. Response to extreme environments. In: V. Ahmadjian, and M.E. Hale, eds. The Lichens. Academic Press, New York, pp. 311–380.
- Kappen, L., 1981. Ecological significance of resistance to high temperature. In: O.L. Lange, P.S. Nobel, C.B. Osmond, and H. Ziegler, eds. Physiological Plants Ecology, Vol. I. Responses to the Physical Environment. Sprigner-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, pp. 439–473.
- Kappen, L., 1982. Lichen oases in hot and cold deserts. Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory 53: 325–330.
- Kappen, L., 1983. Ecology and physiology of the Antarctic fructicose lichen Usnea sulphurea (Koenig) Th. Fries. Polar Biology 1: 249–255.

AQ11

Kappen, L., 1985. Water relations and net photosynthesis of Usnea. A comparison between Usnea fasciata (maritime Antarctic) and Usnea sulphurea (continental Antarctic). In: D.H. Brown, eds. Lichen Physiology and Cell Biology. Plenum Press, New York-London, pp. 41–56.

- Kappen, L., 1988. Ecophysiological relationship in different climatic regions. In: M. Galun, ed. Handbook of Lichenology, Vol. 2. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 37–100.
- Kappen, L., 1989. Field measurements of carbon dioxide exchange of the Antarctic lichen *Usnea sphacelata* in the frozen state. Antarctic Science 1: 31–34.
- Kappen, L., 1993a. Plant activity under snow and ice, with particular reference to lichens. Arctic 46: 297–302.
- Kappen, L., 1993b. Lichens in the Antarctic region. In: E.I. Friedmann, ed. Antarctic Microbiology. Wiley-Liss, New York, pp. 433–490.
- Kappen, L., 1994. The lichen, a mutualistic system? Some mainly ecophysiological aspects. Cryptogamic Botany 4: 193–202.
- Kappen, L., 1995. Terrestrische Mikroalgen und Flechten der Antarktis. In: K. Hausmann and B.P. Kremer, eds. Extremophile. Mikroorganismen in ausgefallenen Lebensräumen. Weinheim, <u>AQ12</u> New York Basel.: VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Cambridge, Tokyo, pp. 3–25.
- Kappen, L., 2000. Some aspects of the great success of lichens in Antarctica. Antarctic Science 12: 314–324.
- Kappen, L., O.L. Lange, E.-D. Schulze, M. Evenari, and U. Buschbom, 1972. Extreme water stress and photosynthetic activity of the desert plant *Artemisia herba-alba* Asso. Oecologia 10: 177–182.
- Kappen, L., O.L. Lange, E.-D. Schulze, M. Evenari, and U. Buschbom, 1979. Ecophysiological investigations on lichens of the Negev desert. VI. Annual course of photosynthetic production of *Ramalina maciformis* (Del). Bory. Flora 168: 85–108.
- Kappen, L., O.L. Lange, E.-D Schulze, U. Buschbom, and M. Evenari, 1980. Ecophysiological investigations on lichens of the Negev desert. VII. The influence of the habitat exposure on dew imbibition and photosynthetic productivity. Flora 169: 216–229.
- Kappen, L., R.I. Lewis Smith, and M. Meyer, 1989. Carbon dioxide exchange of two ecodemes of Schistidium antarctici in continental Antarctica. Polar Biology 9: 415–422.
- Kappen, L., M. Sommerkorn, and B. Schroeter, 1995. Carbon acquisition and water relations of lichens in polar regions—potentials and limitations. Lichenologist 27: 531–545.
- Kappen, L., B. Schroeter, G. Hestmark, and J.B. Winkler, 1996. Field measurements of photosynthesis of umbilicarious lichens in winter. Botanica Acta 109: 292–298.
- Kappen, L., B. Schroeter, T.G.A. Green, and R.D. Seppelt, 1998a. Chlorophyll a fluorescence and CO₂ exchange of *Umbilicaria aprina* under extreme light stress in the cold. Oecologia 113: 325–331.
- Kappen, L., B. Schroeter, T.G.A. Green, and R.D. Seppelt, 1998b. Microclimatic conditions, meltwater moistening, and the distributional pattern of *Buellia frigida* on rock in a southern continental Antarctic habitat. Polar Biology 19: 101–106.
- Kermode, A.R. and B.E. Finch-Savage, 2002. Desiccation sensitivity in othodox and calcitrant seeds in relation to development. In: M. Black and H.W. Pritchard, eds. Desiccation and Survival of Plants—Drying without Dying. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp. 150–184.
- Kershaw, K.A., 1985. Physiological Ecology of Lichens. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Kerstiens, G., 1996. Cuticular water permeability and its physiological significance. Journal of Experimental Botany 47: 1813–1832.
- Kimenov, G.P., Y.K. Markovska, and T.D. Tsonev, 1989. Photosynthesis and transpiration of *Haberlea rhodopensis* Friv. in dependence on water deficit. Photosynthetica 23: 368–371.
- Klepper, B. 1968. A comparison of the water relations of a moss and a lichen. Nova Hedwigia 15: 13-20.
- Kolb, K.J., J.S. Sperry, and B.B. Lamont, 1996. A method for measuring xylem hydraulic conductance embolism in entire root and shoot system. Journal of Experimental Botany 47: 1805–1810.
- Kopecky, J., M. Azarkovich, E.-E. Pfundel, V.A. Shuvalov, and U. Heber, 2005. Thermal dissipation of light energy is regulated differently and by different mechanisms in lichens and higher plants. Plant Biology 7: 156–167.
- Kranner, I. and D. Grill, 1997 Desiccation and the subsequent recovery of cryptogamics that are resistant to drought. Phyton 37: 139–150.
- Kuang, J., D.F. Gaff, R.D. Gianello, C.K. Blomstedt, A.D. Neale, and J.D. Hamill, 1995. Changes in *in vivo* protein complements in drying leaves of the desiccation-tolerant grass *Sporobolus*

stapfianus and the desiccation-sensitive grass *Sporobolus pyramidalis*. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 22: 1027–1034.

- Kubitzki, K., 1998.Velloziaceae. In: K. Kubitzki, ed. The Families and Genera of Vascular Plants, Vol. 3, Springer, Berlin.
- Lange, O.L., 1953. Hitze- und Trockenresistenz der Flechten in Beziehung zu ihrer Verbreitung. Flora 140: 39–97.
- Lange, O.L., 1955. Untersuchungen über die Hitzeresistenz der Moose in Beziehung zu ihrer Verbreitung. I. Die Resistenz stark ausgetrockneter Moose. Flora 142: 381–399.
- Lange, O.L., 1969. CO₂ Gaswechsel von Moosen nach Wasserdampfaufnahme aus dem Luftraum. Planta 89: 90–94.
- Lange, O.L., 1969b. Experimentell-Ökologische Untersuchungen an Flechten der Negev-Wüste. I. CO₂-Gaswechsel von *Ramalina maciformis* (Del.) Bory unter kontrollierten Bedingungen im Laboratorium. Flora 158: 324–359.
- Lange, O.L., 1980. Moisture content and CO₂ exchange of lichens. I. Influence of temperature on moisture-dependent net photosynthesis and dark respiration in *Ramalina maciformis*. Oecologia 45: 82–87.
- Lange, O.L. and A. Bertsch, 1965. Photosynthese der Wüstenflechte *Ramalina maciformis* nach Wasserdampfaufnahme aus dem Luftraum. Naturwissenschaften 52: 215–216.
- Lange, O.L. and E. Kilian, 1985. Reaktivierung der Photosynthese trockener Flechten durch Wasserdampfaufnahme aus dem Luftraum: Artspezifisch unterschiedliches Verhalten. Flora 176: 7–23.
- Lange, O.L. and U. Matthes, 1981. Moisture-dependent CO₂ exchange of lichens. Photosynthetica 15: 555–574.
- Lange, O.L. and J. Redon, 1983. Epiphytische Flechten im Bereich einer chilenischen "Nebeloase" (Fray Jorge) II. Ökophysiologische Charakterisierung von CO₂-Gaswechsel und Wasserhaushalt. Flora 174: 245–284.
- Lange, O.L. and J.D. Tenhunen, 1981. Moisture content and CO₂ exchange of lichens. II. Depression of net photosynthesis in *Ramalina maciformis* at high water content is caused by increased thallus carbon dioxide diffusion resistance. Oecologia 51: 426–429.
- Lange, O.L., E. Kilian, and H. Ziegler, 1986. Water vapor uptake and photosynthesis of lichens: performance differences in species with green and blue-green algae as phycobionts. Oecologia 71: 104–110.
- Lange, O.L., T.G.A. Green, and H. Ziegler, 1988. Water status related photosynthesis and carbon isotope discrimination in species of the lichen genus *Pseudocyphellaria* with green or blue-green phycobionts and in photosymbiodemes. Oecologia 75: 494–501.
- Lange, O.L., E. Kilian, and H. Ziegler, 1990a. Photosynthese von Blattflechten mit hygroskopischen Thallusbewegungen bei Befeuchtung durch Wasserdampf oder mit flüssigem Wasser. Bibliotheca Lichenologica 38: 311–323.
- Lange, O.L., H. Pfanz, E. Kilian, and A. Meyer, 1990b. Effect of low water potential on photosynthesis in intact lichens and their liberated algal components. Planta 182: 467–472.
- Lange, O.L., A. Meyer, H. Zellner, I. Ullmann, and D.C.J. Wessels, 1990c. Eight days in the life of a desert lichen: Water relations and photosynthesis of *Teloschistes capensis* in the coastal fog zone of the Namib desert. Madoqua 17: 17–30.
- Lange, O.L., A. Meyer, I. Ullmann, and H. Zellner, 1991. Mikroklima, Wassergehalt und Photosynthese von Flechten in der küstennahen Nebelzone der Namib-Wüste: Messungen während der herbstlichen Witterungsperiode. Flora 185: 233–266.
- Lange, O.L., B. Büdel, U. Heber, A. Meyer, H. Zellner, and T.G.A. Green, 1993. Temperate rainforest lichens in New Zeeland: High thallus water content can severely limit photosynthetic CO₂ exchnage. Oecologia 95: 303–313.
- Lange, O.L., A. Meyer, H. Zellner, and U. Heber, 1994. Photosynthesis and water relations of lichen soil crusts: Field measurements in the coastal fog zone of the Namib desert. Functional Ecology 8: 253–264.
- Lange, O.L., T.G.A. Green, H. Reichenberger, and A. Meyer, 1996. Photosynthetic depression at high water contents in lichens: Concurrent use of gas exchange and fluorescence techniques with cyanobacterial and green algal *Peltigera* species. Botanica Acta 109: 43–50.

- Lange, O.L., J. Belnap, H. Reichenberger, 1998. Photosynthesis of the cyanobacterial soil crust lichen *Collema tenax* from arid lands in southern Utah, USA: Role of water content on light and temperature response of CO₂ exchange. Functional Ecology 12: 195–202.
- Lange, O.L., J.M.R. Leisner, and W. Bilger, 1999. Chlorophyll fluorescence characteristics of the cyanobacterial lichen Peltigera rufescens under field conditions II. Diel and annual distribution of metabolic activity and possible mechanisms to avoid photoinhibition. Flora: 413–430.
- Larcher, W., 1980. Ökologie der Pflanzen. Verlag Eugen Ulmer, Stuttgart.
- Larson, D.W., 1979. Lichen water relations under drying conditions. New Phytologist 82: 713-731.
- Larson, D.W., 1981. Differential wetting in some lichens and mosses: The role of morphology. Bryologist 84: 1–15.
- Larson, D.W., 1984. Habitat overlap/niche segregation in two Umbilicaria lichens: a possible mechanism. Oecologia 62: 118–115.
- Larson, D.W., 1987. The absorption and release of water by lichens. Bibliotheca Lichenologica 25: 351–360.
- Larson, D.W., 1988. The impact of ten years at -20°C on gas exchange in five lichen species. Oecologia 78: 87-92.
- Lazarides, M., 1992. Resurrection grasses (*Poaceae*) in Australia. In: G.P. Chapman, ed. Desertified Grasslands: Their Biology and Management. Academic Press, London, pp. 213–234.
- Lebkuecher, J.G. and W.G. Eickmeier, 1991. Reduced photoinhibition with stem curling in the resurrection plant *Selaginella lepidophylla*. Oecologia 88: 597–604.
- Lebkuecher, J.G. and W.G. Eickmeier, 1993. Physiological benefits of stem curling for resurrection plants in the field. Ecology 74: 1073–1080.
- Lebrun, J., 1947. La vegetation de la pleine alluviale au sud du Lac Edouard. Exploration du Parc National Albert. Mission J. Lebrun (1937–1938). Institut des Parces Nationaux du Congo Belge, Bruxelles, 100 pp.
- Lechowicz, M.J., 1981. The effects of climatic pattern on lichen productivity: *Cetraria cucullata* (Bell). Ach. in the Arctic tundra of northern Alaska. Oecologia 50: 210–216.
- Lechowicz, M.J., 1992. The niche at the organismal level: lichen photosynthetic response. In: G.C. Carroll and D.T. Wicklow, eds. The Fungal Community. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 29–42.
- Leisner, J.M.R., W. Bilger, F.-C. Czygan, and O.L. Lange, 1994. Light exposure and the composition of lipophilous carotenoids in cyanobacterial lichens. Journal of Plant Physiology 143: 514–519.
- Leisner, J.M.R., W. Bilger, and O.L. Lange, 1996. Chlorophyll fluorescence characteristics of the cyanobacterial lichen *Peltigera rufescens* under field conditions. Flora 191: 261–273.
- Leopold, A.C., 1990. Coping with desiccation. In: R.G.Alscher, ed. Stress Responses in Plants: Adaptation and Acclimation Mechanisms.Wiley-Liss, New York, pp. 36–56.
- Levitt, J., 1980. Responses of Plants to Environmental Stress. Chilling, Freezing, and High Temperature Stresses. Academic Press, New York.
- Lewis, A.M., V.D. Harnden, and M.T. Tyree, 1994. Collapse of water-stress emboli in the tracheids of *Thuja occidentalis* L. Plant Physiology 106: 1639–1646.
- Lichtenthaler, H.K. and U. Rinderle, 1988. The role of chlorophyll fluorescence in the detection of stress conditions in plants. CRC Critical Review Analytical Chemistry 19: 29–85.
- Long, S.P., S. Humphries, and P.G. Falkowski, 1994. Photoinhibition of photosynthesis in nature. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 45: 633–662.
- Longton, R.E., 1988a. Life-history strategies among bryophytes of arid regions. Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory 64: 15–28.
- Longton, R.E., 1988b. Biology of Polar Bryophytes and Lichens. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Lösch, R., R. Pause, and B. Mies, 1997. Poikilohydrie und räumlich-zeitliche Existenznische von Flechten und Moosen. Bibliotheca Lichenologica 67: 145–162.
- Lud, D., M. Schlensog, B. Schroeter, and A.H.L. Huiskes, 2003. The influence of UV-B radiation on light-dependent photosynthetic performance in *Sanionia uncinata* (Hedw.) Loeske in Antarctica. Polar Biology 26: 225–232.
- Lüttge, U., M. Kluge, and G. Bauer, 1988. Botanik, ein grundlegendes Lehrbuch. Verlage Chemie, Weinheim.

- Lüttge, U., B. Büdel, E. Ball, F. Strobe, and P. Weber, 1995. Photosynthesis of terrestrial cyanobacteria under light and desiccation stress as expressed by chlorophyll fluorescence and gas exchange. Journal of Experimental Botany 46: 309–319.
- MacFarlane, J.D. and K.A. Kershaw, 1982. Physiological-environmental interactions in lichens XIV. The environmental control of glucose movement from alga to fungus in *Peltigera polydactyla*, *P. rufescens* and *Collema furfuraceaum*. New Phytologist 91: 93–101.
- Maguas, C., F. Valladares, and E. Brugnoli, 1997. Effects of thallus size on morphology and physiology of foliose lichens: New findings with a new approach. Symbiosis 23: 149–164.
- Majee, M. Batra, S.G. Mundree, and A.L. Majumder, 2005. Molecular cloning, bacterial overexpression and characterization of L-myo-inositol 1-phosphate synthase from a monocotyledonous resurrection plant, *Xerophyta viscosa*. Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology 14: 95–9.
- Manrique, E., L. Balaguer, J. Barnes, and A.W. Davison, 1993. Photoinhibition studies in lichens using chlorophyll fluorescence analysis. Bryologist 96: 443–449.
- Markovska, Y.K., 1999. Gas exchange and malate accumulation in *Haberlea rhodopensis* grown under different irradiances. Biologia Plantarum 42: 559–565.
- Markovska, Y.K., T.D. Tsonev, G.P. Kimenov, and A.A. Tutekova, 1994. Physiological changes in higher poikilohydric plants—*Haberlea rhodopensis* Friv. and *Ramonda serbica* Panc. during drought and rewatering at different light regimes. Journal of Plant Physiology 144: 100–108.
- Markovska, Y.K., A.A. Tutekova, and G.P. Kimenov, 1995. Ultrastructure of chloroplasts of poikilohydric plants *Haberlea rhodopensis* Friv. and *Ramonda serbica* Panc. during recovery from desiccation. Photosynthetica 31: 613–620.
- Markovska, Y., T. Tsonev, and G. Kimenov, 1997. Regulation of CAM and respiratory recycling by water supply in higher poikilohydric plants—*Haberlea rhodopensis* Friv. and *Ramonda serbica* Panc. at transition from biosis to anabiosis and *vice versa*. Botanica Acta 110: 18–24.
- Masuch, G., 1993. Biologie der Flechten. UTB Quelle und Meyer, Heidelberg, Wiesbaden.
- Matthes-Sears, U., P.E. Kelly, and D.W. Larson, 1993. Early spring gas exchange and uptake of deuterium-labelled water in the poikilohydric fern *Polypodium virginianum*. Oecologia 95: 9–13.
- Meyer, H. and K.A. Santarius, 1998. Shot-term thermal acclimation and heat tolerance of the gametophytes of mosses. Oecologia 115: 1–8.
- Montenegro, G., A.J. Hoffmann, M.E. Aljaro, and A.E. Hoffmann, 1979. Satureja gilliesii: A poikilohydric shrub from the Chilean Mediterranean vegetation. Canadian Journal of Botany 57: 1206–1213.
- Moore, J.P., J.M. Farrant, G.G. Lindsey, and W.F. Brandt, 2005. The South African and Namibian populations of the resurrection plant *Myrothamnus flabellifolius* are genetically distinct and display variation in their galloquinic acid composition. Journal of Chemical Ecology 31: 2823–2834.
- Müller, M.A.N., 1985. Gräser Südwestafrika/Namibias. John Meinert (Pty.) Ltd., Windhoek, Südwestafrika/Namibia.
- Muslin, E.H. and P.H. Homann, 1992. Light as a hazard for the desiccation-resistant "resurrection" fern *Polypodium polypodioides* L. Plant, Cell and Environment 15: 81–89.
- Nash, T.H.I. and T.J. Moser, 1982. Vegetational and physiological patterns of lichens in North American deserts. Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory 53: 331–336.
- Nash, T.H.I., A. Reiner, B. Demmig-Adams, E. Kilian, W.M. Kaiser, and O.L. Lange, 1990. The effect of atmospheric desiccation and osmotic water stress on photosynthesis and dark respiration of lichens. New Phytologist 116: 269–276.
- Nash, T.H.I., S.L. White, and J.E. Marsh, 1997. Lichen and moss distribution and biomass in hot desert ecosystems. Bryologist 80: 470–479.
- Navari-Izzo, F., S. Meneguzzo, B. Loggini, C. Vazzana, and C.L.M. Sgherri, 1997. The role of the glutathione system in dehydration of *Boea hygroscopica*. Physiologia Plantarum 99: 23–30.
- Nelson, D., F. Salamini, and D. Bartels, 1994. Abscisic acid promotes novel DNA-binding activity to a desiccation-related promoter of *Craterostigma plantagineum*. The Plant Journal 5: 451–458.
- Nienow, J.A. and E.I. Friedmann, 1993. Terrestrial lithophytic (rock) communities. In: E.I. Friedmann, ed. Antarctic Microbiology. Wiley-Liss, New York, pp. 343–412.
- Niyogi, K.K., 1999. Photoprotection revisited: genetic and molecular approaches. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 50: 375–382.

- Nobel, P.S., 1978. Microhabitat, water relations, and photosynthesis of a desert fern, *Notholaena parryi*. Oecologia 31: 293–309.
- Nobel, P.S., D.J. Longstreth, and T.L. Hartsock, 1978. Effect of water stress on the temperature optima of net CO₂ exchange for the two desert species. Physiologia Plantarm 44: 97–101.
- North, G.B. and P.S. Nobel, 1991. Changes in hydraulic conductivity and anatomy caused by drying and rewetting roots of Agave-deserti (Agavaceae). American Journal of Botany 78: 906–915.
- Norwood, M., O. Toldi, A. Richter, and P. Scott, 2003. Investigation into the ability of roots of the poikilohydric plant *Craterostigma plantagineum* to survive dehydration stress. Journal of Experimental Botany 54: 2313–1321.
- Nybakken, L.K.A. Solhaug, W. Bilger, and Y. Gauslaa, 2004. The lichens *Xanthoria parietina* and *Cetraria islandica* maintain a high protection against UV-B radiation in arctic habitats. Oecologia 140: 211–216.
- Oechel, W.C. and B. Sveinbjörnsson, 1978. Primary production processes in the arctic bryophytes at Barrow, Alaska. In: L.L.Tieszen, ed. Vegetation and Production Ecology of an Alaskan Arctic Tundra. Ecological Studies 29. Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, pp. 269–299.
- Oliver, M.J. and J.D. Bewley, 1997. Desiccation-tolerance of plant tissues: A mechanistic overview. Horticultural Reviews 18: 171–213.
- Oliver, M.J., Z. Tuba, and B.D. Mishler, 2000. The evolution of vegetative desiccation tolerance in land plants. Plant Ecology 15: 85–100.
- Oliver, M.J., J. Velten, and B.D. Mishler, 2005. Desiccation tolerance ib bryophytes: A reflection of the primitive strategy for plant survival in dehydrating habitats. Integrative and Comparative Biology 45: 788–799.
- Oppenheimer, H.R. and A.H. Halevy, 1962. Anabiosis of *Ceterach officinarum*. Bulletin of the Research Council Israel 11: 127–164.
- Öquist, G. and D.C. Fork, 1982a. Effects of desiccation on the exitation energy distribution from phycoerythrin to the two photosystems in the red alga *Porphyra perforata*. Physiologia Plantarum 56: 56–62.
- Öquist, G. and D.C. Fork, 1982b. Effect of desiccation on the 77 Kelvin fluorescence properties of the liverwort *Porella navicularis* and the isolated lichen green alga *Trebouxia pyriformis*. Physiologia Plantarum 56: 63–68.
- Owoseye, J.A. and W.W. Sanford, 1972. An ecological study of *Vellozia schnitzleinia*, a droughtenduring plant of northern Nigeria. Journal of Ecology 60: 807–817.
- Pannewitz, S., M. Schlensog, T.G.A. Green, L.G. Sancho, and B. Schroeter, 2003. Are lichens active under snow in continental Antarctica? Oecologia 135: 30–38.
- Pannewitz, S., T.G.A. Green, M. Schlensog, R. Seppelt, L.G. Sancho, and B. Schroeter, 2006. Photosynthetic performance of *Xanthoria mawsonii* C.W. Dodge in coastal habitatsof the Ross Sea region, continental Antarctica. Lichenologist 38: 67–81.
- Patterson, P.M., 1964. Problems presented by bryophytic xerophytism. Bryologist 67: 390-396.
- Pence, V.C., 2000. Cryopreservation of *in vitro* grown fern gametophytes. American Fern Journal 90: 16–23.
- Perez, F.L., 1997. Geoecology of erratic globular lichens of *Catapyrenium lachneum* in a high Andean Paramo. Flora 192: 241–259.
- Pessin, L.J., 1924. A physiological and anatomical study of the leaves of *Polypodium polypodioides*. American Journal of Botany 11: 370–381.
- Piatkowski, D., K. Schneider, F. Salamini, and D. Bartels, 1990. Characterization of five abscisic acidresponsive cDNA clones isolated from the desiccation-tolerant plant *Craterostigma plantagineum* and their relationship to other water-stress genes. Plant Physiology 94: 1682–1688.
- Pickard, W.F., 1989. How might a tracheary element which is embolized by day be healed by night? Journal of Theoretical Biology 141: 259–279.
- Picket, F.L., 1914. Ecological adaptations of certain fern prothallia. American Journal of Botany I: 477–498.
- Pintado, A., F. Valladares, and L.G. Sancho, 1997. Exploring phenotypic plasticity in the lichen *Ramalina capitata*: morphology, water relations and chlorophyll content in North- and Southfacing populations. Annals of Botany 80: 345–353.

- Pitt, J.I. and J.H.B. Christian, 1968. Water relations of xerophilic fungi isolated from prunes. Applied Microbiology 16: 1853–1858.
- Porembski, S., 1996. Notes on the vegetation of inselbergs in Malawi. Flora 191: 1-8.
- Porembski, S. and W. Barthlott, 1995. On the occurrence of a *velamen radicum* in Cyperaceae and Velloziaceae. Nordic Journal of Botany 15: 625–629.
- Porembski, S. and W. Barthlott, 1997. Seasonal dynamics of plant diversity on inselbergs in the Ivory Coast (West Africa). Botanica Acta: 466–472.
- Porembski, S. and W. Barthlott, 2000. Granitic and gneissic outcrops (inselbergs) as centers of diversity for desiccation-tolerant vascular plants. Plant Ecology 151: 19–28.
- Proctor, M.C.F., 1982. Physiological ecology: Water relations, light and temperature responses, carbon balance. In: A.J.E. Smith, ed. Bryophyte Ecology. Chapman & Hall, London, New York, pp. 333–381.
- Proctor, M.C.F., 1984. Structure and ecological adaptation. In: A.F. Dyer and J.Q. Duckett, eds. The Experimental Biology of Bryophytes. Academic Press, London, pp. 9–37.
- Proctor, M.C.F., 1990. The physiological basis of bryophyte production. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 104: 61–77.
- Proctor, M.C.F., 2002. Desiccation tolerance of vegetative tissues. In: M. Black and H.W. Pritchard, eds. Desiccation and Survival of Plants—Drying without Dying. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp. 207–237.
- Proctor, M.C.F., 2003. Comparative ecophysiological measurements on the light responses, water relations and desiccation tolerance of the filmy ferns *Hymenophyllum wilsonii* Hook. and *H. tunbridgense* (L.) Smith. Annals of Botany 91: 717–727.
- Proctor, M.C.F. and Z. Tuba, 2002 Poikilohydry and homoiohydry: Anthithesis or spectrum of possibilities? New Phytologist 156: 327–348.
- Puff, C., 1978. Zur Biologie von *Myrothamnus flabillifolius* Welw. (Myrothamnanceae). Dinteria 14: 1–20.
- Quadir, A., P.J. Harrison, and R.E. Dewreede, 1979. The effects of emergence and subemergence on the photosynthesis and respiration of marine macrophytes. Phycologia 18: 83–88.
- Rascio, N. and N. Rocca, 2005. Resurrection plants: the puzzle of surviving extreme vegetative desiccation. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 24: 209–225.
- Raven, J.A., 1999. The size of cells and organisms in relation to the evolution of embryophytes. Plant Biology 1: 2–12.
- Redon, J. and O.L. Lange, 1983. Epiphytische Flechten im Bereich einer chilenischen "Nebeloase" (Fray Jorge) I. Vegetationskundliche Gliederung and Standortsbedingungen. Flora 174: 213–243.
- Reynolds, T.L. and J.D. Bewley, 1993a. Abasicic acid enhances the ability of the desiccation tolerant fern *Polypodium virginianum* to withstand drying. Journal of Experimental Botany 44: 1771–1779.
- Reynolds, T.L. and J.D. Bewley, 1993b. Characterization of protein synthetic changes in a desiccation tolerant fern, *Polypodium virginianum*. Comparison of the effects of drying and rehydration, and absicic acid. Journal of Experimental Botany 44: 921–928.
- Richardson, D.H.S., 1981. The Biology of Mosses. Blackwell Scientific, Oxford.
- Ried, A., 1960. Thallusbau und Assimilationshaushalt von Laub- und Krustenflechten. Biol. Zentralblatt 79: 129–151.
- Rogers, R.W. and R.T. Lange, 1971. Lichen populations on arid soil crusts around sheep watering places in south Australia. Oikos 22: 93–100.
- Rosentreter, R., 1993. Vagrant lichens in North America. Bryologist 96: 333-338.
- Rundel, P.W., 1982a. The role of morphology in the water relations of desert lichens. Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory 53: 315–320.
- Rundel, P.W., 1982b. Water uptake by organs other than roots. In: O.L. Lange, P.S. Nobel, C.B. Osmond, and H. Ziegler, eds. Physiological Plant Ecology, Vol. II. Water Relations and Carbon Assimilation. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, pp. 111–134.
- Rundel, P.W., 1988. Water relations. In: M. Galun, ed. Handbook of Lichenology, Vol. 2. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp. 17–36.
- Rundel, P.W. and O.L. Lange, 1980. Water relations and photosynthetic response of a desert moss. Flora 169: 329–325.

Sancho, L.G. and L. Kappen, 1989. Photosynthesis and water relations and the role of anatomy in Umbilicariaceae (lichens) from central Spain. Oecologia 81: 473–480.

Sancho, L.G., B. Schroeter, and F. Valladares, 1997. Photosynthetic performance of two closely related *Umbilicaria* species in central Spain: Temperature as a key factor. Lichenologist 29: 67–82.

- Santarius, K.A., 1967. Das Verhalten von CO₂-Assimilation, NADP- und PGS-Reduktion und ATP-Synthese intakter Blattzellen in Abhängigkeit vom Wassergehalt. Planta 73: 228–242.
- Santarius, K.A., 1994. Apoplasmic water fractions and osmotic water potentials at full turgidity of some Bryidae. Planta 193: 32–37.
- Scheidegger, C., B. Schroeter, and B. Frey, 1995. Structural and functional processes during water vapor uptake and desiccation in selected lichens with green algal photobionts. Planta 197: 399–409.
- Scheidegger, C., B. Frey, and B. Schroeter, 1997. Cellular water uptake, translocation and PSII activation during activation during rehydration of desiccated *Lobaria pulmonaria* and *Nephroma bellum*. Bibliotheca Lichenologica 67: 105–117.
- Scherer, S., T.-W. Chen, and P. Böger, 1986. Recovery of adenine-nucleotide pools in terrestrial bluegreen algae after prolonged drought periods. Oecologia 68: 585–588.
- Schiller, P., H. Heilmeier, and W. Hartung, 1997. Absisic acid (ABA) relations in the aquatic resurrection plant *Chamaegigas intrepidus* under naturally fluctuating environmental conditions. New Phytologist 136: 603–611.
- Schiller, P., H. Heilmeier, and W. Hartung, 1998. Uptake of amino acids by the aquatic resurrection plant *Chamaegigas intrepidus* and its implication for N nutrition. Oecologia 117: 63–69.
- Schiller, P.H., R. Wolf, and W. Hartung, 1999. A scanning electron microscopical study of hydrated and desiccated submerged leaves of the aquatic resurrection plant *Chamaegigas intrepidus*. Flora 194: 97–102.
- Schlensog, M., B. Schroeter, L.G. Sancho, A. Pintado, and L. Kappen, 1997. Effect of strong irradiance and photosynthetic performance of the melt-water dependent cyanobacterial lichen *Leptogium puberulum* Hue (Collemataceae) from the maritime Antarctic. Bibliotheca Lichenologica 67: 235–246.
- Schneider, K., B. Wells, E. Schmelzer, F. Salamini, and D. Bartels. 1993. Desiccation leads to the rapid accumulation of both cytosolic and chloroplastic proteins in the resurrection plants *Craterostigma plantagineum* Hochst. Planta 189: 120–131.
- Schneider, H., N. Wituba, H.-J. Wagner, F. Thürmer, and U. Zimmermann, 2000. Water rise kinetics in refilling xylem after desiccation in a resurrection plant. New Phytologist 148: 221–238.
- Schonbeck, M.W. and J.D. Bewley, 1981a. Responses of the moss *Tortula ruralis* to desiccation treatments. I. Effects on minimum water content and rates of dehydration and rehydration. Canadian Journal of Botany 59: 2698–2706.
- Schonbeck, M.W. and J.D. Bewley, 1981b. Responses of the moss *Tortula ruralis* to desiccation treatments. II. Variations in desiccation tolerance. Canadian Journal of Botany 59: 2707–2712.
- Schönherr, J., 1982. Resistance of plant surfaces to water loss: Transport properties of Cutin, Suberin, and associated lipids. In: O.L. Lange, P.S. Nobel, C.B. Osmond, and H. Zeigler, eds. Physiological Plant Ecology, Vol. II. Water Relations and Carbon Assimilation. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, pp. 153–180.
- Schroeter, B., T.G.A. Green, L. Kappen, and R.D. Seppelt, 1994. Carbon dioxide exchange at subzero temperatures. Field measurements on *Umbilicaria aprina* in Antarctica. Cryptogamic Botany 4: 233–241.
- Schroeter, B. and C. Scheidegger, 1995. Water relations in lichens at subzero temperatures; structural changes and carbon dioxide exchange in the lichen *Umbilicaria aprina* from continental Antarctic. New Phytologist 131: 273–285.
- Schroeter, B., M. Olech, L. Kappen, and W. Heitland, 1995. Ecophysiological investigations of Usnea antarctica in the maritime Antarctic. I. Annual microclimatic conditions and potential primary production. Antarctic Science 7: 251–260.
- Schroeter, B., L. Kappen, and F. Schulz, 1997. Long-term measurements of microclimatic conditions in the fruticose lichen Usnea aurantiaco-atra in the maritime Antarctic. In: J. Cacho and D. Serrat, eds. Actas del V Simposio de Estudios Antárcticos. CICYT, Madrid, pp. 63–69.
- Schwab, K.B. and D.F. Gaff, 1986. Sugar and ion contents in leaf tissues of several drought tolerant plants under water stress. Journal of Plant Physiology 125: 257–265.

- Schwab, K.B. and U. Heber, 1984. Thylakoid membrane stability in drought tolerant and drought sensitive plants. Planta 161: 37–45.
- Schwab, K.B., U. Schreiber, and U. Heber, 1989. Response of photosynthesis and respiration of resurrection plants to desiccation and rehydration. Plant 177: 217–227.
- Scott, G.A.M., 1982. Desert bryophytes. In: A.J.E. Smith, ed. Bryophyte Ecology. Chapman and Hall, London, New York, pp. 105–122.
- Scott, P., 2000. Resurrection plants and the secrets of eternal leaf. Annals of Botany 85: 159–166.
- Seel, W.E., G.A.F. Hendry, and J.A. Lee, 1992a. The combined effects of desiccation and irradiance on mosses from xeric and hydric habitats. Journal of Experimental Botany 43: 1023–1030.
- Seel, W.E., N.R. Baker, and J.A. Lee, 1992b. Analysis of the decrease in photosynthesis on desiccation of mosses from xeric and hydric environments. Physiologia Plantarum 86: 451–458.
- Sgherri, C.L.M., B. Loggini, S. Puliga, and F. Navari-Izzo, 1994a. Antioxidant system in *Sporobolus* stapfianus: changes in response to desiccation and rehydration. Phytochemistry 35: 561–565.
- Sgherri, C.L.M., B. Loggini, A. Bochicchio, and F. Navari-Izzo, 1994b. Antioxidant system in *Boea hygroscopica*: Changes in response to desiccation and rehydration. Phytochemistry 37: 377–381.
- Sgherri, G., B. Stevanivic, and F. Navarri-Izzo, 2004. Role of phenolics in the antioxidative status of the resurrection plant *Ramonda serbica* during dehydration and rehydration. Physiologia Plantarum 122: 478–485.
- Sherwin, H.W. and J.M. Farrant, 1996. Differences in rehydration of three desiccation-tolerant angiosperm species. Annals of Botany 78: 703–710.
- Sherwin, H.W. and J.M. Farrant, 1998. Protection mechanisms against excess light in the resurrection plants *Craterostigma wilmsii* and *Xerophyta viscosa*. Plant Growth Regulation 24: 203–210.
- Sherwin, H.W., N. Pammenter, E. February, C. van der Willigen, and C. Farrant, 1998. Xylem hydraulic characteristics, water relations and wood anatomy of the resurrection plant *Myrothamnus flabellifolius* Welw. Annals of Botany 81: 567–575.
- Shields, L.M., 1957. Algal and lichen floras in relation to nitrogen content of certain volcanic and arid range soils. Ecology 38: 661–663.
- Shuvalov, V.A. and U. Heber, 2003. Photochemical reactions in dehydrated photosynthetic organisms, leaves, chloroplasts and photosystem II particles: Reversible reduction of pheophytin and chlorophyll and oxidation of β-carotene. Chemical Physics 294: 227–237.
- Smirnoff, N., 1993. Tansley review No. 52: The role of active oxygen in the response of plants to water deficit and desiccation. New Phytologist 125: 27–58.
- Smirnoff, N., 1995. Antioxidant systems and plant response to the environment. In: Smirnoff, N., ed. Environment and Plant Metabolism, Flexibility and Acclimation. Bios Scientific Publishers, Oxford, pp. 217–244.
- Smith, D.C. and S. Molesworth, 1973. Lichen physiology. XIII. Effects of rewetting dry lichens. New Phytologist 72: 525–533.
- Smith-Espinoza, C.J., J.R. Phillips, F. Salamini, and D. Bartels, 2005. Identification of further *Crater-ostigma plantagineum* cdt mutants affected in abscisic acid mediated desiccation tolerance. Molecular Genetics and Genomics 274: 364–372.
- Smook, L., 1969. Some observations on Lindernia intrepidus (Dinter) Oberm. (= Chamaegigas intrepidas Dinter). Dinteria 2: 13–21.
- Snelgar, W.P. and T.G.A. Green, 1981. Ecologically-linked variation in morphology, acetylene reduction, and water relations in *Pseudocyphellaria dissimilis*. New Phytologist 87: 403–411.
- Sojo, F., F. Valladares, and L.G. Sancho, 1997. Structural and physiological plasticity of the lichen *Catillaria corymbosa* in different microhabitats of the maritime Antarctic. Bryologist 100: 171–179.
- Solhaug, K.A. and Y. Gauslaa, 1996. Parietin, a photoprotective secondary product of the lichen *Xanthoria parietina*. Oecologia 108: 412–418.
- Solhaug, K.A., Y. Gauslaa, L. Nybakken, and W. Bilger, 2003. UV-induction of sun-screening pigments in lichens. New Phytologist 158: 91–100.
- Sperry, J.S. and M.T. Tyree 1988. Mechanism of water-stress induced xylem embolism. Plant Physiology 88: 581–587.
- Stålfelt, M.G., 1939. Vom System der Wasserversorgung abhängige Stoffwechselcharaktere. Botaniska Notiser 40: 176–192.

Stewart, G.R., 1989. Desiccation injury, anhydrobiosis and survival. In: H.G. Jones, F.J. Flowers, and M.B. Jones, eds. Plant Under Stress. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 115–130.

- Stocker, O. and W. Holdheide, 1938. Die Assimilation Helgoländer Gezeitenalgen während der Ebbezeit. Zeitschrift Botany 32: 1–59.
- Stuart, T.S. 1968. Revival of respiration and photosynthesis in dried leaves of *Polypodium polypodioides*. Planta 83: 185–206.
- Sundberg, B.A. Ekblad, T. Nasholm, and K. Palmqvist, 1999. Lichen respiration in relation to active time, temperature, nitrogen, and ergosterol concentrations. Functional Ecology 13: 119–125.
- Tegler, B. and K.A. Kershaw, 1981. Physiological-environmental interactions in lichens. XII. The variation of the heat stress response of *Cladonia rangiferina*. New Phytologist 87: 395–401.
- Tennant, J.R., 1954. Some preliminary observations on the water relations of a mesophytic moss, *Thamnium alopecurum* (Hedw.) B. & S. Transactions of the British Bryological Society 2: 439–445.
- Trachtenberg, S. and E. Zamski, 1979. The apoplastic conduction of water in *Polytrichum juniperinum* Willed. gametophytes. New Phytologist 83: 49–52.
- Tuba, Z., 1987. Light, temperature and desiccation responses of CO₂-exchange in the desiccationtolerant moss, *Tortula ruralis*. Symposia Biologica Hungarica 35: 137–149.
- Tuba, Z., H.K. Lichtenthaler, Z. Csintalan, and T. Pocs, 1993a. Regreening of desiccated leaves of the poikilochlorophyllous *Xerophyta scabrida* upon rehydration. Journal of Plant Physiology 142: 103–108.
- Tuba, Z., H.K. Lichtenthaler, I. Maroti, and Z. Csintalan, 1993b. Resynthesis of thylakoids and chloroplast ultrastructure in the desiccated leaves of the poikilochlorophyllous plant *Xerophyta* scabrida upon rehydration. Journal of Plant Physiology 142: 742–748.
- Tuba, Z., H.K. Lichtenthaler, Z. Csintalan, Z. Nagy, and K. Szente, 1994. Reconstitution of chlorophylls and photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation upon rehydration of the desiccated poikilochlorophyllous plant *Xerophyta scabrida* (Pax) Th. Dur. et Schinz. Planta 192: 414–420.
- Tuba, Z., Z. Csintalan, and M.C.F. Proctor, 1996a. Photosynthetic responses of a moss, *Tortula ruralis*, ssp, and the lichens *Cladonia convoluta* and *C. furcata* to water deficit and short periods of desiccation, and their ecophysiological significance: a baseline study at present-day CO₂ concentration. New Phytologist 133: 353–361.
- Tuba, Z., H.K. Lichtenthaler, Z. Csintalan, Z. Nagy, and K. Szente. 1996b. Loss of chlorophylls, cessation of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation and respiration in the poikilochlorophyllous plant *Xerophyta scabrida* during desiccation. Physiologia Plantarum 96: 383–388.
- Tuba, Z., N. Smirnoff, Z. Csintalan, K. Szente, and Z. Nagy, 1997. Respiration during slow desiccation of the poikilochlorophyllous desiccation tolerant plant *Xerophyta scabrida* at present-day CO₂ concentration. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 35: 381–386.
- Tymms, M.J., D.F. Gaff, and N.D. Hallam, 1982. Protein synthesis in the desiccation tolerant angiosperm *Xerophyta villosa* during dehydration. Journal of Experimental Botany 33: 332–343.
- Tyree, M.T. and J.S. Sperry, 1988. Do woody plants operate near the point of catastrophic xylem dysfunction caused by dynamic water stress? Plant Physiology 88: 574–580.
- Valanne, N., 1984, Photosynthesis and photosynthetic products in mosses. In: A.F. Dyer, and J.G. Duckett, eds. The Experimental Biology of Bryophytes. Academic Press, London, pp. 257–273.
- Valladares, F., 1994a. Texture and hygroscopic features of the upper surface of the thallus in the lichen family Umbilicariaceae. Annals of Botany 73: 493–500.
- Valladares, F., 1994b. Form-functional trends in Spanish Umbilicariaceae with special reference to water relations. Cryptogamie, Bryologie et Lichénologie 15: 117–127.
- Valladares, F. and L.G. Sancho, 1995. Medullary structure of Umbilicariaceae. Lichenologist 27: 189–199.
- Valladares, F., J. Wierzchos, and C. Ascaso, 1993. Porosimetric study of the lichen family Umbilicariaceae: anatomical interpretation and implications for water storage capacity of the thallus. American Journal of botany 80: 263–272.
- Valladares, F., C. Ascaso, and L.G. Sancho, 1994. Intrathalline variability of some structural and physical parameters in the lichen genus *Lasallia*. Canadian Journal of Botany 72: 415–428.
- Valladares, F., A. Sanchez-Hoyos, and E. Manrique, 1995. Diurnal changes in photosynthetic efficiency and carotenoid composition of the lichen *Anaptychia ciliaris*: effects of hydration and light intensity. Bryologist 98: 375–382.

- Valladares, F., L.G. Sancho, and C. Ascaso. 1996. Functional analysis of the intrathalline and intracellular chlorophyll concentration in the lichen family Umbilicariaceae. Annals of Botany 78: 471–477.
- Valladares, F., L.G. Sancho, and C. Ascaso, 1998. Water storage in the lichen family Umbilicariaceae. Botanica Acta 111: 1–9.
- Van der Willigen, C., C.N.W. Pammenter, S. Mundree, and J. Farrant, 2001. Some physiological comparisons between the resurrection grass *Eragrostis nindensis* and the related desiccationsensitive *E. curvula*. Plant Growth Regulation 35: 121–129.
- Vecchia, F.D., T. El Asmar, R. Calamassi, N. Rascio, and C. Vazzana, 1998. Morphological and ultrastructural aspects of dehydration and rehydration in leaves of *Sporobolus stapfianus*. Plant Growth Regulation 24: 219–228.
- Vieweg, G.H. and H. Ziegler, 1969. Zur Physiology von *Myrothamnus flabellifolia*. Berichte der Deutschen Botanischen Gesellschaft 82: 29–36.
- Volk, O.H., 1979. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Lebermoose (Marchantiales) aus Südwestafrika (Namibia).
 I. Mitteilungen der Botanischen Staatssmmlungen München 15: 223–242.
- Volk, O.H., 1984. Pflanzenvergesellschaftungen mit *Riccia*-Arten in Südwestafrika (Namibia). Vegetatio 55: 57–64.
- Volk, O.H. and H. Leippert, 1971. Vegetationsverhältnisse in Windhoeker Bergland, Südwestafrika. AQ13 Journal S.W.A. wiss. Ges. 25: 5–44.
- Wagner, D.J. and J.E.Titus, 1984. Comparative desiccation tolerance of two Sphagnum mosses. Oecologia 62: 182–187.
- Walter, H., 1931. Die Hydratur der Pflanze. Gustav Fischer-Verlag, Jena.
- Walter, H. and K. Kreeb, 1970. Die Hydration und Hydratur des Protoplasmas der Pflanzen und ihre ökophysiologische Bedeutung. Springer-Verlag, Wien, New York.
- Webber, P.J., 1978. Spatial and temporal variation of the vegetation and its production, Barrow, Alaska. In: L.L. Tieszen, ed. Vegetation and Production Ecology of and Alaskan Arctic Tundra. Ecological Studies 29. Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, pp. 37–112.
- Weissman, L., J. Garty, and A. Hochman, 2005. Rehydration of the lichen *Ramalina lacera* results in production of reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide and a decrease in antioxidants. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71: 2121–2129.
- Wellburn, F.A.M. and A.R. Wellburn, 1976. Novel chloroplasts and unusual cellular ultrastructure in the "resurrection" plant *Myrothamnus flabellifolia* Welw. (Myrothamnanceae). Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 72: 51–54.
- Winter, K. and M. Königer, 1989. Dithiothreitol, an inhibitor of violaxanthin de-epoxidation, increases the susceptibility of leaves of *Nerium oleander* L. to photoinhibition of photosynthesis. Planta 180: 24–31.
- Wirth, V., 1987. Die Flechten Baden-Württembergs, Verbreitungsatlas. Eugen Ulmer Verlag, Stuttgart.
- Woitke, M., W. Hartung, H. Gimmler, and H. Heilmeier, 2004. Chlorophyll fluorescence of submerged and floating leaves of the aquatic resurrection plant *Chamaegigas intrepidus*. Functional Plant Biology 31: 53–62.
- Yang, W.L., Z.A. Hu, and T.Y. Kuang, 2003. Photosynthesis of resurrection angiosperms. Acta Botanica Sinica 45: 505–508.
- Zamski, E. and S. Trachtenberg, 1976. Water movement through hydroids of a moss gametophyte. Israel Journal of Botany 25: 168–173.
- Zimmermann, G. and H. Butin, 1990. Untersuchungen über die Hitze- und Trockenresistenz Holz bewohnender Pilze. Flora 162: 393–419.
- Zimmermann, M.H. and J.A. Milburn, 1982. Transport and storage of water. In: O.L. Lange, P.S. Nobel, C.B. Osmond, and H. Ziegler, eds. Physiological Plant Ecology, Vol. II. Water Relations and Carbon Assimilation. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, pp. 135–152.
- Zirkovic, T., M.F. Quartacci, B. Stevanovic, F. Marinone, and F. Navari-Izzo, 2005. Low molecular weight substances in the poikilohydric plant *Ramonda serbica* during dehydration and rehydration. Plant Science 168: 105–111.
- Zotz, G., A. Schweikert, W. Jetz, and H. Westerman, 2000. Water relations and carbon gain are closely related to cushion size in the moss *Grimmia pulvinata*. New Phytologist 148: 59–67.

Author Queries

- AQ1: The year of publication has been changed as per the list for Jovet-Ast. Is this okay.
- AQ2: Please check if the edited Running head of the chapter title is okay.
- AQ3: Please check whether the edit of the sentence is ok.
- AQ4: Please provide details of Lange 1969a in the reference list.
- AQ5: Is the section title correct. Please confirm.
- AQ6: Please check the question mark in column 3 and 4 of Table 2.4.
- AQ7: Please provide expansion for journal title in reference, Abel 1956.
- AQ8: Please provide the expansion of the journal name in reference, Barthlott and Capesius (1974).
- AQ9: Please provide the details of the online site and update reference Heber et al. 2006b.
- AQ10: Please provide the page number for Helseth and Fischer (2005).
- AQ11: Hsiao 1973 is not cited in the text. Please include citation.
- AQ12: Please clarify the publisher and publisher location information for reference, Kappen 1995.
- AQ13: Please provide expansion for journal title in reference, Volk and Leippert 1971.